

Jeffrey H. Ruzal (Bar Roll No. 516334)
Epstein Becker & Green, P.C.
250 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10177-0077
212-351-4500
Attorneys for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X		
BRYAN CHAPEL, Individually and on behalf of all	:	
others similarly situated, as Class/Collective	:	
representatives,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
	:	
v.	:	
	:	5:18-cv-432 (DNH/ATB)
SPENCER GIFTS, LLC,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
----- X		

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK:

Defendant Spencer Gifts, LLC (“Spencer”), respectfully seeks to remove this action from the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Onondaga, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York. The reasons for removal are:

THE STATE COURT ACTION

1. On March 20 2018, Plaintiff Bryan Chapel filed the summons and complaint in an action pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Onondaga (the “State Court Action”) bearing Civil Action Index No. 002913/2018. Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the summons and complaint. Plaintiff has not yet served a copy of the summons and complaint on Spencer.

2. Spencer has not filed any motions or papers, and has no pending motions or papers, in the State Court Action.

3. Plaintiff's complaint alleges a claim arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA"). Plaintiff also alleges two additional state law claims under New York Labor Law, Article 19, §§ 650, *et seq.* ("NYLL").

4. The State Court Action is removable to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), because the complaint raises claims arising under the laws of the United States over which this Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and the two state law claims are removable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(c), because the claims are so related to the claim to which this Court has original jurisdiction as to form part of the same case or controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

BASIS FOR REMOVAL

5. Plaintiff seeks a judgment that Spencer is liable to Plaintiff for alleged violations of the FLSA. (*See* Exhibit A, Compl., ¶¶ 10-12; 17; 20-21; 33-35; 37-41; 52-63.) Plaintiff also seeks a judgment that Spencer is liable to Plaintiff for alleged violations of the NYLL for unpaid wages and record keeping violations.

6. Because Plaintiff's claims arise under the FLSA, a statute of the United States, Spencer may remove the action to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). As Plaintiff's state law claims are so related to the FLSA claim that they form part of the same case or controversy, Spencer may remove these claims to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(c).

TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

7. Removal is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) as Spencer has filed this Notice of Removal within 30 days of the date that the complaint was served upon Spencer; indeed, as noted above, Plaintiff has not yet served the Complaint upon Spencer.

PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

8. Spencer is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey.

9. Upon the filing of this notice, Spencer will give written notice to Plaintiff's attorney and will file a copy of this notice with the Clerk of the Court, New York Supreme Court, County of Onondaga, as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES

10. Spencer has not yet answered or otherwise replied to the summons and complaint filed in the State Court Action, nor has the time to respond expired.

11. By filing this Notice of Removal, Spencer does not waive any defense or right that may be available to it, including without limitation, the right to assert any defenses and/or objections to which it may be entitled. In particular, Spencer intends to move to compel arbitration of Plaintiff's claims on an individual basis, promptly.

WHEREFORE, Spencer requests that the State Court Action now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Onondaga, be removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York, wherein it shall proceed as an action originally commenced therein.

EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C.

By: s/Jeffrey H. Ruzal
Jeffery H. Ruzal (Bar Roll No. 516334)
250 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10177-0077
(212) 351-4500
Attorneys for Defendant

April 9, 2018

5:18-cv-432 (DNH/ATB)

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF ONONDAGA

BRYAN CHAPEL, Individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated, as Class/Collective representatives,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

SPENCER GIFTS, LLC

Defendant(s).

Index No.

Summons

Date Index No. Purchased:

To the above named Defendant(s)

Spencer Gifts, LLC
6826 Black Horse Pike
Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The basis of venue is Residence of Plaintiff
which is Onondaga County, New York

Dated: New York, New York

March 20, 2018

SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P.A.
by 
Michael Palitz
Attorneys for Plaintiff
830 3rd Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (800) 616-4000
Facsimile: (561) 447-8831

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ONONDAGA COUNTY

-----X		
BRYAN CHAPEL, Individually and on	:	Case No.
behalf of all others similarly situated, as	:	
Class/Collective representatives,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	COLLECTIVE AND CLASS
	:	ACTION COMPLAINT
	:	<u>AND JURY DEMAND</u>
v.	:	
	:	
SPENCER GIFTS, LLC,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
-----X		

PLAINTIFF’S COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff BRYAN CHAPEL, by his attorneys Shavitz Law Group, P.A., alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This lawsuit seeks to recover unpaid overtime compensation for Plaintiff during the time he worked as an exempt-classified Store Manager (“SM”) for Defendant SPENCER GIFTS, LLC (“SPENCER GIFTS”) in New York state.
2. SPENCER GIFTS is a retail company operating approximately 550 retail stores in the United States and throughout New York state.
3. According to its website, SPENCER GIFTS sells “unique product for the lifestyle of our core 18-24 year old guests, who are high energy, technologically savvy, edgy, demanding, independent and always inspired by humor and irreverence.”¹
4. In order to minimize labor costs, SPENCER GIFTS staffs its stores leanly and strictly manages hours worked by non-exempt, hourly workers to avoid paying them overtime.

¹ <http://www.spencersonline.com/content.jsp?pageName=About>.

To compensate for this deliberate understaffing, SPENCER GIFTS relies heavily on its salaried SMs to staff the stores when there are not enough hourly employees to properly do so. Because of SPENCER GIFTS' retail hours and lean staffing model, SMs regularly work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek and frequently work ten or more hours a day.

5. Although labeled as a "manager," Plaintiff was not primarily responsible for true management functions. To the contrary, Plaintiff spent the vast majority of time performing the same duties as non-exempt, hourly employees in the retail store such as customer service, stocking products, setting up displays, working on the cash register, unloading products, cleaning, and merchandising.

6. The primary duties of SMs do not fall within any of the exemptions under federal or state overtime laws.

7. The primary duties of the SM position do not vary across SPENCER GIFTS' stores.

8. Throughout the relevant period, SPENCER GIFTS' policy across its stores has been to uniformly classify SMs as exempt from federal and state overtime provisions and not pay SMs any overtime wages.

9. SPENCER GIFTS, LLC did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for any hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek while he was employed by Defendant as an exempt-classified SM.

10. SPENCER GIFTS, LLC's failure to pay overtime wages to Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective members (defined below), and New York Class Members (defined below) violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA") and New York Labor Law, Article 19, §§ 650, *et seq.* ("NYLL") and supporting regulations.

11. By the conduct described herein, Defendant has willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective members the overtime wages Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective members have earned and to which Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective members are entitled by law.

12. Plaintiff Chapel brings this action on behalf of himself and similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to remedy violations of the FLSA and supporting regulations.

13. Plaintiff Chapel also brings this action on behalf of himself and similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant who worked in New York pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 901 to remedy violations of the NYLL and supporting regulations.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Bryan Chapel

14. Plaintiff Bryan Chapel (“Chapel”) is an adult individual who is a resident of Syracuse, New York.

15. Chapel was employed by Defendant from approximately July 2014 to November 2015 at a Spencer Gifts location in Clay, New York.²

16. Pursuant to Defendant’s policy, pattern, and/or practice, Defendant paid Chapel an annual salary for 40 hours of work, but Chapel was not compensated for overtime hours worked. Chapel typically worked about 45 to 50 hours per week and sometimes worked as many as 60 or more hours per week as an exempt-classified SM, but received no compensation for any time worked in excess of 40 hours in any workweek as an exempt-classified SM.

² Plaintiff and Defendant entered into a Tolling Agreement on September 29, 2016, tolling the FLSA and state wage and hour law claims as of September 1, 2016. The Tolling Agreement remained in effect until January 27, 2018.

17. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

18. A written consent form for Plaintiff is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Defendant

19. SPENCER GIFTS, LLC is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey, which operates retail stores throughout the United States.

20. At all relevant times, Defendant was or is an “employer” within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

21. Throughout the relevant period, Defendant employed and/or jointly employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL. Defendant had substantial control over Plaintiff’s working conditions and the unlawful policies and practices alleged herein.

22. At all times relevant, Defendant maintained control, oversight and direction over Plaintiff, including timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices that applied to Plaintiff.

23. At all times relevant, Defendant’s annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.

JURISDICTION

24. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to NYLL § 663 and 29 U.S.C § 216(b).

25. Venue is proper in this county, pursuant to N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 503 because Plaintiff was employed by Defendant in a store located in Clay, New York (Onondaga County) during the time in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

26. Throughout their employment with Defendant, Plaintiff and other SMs regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

27. Defendant was aware that Plaintiff and other SMs worked more than 40 hours per workweek, yet Defendant failed to pay them overtime compensation for any of the hours worked over 40 in a workweek.

28. Defendant failed to keep accurate records of the hours that Plaintiff and other SMs worked.

29. The primary duties of Plaintiff and other SMs were non-exempt in nature. They performed the same duties as the hourly employees who were entitled to overtime such as stocking shelves, operating the cash register, setting up displays, unloading products, performing customer service, and cleaning the store.

30. Plaintiff and other SMs were closely supervised by their District Managers, and through common corporate policies and procedures that defined and circumscribed their work. District Managers were responsible for the overall performance of the stores, and for evaluating and disciplining store employees. Plaintiff and other SMs were not responsible for the overall performance of the stores, or for evaluating or disciplining store employees.

31. Plaintiff and other SMs did not have authority to hire full-time employees or fire employees.

32. All of the work that Plaintiff and other SMs performed was assigned by Defendant and/or Defendant has been aware of all of the work that Plaintiff and other SMs performed.

33. Upon information and belief, Defendant's unlawful conduct has been pursuant to a corporate policy or practice of minimizing labor costs by violating the FLSA and NYLL.

34. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that the FLSA and NYLL required it to pay Plaintiff and other SMs overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of 40 per week.

35. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that Plaintiff's and other SMs' primary duties were stocking shelves, operating the cash register, setting up displays, unloading products, performing customer service, and cleaning the store, and that these duties do not fall within any overtime exemption under the FLSA or NYLL.

36. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other SMs overtime was willful. Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.

COLLECTIVE-WIDE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

37. Plaintiff Chapel brings the First Cause of Action, pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of himself and all similarly situated persons who work or have worked for Defendant as exempt-classified SMs in the United States, on or after October 22, 2013, who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").

38. All of the work that Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective have performed has been assigned by Defendant, and/or Defendant has been aware of all of the work that Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective have performed.

39. As part of its regular business practice, Defendant has intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly engaged in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective. This policy and pattern or practice includes, but is not limited to:

- A. willfully failing to pay Plaintiff Chapel and the members of the FLSA Collective overtime wages for hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek; and
- B. willfully misclassifying Plaintiff Chapel and the members of the FLSA Collective as exempt from the protections of the FLSA.

40. Defendant is aware or should have been aware that the FLSA required it to pay employees performing non-exempt duties, including Plaintiff Chapel and members of the FLSA Collective, an overtime premium for hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek.

41. Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective all perform or performed the same or similar primary duties.

42. Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

43. Plaintiff brings the Second and Third Causes of Action, the NYLL claims, pursuant to Article 9 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules on behalf of himself and all exempt-classified SMs who have worked at any store location in New York State between October 22, 2010, and the date of final judgment in this matter (the "New York Class Period") (the "New York Class").

44. Excluded from the New York Class are Defendant's legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns and successors, or any individual who has, or who at any time during the New York Class Period has had, a controlling interest in SPENCER GIFTS; the Judges to whom this case is assigned and any member of the Judges' immediate family; and all persons who will submit timely and otherwise proper requests for exclusion from the New York Class.

45. The members of the New York Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

46. Upon information and belief, the size of the New York Class is at least 100 individuals. Although the precise number of such employees is unknown, the facts on which the calculation of that number depends are presently within the sole control of SPENCER GIFTS.

47. Common questions of law and fact exist as to the New York Class that predominate over any questions only affecting them individually and include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. whether SPENCER GIFTS violated NYLL, Articles 6 and 19, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations;
- b. whether SPENCER GIFTS failed to compensate Plaintiff and the New York Class for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek;
- c. whether SPENCER GIFTS misclassified Plaintiff and members of the New York Class;
- d. whether SPENCER GIFTS failed to keep all records required by the NYLL;
- e. whether SPENCER GIFTS' policy of failing to pay workers was instituted willfully or with reckless disregard of the law;
- f. the nature and extent of class-wide injury and the measure of damages for those injuries; and
- g. whether SPENCER GIFTS' failed to comply with the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL.

48. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the New York Class he seeks to represent. The Plaintiff and all members of the New York Class have worked for SPENCER GIFTS as SMs in New York State. The Plaintiff and members of the New York Class enjoy the same statutory rights under the NYLL to be paid overtime wages. The Plaintiff and members of the New York Class have all sustained similar types of damages as a result of

SPENCER GIFTS's failure to comply with the NYLL. The Plaintiff and the members of the New York Class have all been injured in that they have been uncompensated or under-compensated due to SPENCER GIFTS' common policies, practices and patterns of conduct.

49. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the New York Class. The Plaintiff understands that as the class representative, he assumes fiduciary responsibilities to the class to represent its interests fairly and adequately. The Plaintiff recognizes that as class representative, he must represent and consider the interests of the class just as they would represent and consider their own interests. The Plaintiff understands that in decisions regarding the conduct of the litigation and its possible settlement, he must not favor his own interests over the interests of the class. The Plaintiff recognizes that any resolution of a class action must be in the best interest of the class. The Plaintiff understands that in order to provide adequate representation, he must be informed of developments in litigation, cooperate with class counsel, and testify at deposition and/or trial. The Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class actions and employment litigation. There is no conflict between the Plaintiff and the New York Class members.

50. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. The members of the New York Class have been damaged and are entitled to recovery as a result of SPENCER GIFTS' violation of the NYLL as well as its common and uniform policies, practices, and procedures. Although the relative damages suffered by individual members of the New York Class are not *de minimis*, such damages are small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution of this litigation. The individual Plaintiff lacks the financial resources to conduct a thorough examination of SPENCER GIFTS' timekeeping and compensation practices and to prosecute vigorously a

lawsuit against SPENCER GIFTS to recover such damages. In addition, class litigation is superior because it will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments about SPENCER GIFTS' practices.

51. This action is properly maintainable as a class action under Article 9 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
Fair Labor Standards Act – Overtime Wages
(Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective)

52. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

53. Defendant has engaged in a widespread pattern and practice of violating the FLSA, as described in this Collective and Class Action Complaint.

54. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

55. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.

56. The overtime wage provisions set forth in §§ 201 *et seq.* of the FLSA apply to Defendant.

57. Defendant is an employer engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.

58. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective are or have been employees within the meaning of the FLSA.

59. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.

60. Defendant's violations of the FLSA, as described in this Collective and Class Action Complaint, have been willful and intentional. Defendant failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to its compensation of Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective.

61. Because Defendant's violations of the FLSA have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies to this Cause of Action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.

62. As a result of Defendant's willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied overtime wages in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*

63. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective have been deprived of overtime compensation and other wages in amounts to be determined at hearing, and is entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
New York Labor Law – Unpaid Overtime
(Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the New York Class)

64. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

65. At all times relevant, Plaintiff and members of the New York Class are or have been employees and Defendant has been their employer within the meaning of the NYLL.

66. Plaintiff and the New York Class members are covered by the NYLL.

67. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the members of the New York Class wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the members

of the New York Class for overtime hours worked at a wage rate of one and one-half times the regular rates of pay.

68. Due to Defendant's intentional and willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the members of the New York Class are entitled to recover from Defendant their unpaid wages (including overtime wages), reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and such other relief as provided by law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Notice and Record Keeping Violations (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

69. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

70. NYLL § 195(4) requires every employer to establish and maintain, for at least three years, *inter alia*, payroll records showing the hours worked, gross wages, deductions and net wages for each employee.

71. NYLL § 661 requires every employer to maintain, *inter alia*, true and accurate records of hours worked by each employee covered by an hourly minimum wage rate, and the wages paid to all employees.

72. 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.6 requires every employer in miscellaneous industries to establish, maintain and preserve for six years weekly payroll records showing, *inter alia*, each employee's name, wage rate, number of hours worked daily and weekly, amount of gross and net wages, deductions from gross wages, and any allowances claimed as part of the minimum wage.

73. NYLL § 195(1) requires that every employer furnish each employee with a notice containing required information.

74. NYLL § 195(3) requires that every employer furnish each employee with a statement with every payment listing gross wages, deductions and net wages, and upon request of an employee, an explanation of the computation of wages.

75. 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.7 requires every employer in miscellaneous industries to furnish each employee a statement with every payment of wages, listing hours worked, rates paid, gross and net wages, deductions, and allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage.

76. Defendant failed to comply with the notice and record keeping requirements of NYLL §195(1) and 195(3), resulting in penalties under NYLL § 198(1)(b) and 198(1)(d) for Plaintiff and the New York Class.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated persons, seeks the following relief:

A. That, at the earliest possible time, Plaintiff be allowed to give notice of this collective action, or that the Court issue such notice, to all members of the FLSA Collective. Such notice should inform them that this civil action has been filed, of the nature of the action, and of their right to join this lawsuit, among other things;

B. Unpaid overtime pay, and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and the supporting United States Department of Labor regulations;

C. Unpaid overtime pay, liquidated damages, and statutory and other penalties as permitted by law pursuant to the New York state law claims;

D. Certification of this case as a class action pursuant to Article 9 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules;

- E. Designation of the named Plaintiff as Class Representative of the New York Class and counsel of record as Class Counsel;
- F. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of in this Collective and Class Action Complaint are unlawful;
- G. Pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
- H. Appropriate equitable and injunctive relief to remedy violations, including but not necessarily limited to an order enjoining Defendant from continuing its unlawful practices;
- I. Attorneys' fees and costs of the action;
- J. A reasonable incentive award for the Plaintiff to compensate him for the time and effort he has spent protecting the interests of other SMs, and the risks he has undertaken; and
- K. Such other injunctive and equitable relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
March 20, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

s/Michael Palitz

Michael J. Palitz
SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P.A.
830 3rd Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Tel: (800) 616-4000
Fax: (561) 447-8831

***Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Putative FLSA
Collective, and the Putative New York Class***

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN FORM

1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Defendant(s), **Spencer Gifts**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

2. I hereby designate the Shavitz Law Group, P.A. to represent me in bringing such claim, and to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

3. I also consent to join any other related action against Defendant(s) or other potentially responsible parties to assert my claim and for this Consent Form to be filed in any such action.

DocuSigned by:
Bryan Chapel
Signature

Bryan Chapel
Print Name

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

BRYAN CHAPEL

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P.A. 830 3rd Ave., 5th Floor, New York, NY 10022 800- 616-4000

DEFENDANTS

SPENCER GIFTS, LLC

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known) EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C. 250 Park Ave., New York, NY 10177 212-351-4500

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship and business location (Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation).

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. Brief description of cause: Claim for alleged unpaid overtime wages

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 04/09/2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD s/Jeffrey H. Ruzal

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # ANYNDC-4347894 AMOUNT \$400 APPLYING IFP JUDGE DNH MAG. JUDGE ATB



ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Lawsuit Claims Spencer Gifts Must Pay Store Managers Overtime Wages](#)
