Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (S.B. #160744) Laura Van Note, Esq. (S.B. #310160) 1 2 Mark T. Freeman, Esq. (S.B. # #293721) County of San Francisco COLE & VAN NOTE 555 12th Street, Suite 2100 3 JUN 17 2025 Oakland, California 94607 4 Telephone: (510) 891-9800 CLERK OF THE COURT Facsimile: (510) 891-7030 Email: sec@colevannote.com 5 Deputy Clerk Email: lvn@colevannote.com Email: mtf@colevannote.com 6 7 Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 Case No. CGC-24-617356 12 PILAR CASTILLO, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 13 Plaintiff, CLASS ACTION 14 v. PROPOSED| ORDER GRANTING 15 AINTIFF'S NOTICE OF RECOLOGY INC., MOTION AND MOTION FOR 16 Defendant. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 17 Date: June 17, 2025 Time: 9:00 a.m. 18 Judge: Hon. Joseph M. Quinn Horold Kah 302 19 20 21 22 This matter came before the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County 23 of San Francisco, at 9:00 a.m. on June 17, 2025, in Dept. 302. Cole & Van Note appeared as counsel for Representative Plaintiff Pilar Castillo, individually, and on behalf of the Plaintiff Class. 24 25 Polsinelli LLP appeared as counsel for Defendant Recology, Inc. ("Defendant"). 26 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion"), the terms of which are set forth in a Settlement Agreement with (accompanying 27 exhibits) attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Scott Edward Cole in Support of Plaintiff's

Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement"). Having fully considered the issue, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement is **GRANTED**. The terms defined in the Settlement Agreement shall have the same meaning in this Order.
- 2. Having made the findings set forth below, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class for settlement purposes only:
 - "All individuals who received notice from Recology or its authorized representative, either by mailed notice or substitute notice, that their PHI or PII was potentially exposed to unauthorized third parties as a result of the November 2, 2023 cybersecurity incident affecting Recology or any of its affiliates."
- 3. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Recology's officers, directors, and employees (excepting those officers, directors, and employees whose PHI or PII was affected by the Incident), any entity in which Recology has a controlling interest and the affiliates, legal representatives, attorneys, successors, heirs, and assigns of Recology. Excluded also from the Settlement Class are members of the judiciary to whom this case is assigned, their families and members of their staff. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are non-natural persons.
- 4. For settlement purposes only, with respect to the Settlement Class, the Court preliminarily finds the prerequisites for a class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 have been met, in that: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all individual Settlement Class Members in a single proceeding is impracticable, (b) questions of law and fact common to all Settlement Class Members predominate over any potential individual questions, (c) the claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class (d) Plaintiff and proposed Class Counsel will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the

¹ All defined terms herein have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Settlement Class, and (e) a class action is the superior method to fairly and efficiently adjudicate this controversy.

- The Court hereby appoints Plaintiff Pilar Castillo as Class Representative for the 5. Settlement Class.
- 6. The Court hereby appoints Scott Edward Cole of Cole & Van Note as Class Counsel.
- 7. The terms of the Settlement, including its proposed release, are preliminarily approved as within the range of reasonableness, and are sufficient to warrant providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class in accordance with the notice plan, and are subject to further and final consideration at the Final Approval Hearing provided for below. In making this determination, the Court considered the fact that the Settlement is the product of arm's-length negotiations conducted by experienced and knowledgeable counsel, the current posture of the Action, the benefits of the Settlement to the Settlement Class, and the risk and benefits of continuing litigation to the Parties and the Settlement Class.
- As provided for in the Settlement Agreement, if the Court does not grant final 8. approval of the Settlement or if the Settlement is terminated or cancelled in accordance with its terms, then the Settlement, and the conditional certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only provided for herein will be vacated, and the Action shall proceed as though the Settlement Class had never been certified, with no admission of liability or merit as to any issue, and no prejudice or impact as to any party's position on the issue of class certification or any other issue in the case.
- The Court appoints Simpluris as the Settlement Administrator. The responsibilities 9. of the Settlement Administrator are set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- The proposed notice plan set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Notice and 10. Claim Form attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibits 1-3 are hereby approved. Nonmaterial modifications to these Exhibits may be made with approval by the Parties but without further order of the Court.

- 11. The Court has considered the Class Notice provisions of the Settlement and the Notice. The Court finds that the direct mailing of Notice in the manner set forth in the notice plan is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitutes due and sufficient notice of the Settlement and this Order to all persons entitled thereto, and is in full compliance with applicable law and due process. The Court orders the Settlement Administrator to commence the notice plan following entry of this Order in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must mail a written opt-out Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator at the address and in the manner provided in the Notice. Such opt-out Requests for Exclusion must meet the Opt-Out Deadline established by this Order and stated in the Notice.
- 13. For a Request for Exclusion to be properly completed and executed, subject to approval by the Court, it must: (a) be in writing, (b) identify the case name *Pilar Castillo v. Recology Inc.*, Case No. CGC-24-617356, (c) state the full name and current address of the person in the Settlement Class seeking exclusion, (d) be signed by the person(s) seeking exclusion and (e) be postmarked or received by the Settlement Administrator on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline. All Requests for Exclusion must be submitted individually in connection with a Settlement Class Member (i.e., one request is required for every Settlement Class Member seeking exclusion).
- 14. No later than 16 court days before the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall provide the Settling Parties with a complete and final list of all Opt-Outs who have timely and validly excluded themselves from the Settlement Class and, upon request, copies of all completed Requests for Exclusions.
- 15. If the Final Judgment is entered, any Settlement Class Member who has not submitted a timely, valid written opt-out Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class shall be bound by all subsequent proceedings, orders and judgments in this Litigation, including but not limited to the release set forth in the Final Judgment. Settlement Class Members who submit valid and timely opt-out Requests for Exclusion shall not be entitled to receive any benefits from the Settlement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

A Settlement Class Member who does not file a valid and timely Request for 16. Exclusion may file with the Court a notice of intent to object to the Class Settlement Agreement. The Long Form Notice shall instruct Settlement Class Members who wish to object to the Agreement to send their written objections only to the Court. The Notice shall make clear the Court can only approve or deny the Class Settlement Agreement and cannot change the terms. The Notice shall advise Settlement Class Members of the deadline for submission of any objections. Any such notices of an intent to object to the Class Settlement Agreement must be written and must include all of the following (a) the objector's full name and current address, (b) a statement that he or she believes himself or herself to be a member of the Settlement Class, (c) whether the objection applies only to the objector, to a specific subset of the Settlement Class, or to the entire Settlement Class, (d) the specific grounds for the objection, (e) all documents or writing that the objector desires the Court to consider, (f) the name and contact information of any and all attorneys representing, advising, or in any way assisting the objector in connection with the preparation or submission of the objection or who may profit from the pursuit of the objection and (g) a statement indicating whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing (either personally or through counsel, who must file an appearance or seek pro hac vice admission). To be timely, written notice of an objection in the appropriate form must be filed or postmarked no later than the Objection Deadline.

17. In addition, for any objection made with the assistance of any attorney, law firm staff, or other person who may profit from the pursuit of the objection to be valid, the objection must include the following: (a) a written statement of any legal support for such objection, (b) copies of any papers, briefs, or other documents upon which the objection is based that the objector wishes the judge to consider when reviewing the objection, (c) a list of all persons who will be called to testify in support of the objection, if any and (d) a detailed list of any other objections and any orders pertaining to the prior objections, the objector, or his or her counsel or other person who may profit from the pursuit of the objection submitted in any action in the previous five (5) years. If the Class Member or his or her counsel or other person who may profit from the pursuit of the objection has not objected to any other class action Settlement in the previous five (5) years,

he or she shall affirmatively state so in the written materials provided in connection with the objection to this Settlement.

- 18. Any Settlement Class Member who fails to comply with the provisions in this Order will waive and forfeit any and all rights they may have to object, will have their objection stricken from the record and will lose their rights to appeal from approval of the Settlement. Any such Settlement Class Member shall also be bound by all subsequent proceedings, orders and judgments in this Litigation, including but not limited to the release set forth in the Final Judgment.
- 19. Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant have created a process for Settlement Class Members to claim benefits under the Settlement. The Court preliminarily approves this process and directs the Settlement Administrator to make the Claim Form or its substantial equivalent available to Settlement Class Members in the manner specified in the Notice. The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for effectuating the claims process.
- 20. Settlement Class Members who qualify for and wish to submit a Claim Form shall do so in accordance with the requirements and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form. If the Final Judgment is entered, all Settlement Class Members who qualify for any benefit under the Settlement but fail to submit a claim in accordance with the requirements and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form shall be forever barred from receiving any such benefit but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions in the Final Judgment, including the release.
- 21. This Order shall become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing before the Court entered this Order and before they entered the Settlement Agreement, if: (i) the Court does not enter this Preliminary Approval Order, (ii) Settlement is not finally approved by the Court or is terminated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, (iii) there is no Effective Date or (iv) the number of Opt-Outs is greater than two percent of the estimated Settlement Class. In such event, the Settlement and Settlement Agreement shall become null and void and be of no further force and effect, and neither the Settlement Agreement nor the Court's orders, including this Order, relating to the Settlement shall be used or referred to for any purpose whatsoever.

23. The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing on October 16, 2025 at the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Francisco in Department 302, to consider: (a) whether certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only should be confirmed, (b) whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class, (c) the application by Plaintiff's Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and Settlement Administrator expenses as provided for under the Settlement, (d) the application for Plaintiff's Service Award, as provided for under the Settlement, (e) whether the release of Released Claims as set forth in the Settlement should be provided, (f) whether the Court should enter a Final Order and Judgment and (g) ruling upon such other matters as the Court may deem just and appropriate. The Final Approval Hearing may, from time to time and without further notice to Settlement Class Members, be continued or adjourned by order of the Court. The Settlement Administrator and/or Plaintiff's Counsel will provide notice to any objecting party of any continuance of the Motion for Final Approval.

24. The related time periods for events preceding the Final Approval Hearing are as follows:

<u>Event</u>	<u>Date</u>
Settlement Administrator sends Notice to the Settlement Class (the "Notice Deadline")	No later than 30 days after Entry of Preliminary Approval Order
Last day for Settlement Class Members to opt out or object to the proposed Settlement	30 days prior to the Final Approval Hearing

	1	Total of Carlon and Class Manhamata 15 days aris at the Final Assessal Haming		
COLE & VAN NOTE ATTORNEYS AT LAW 555 12 ²¹¹ STREET, SUITE 2100 OAKLAND, CA 94607 TEL. (510) 891-9800	2	Last day for Settlement Class Members to 15 days prior to the Final Approval Hearing submit Claim Forms		
	3	Date by which Class Counsel is to file No later than 16 Court days prior to the Motion for Final Approval of Settlement Final Approval Hearing		
		and Petition for Award of Attorneys'		
	4	Fees, Costs and Service Award		
	5	Final Approval Hearing Ochharle, 2025		
	6			
	7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	8			
	9	Dated: 6/17/2025		
	10	HONORABLE J OSEPH M. QUIN N ロルスタングループリング JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT CREFT		
	11			
	12			
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			