Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 1 of 14 PageID #: 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LULIAN CEBAN on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Case No.

Plaintiff,

-against-

NORTHLAND GROUP INC

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

 Plaintiff, Lulian Ceban, brings this action against Northland Group Inc for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizens of the State of New York who reside within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Minneapolic, MN.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Lulian Ceban

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about April 1st, 2016 Defendant sent Plaintiff Ceban a collection letter.
- The said April 1st, 2016 collection letter stated "Account Balance" of \$640.25 and offered Plaintiff Ceban to settle the "Account Balance" for \$320.16 if payment was received by April 22nd, 2016.
- 12. The said letter was sent in an effort to collect on a defaulted consumer debt.
- 13. Such a collection letter is open to one of multiple interpretations and would likely be misunderstood by an unsophisticated consumer.
- § 1692e requires debt collectors, when informing debtors of their account balance, to disclose whether the balance may increase due to interest and fees. <u>Avila v. Riexinger</u> <u>Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)</u>.
- Defendant's collection letter fail to include the safe harbor language set out in <u>Avila v.</u> <u>Riexinger Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)</u>.
- 16. An unsophisticated consumer would be left uncertain by the said letter as to whether the accounts were accruing interest or not.
- 17. The "Account Balance" in the case at hand was for an amount that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest.

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 3 of 14 PageID #: 3

- 18. The said letter failed to correctly state the in full the amount of the debt allegedly owed.
- 19. Though the collection letter to Plaintiff Ceban stated "Account Balance," the letter did not state on which date the "Account Balance" was calculated, and did not explain that contractual or statutory interest and/or legal fees would continue to accrue on the unpaid principal in the event payment was not made according to the proposed settlement terms; thus, the Plaintiff's Ceban total balance might be greater on the date she makes a payment.
- 20. A reasonable consumer could read the notices and be misled into believing that he or she could pay her debt in full by paying the amount listed on the notice.
- 21. However, since contractual interest is automatically accruing daily, and since there are undisclosed legal fees that will accrue, a consumer who pays the "Account Balance" stated on the notices will be unaware as to whether or not the debt has been paid in full.
- 22. The debt collector could still seek the automatically accrued contractual interest that accumulated after the notices were sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer.
- 23. A letter that states "Account Balance," without notice that the amount is already increasing due to automatically accruing contractual interest or other charges, would mislead the unsophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 24. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures would harm consumers such as the Plaintiff who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief, that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it would abrogate the

-3-

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 4 of 14 PageID #: 4

Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e.

- 25. The amount of the contractual interest, automatically increases each day that the defaulted debt remains unpaid due to the automatically accrued interest.
- 26. Collection notices that state only the "Account Balance" but fail to disclose that the balance might increase due to interest and fees, are "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e.
- 27. To the extent that the Creditor or the Defendant intended to waive the automatically accrued and accruing interest, it was required to disclose that in the most conspicuous of terms.
- 28. Northland Group Inc was required to include a disclosure that the automatically accrued interest <u>was</u> accruing, or in the alternative, Northland Group Inc Inc was required to disclose that the creditor has made an intentional decision to waive the automatically accruing interest and that Northland Group Inc will always accept stated "Account Balance" in full satisfaction of the debt; yet the Defendant failed to make any such disclosures, violating Section 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 29. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 30. Northland Group Inc knew that balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 31. The Second Circuit adopted a safe harbor disclaimer stating "that requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief that timely payment will

-4-

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 5 of 14 PageID #: 5

satisfy their debts." Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)

- 32. Because the "Account Balance" included original principal, fees, and contractual interest, without notice that the accruing interest was expressly waived and without notice that the debt collector and/or the creditor is always willing to accept "Account Balance" in full satisfaction of the debt, irrespective when the payment was made, Defendant's collection notice can mislead the least sophisticated consumers into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 33. According to the Second Circuit's finding that the "Amount Due" must contain a full and fair disclosure, if a credit card account was being charged interest, pursuant to a contract and the interest was intended to be waived, disclosure of such a waiver is necessary or the consumer would not know what the balance is. "[i]n fact, however, if interest is accruing daily, [or was not expressly waived] a consumer who pays the 'current balance' stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full. The debt collector could still seek the [accruing or un-waived] interest and fees that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer." <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)</u>
- 34. The 8th Circuit in <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App.</u> <u>LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016)</u> clearly explains that merely not including interest in post charge off statements is not express waiver of interest, and the debt collector or creditor can seek the interest in the future.
- 35. In fact, in this case the Plaintiff is still not sure whether there was any intent to waive the interest. There was definitely no express waiver and disclosure of waiver is mandatory if interest was originally accruing per the contract. The consumers could not know what

the real balance is.

- 36. The intent to waive a contractual right must be unmistakably manifested and may not be inferred from doubtful or equivocal acts. <u>Navillus Tile, Inc. v. Turner Const. Co., 2</u> <u>A.D.3d 209, 770 N.Y.S.2d 3 (1st Dep't 2003)</u>. A waiver of a contract right does not occur by negligence, oversight or thoughtlessness and cannot be inferred from mere silence. <u>Acumen Re Management Corp. v. General Sec. Nat. Ins. Co., 2012 WL 3890128, at *6 (S.D. N.Y. 2012)</u>, reconsideration denied, motion to certify appeal granted, 2012 WL 6053936 (S.D. N.Y. 2012).
- 37. The 8th Circuit Court of Appeals found that the fact that the debt was charged off and that the creditor or debt buyer did not charge statutory or prejudgment interest in its statements post charge off does not constitute an express waiver and statutory or prejudgment interest is still continuing to accrue and may be charged at a future time.
- 38. According to the Second Circuit in Avila, any such a debt would need full and complete disclosure. See <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.</u>, No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016) ("Nothing inherent in the process of charging off a debt precludes a claim for statutory interest, and [the states] prejudgment interest statute does not expressly preclude statutory prejudgment interest following a waiver of contractual interest...[The debtor] received monthly periodic statements from the original creditors prior to charge-off, and at least as to the *Wal-Mart* account, the charge-off statement itself is attached to the pleadings. [The debtor] received a demand for payment of his accounts when due. We conclude any demand requirement that exists as a precondition to the accrual of statutory prejudgment interest was satisfied by the original creditors' demands upon [the debtor].")
- 39. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 7 of 14 PageID #: 7

deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e. The Defendant knew that the balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.

- 40. Since the "Amount Due" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and contractual interest, the collection notice must accurately inform the consumer that interest is accruing daily or that interest has stopped accruing. "Applying these principles, we hold that Plaintiffs have stated a claim that the collection notices at issue here are misleading within the meaning of Section 1692e... a consumer who pays the "current balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full." <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, Nos. 15-1584(L), 15-1597(Con), 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 5327, at *10-11 (2d Cir. Mar. 22, 2016)</u>
- 41. Although Defendant's collection letter stated an "Account Balance" the letters failed to disclose to the Plaintiff that the Defendant may collect the additional accruing interest at a later date.
- 42. "The Court therefore finds that [the debt collectors] letters to [the debtor] are subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10) ... The logic [applies] to stated outstanding debt and the need for consumers to be aware that this debt may be dynamic or static. They are concerned with a consumer's inability to discern whether an amount owed may grow with time, regardless of whether offers to settle are on the table or not. As [plaintiff] states, this information is relevant in a consumer's payment calculus, especially when some debts must be paid at the expense of others. And, of course, the existence of settlement offers would be entirely irrelevant to these considerations for the many consumers who are unable to take advantage of them...Plaintiff's claim is not that the stated balance was not itemized, but that it was unclear whether it was subject to future interest" <u>Michalek v. ARS Nat'l Sys.</u>,

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 8 of 14 PageID #: 8

No. 3:11-CV-1374, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at *16-17 (M.D. Pa. Dec. 13, 2011)

- 43. Absent a disclosure by the holder of the debt that the automatic interest is waived, even if the debtors pays the "Amount Due" the Defendant and or the creditor <u>could</u> still seek the automatic interest that accumulated after the breach of contract, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the automatic interest and from the consumer. *Avila*, at *10-11.
- 44. A waiver of interest, even when made explicitly, has not prevented debt collection agencies from continuing to illegally charge the waived interest. At the bare minimum a debt collection agency must clearly convey, even to the unsophisticated consumer that it intends to waive the automatically accruing interest, or that the debt has stopped accruing interest.
- 45. Upon receiving Defendant's collection letter, the Plaintiff was uncertain whether the "Account Balance" was accruing interest as there was no disclosure or admonition indicating otherwise.
- 46. Defendant's letter would cause an unsophisticated consumer uncertainty and force him or her to guess how much money is allegedly owed to the Defendant, how much money would accrue daily on the alleged debt, how much additional money would be owed if the "Account Balance" stated in the collection letter was paid, and if or when the Defendant's collection efforts would actually discontinue if the "Account Balance" was remitted that the Defendant.
- 47. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using any false, or any deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt, including the false representation of the character, amount or legal status of any debt, see, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) and § 1692e(10).

- 48. The statement in the said letter is false and misleading, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), and 1692e(10).
- 49. Upon information and belief, such actions are part of a scheme or business of the Defendant when attempting to collect alleged debts from consumers in the State of New York.
- 50. Upon information and belief, the Defendant's collection letter, such as the said April 1st,
 2016 collection letter, number in at least the hundreds.
- 51. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 52. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communication.
- 53. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 54. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 55. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 56. Defendant's communications were designed to cause debtors to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to the Defendant's collection efforts.
- 57. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived them of

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 10 of 14 PageID #: 10

their right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.

- 58. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumers' ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 59. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 60. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 61. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Northland Group Inc and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 62. Excluded from the Plaintiff's class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Northland Group Inc, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 63. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 11 of 14 PageID #: 11

- 64. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 65. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 66. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
 - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.

- (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor their counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 67. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.

- 68. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 69. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule(b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 70. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 71. Plaintiff Ceban repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy (70) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 72. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff Ceban and the members of a class.
- 73. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff Ceban on or about April 1st, 2016; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff Gashilova asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) of the FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1 Filed 04/01/17 Page 14 of 14 PageID #: 14

to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 74. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 75. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- (a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- (b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- (c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York April 1st, 2017

> <u>/s/ Daniel Cohen</u> Daniel Cohen, Esq. NY Bar No. 5481460 Attorney for the Plaintiff Daniel Cohen, PLLC 300 Cadman Plaza W, 12th floor Brooklyn, New York 11201 E-mail: Dan@dccohen.com Office: (646) 645-8482 Fax: (347) 665-1545

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Daniel Cohen Daniel Cohen, Esq.

	Case 1.17-01-01049 L	ocument	1-1 lieu 04/01/17	Fayero	I I Fageid F	+. 1 3
NG	Northland Group Inc	•				
í y	PAYMENT ADDRESS: P.O. Box Minneapo	390846 olis, MN 55439				
	For Gene	eral Business H	lours, please visit us at:			
	www.pay	ments2northla	nd.com		PHONE N	
					866-581	2011
					Reference numb	er:
	Iulian Ceban					
	2000 84th St Apt 504				ACCOUNT \$640	
	Brooklyn, NY 11214					
	ւներություններություններ		SETTLEM			
					\$320	.16
			REQUESTED PA	YMENT DATE		
		# [# # 			04/22/	2016
	ORIGINAL CREDITOR	(RIGINAL ACCOUNT #		STATEME	NT DATE
CAPI	TAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A.				April 1,	2016
Dear Juli	an Ceban,					
	an Ceban,					
if you pay contact r	offering a settlement on this acc y the settlement amount of \$32 ne. Please note, we are not obli confirming that the above refere	0.16 by 04/2 igated to rea	22/2016. If you need add new this offer. Upon rece	itional time f	to respond to th	is offer, please
•	ou have any questions regardir rom you.	ng this acco	unt, please feel free to ca	all me at 866	6-581-4564. I lo	ok forward to
* Pay On <u>www.par</u> * Pay by	: Methods: Iline: To view the account balan <u>yments2northland.com</u> mail: Send payments to PO Bo phone: Please call Dan Hansor	x 390846, N	/inneapolis, MN 55439.			
Thank yo	bu,	·				
-						
Dan Han	son					
This colle	ection agency's New York City I	Department	of Consumer Affairs Lice	ense number	is 1283580.	
	n attempt to collect a debt by a o munication is sent to you by No					
				•		
						-
ACCOUN	T INFORMATION		Customer Service		PAYMENT SUN	IMARY
Iulian Ceb			866-581-4564	Due dat		04/22/2016
2000 84th			Reference Number		Balance:	\$640.25
Apt 504						

\$320.16 Current settlement due:

AMOUNT ENCLOSED

Northland Group, Inc. P.O. Box 390846 Minneapolis, MN 55439-0846

Brooklyn, NY 11214

Client Code: CAPS



217L-0404_2_217L 1527

_ _ _..

.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LULIAN CEBAN on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Case No.

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NORTHLAND GROUP INC

Defendant.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

TO: NORTHLAND GROUP INC PO BOX 390846 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55439-0846

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:

DANIEL COHEN ESQ DANIEL COHEN, PLLC 300 CADMAN PLAZA W, 12th FLOOR BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, with **21** days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

CLERK

DATE

BY DEPUTY CLERK

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1-3 Filed 04/01/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
LULIAN CEBAN				NORTHLAND GROUP INC			
 (b) County of Residence of (E. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, J) DANIEL COHEN, ESQ. 300 CADMAN PLAZA W BROOKLYN, NEW YOR 	Address, and Telephone Number DANIEL (', 12th FI. PHONE: (County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifj
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)					TF DEF 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant Image: Constraint of Parties in Item III 0 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)						Incorporated and P of Business In A Foreign Nation	-
				eign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 CONTINECT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY BERSONAL INJURY BERSONAL INJURY BERSONAL INJURY BODDELIABILITY BATERSONAL PROPER CONTRACT STATES S	Y □ 62: □ 690 TTY □ 710 □ 720 □ 720 □ 740 □ 75 □ 79	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881) Other LABOR LABOR Standards Act Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	 422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPEI 820 Copy 830 Paten 840 Trade 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW0 864 SSID 865 RSI (870 Taxes or Dx 871 IRS-26 U 	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights it emark SECURITY (1395ff) c/DIWW (405(g)) 'Title XVI 405(g)) ML TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Erveidom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 995 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from \Box 3	Remanded from CAppellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	stated or 5 Transfe ened Anothe (specify)	er District	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN 15 U.S.C. SECT Brief description of ca	ON 1692 FAIR D	DEBT [®] CO	o not cite jurisdictional star DLLECTION PRAC ECTION BUSINES	tutes unless div TICES AC	T (FDCPA)	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	I DI	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE 04/01/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATT					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE
Print	Save As						Reset

Case 1:17-cv-01849 Document 1-3 Filed 04/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 18 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, $\underline{N/A}$, counsel for _____, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

N/A

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: No
- If you answered "no" above: 2.) a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain)

Yes

X	No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /S/ DANIEL COHEN ESQ.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>FDCPA Class Action Filed Against Northland Group</u>