### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

**★** MAR 09 2018

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

Jayson Carr individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

No. CV18 1477

v.

Convergent Outsourcing, Inc.

SPATT, J.

Jury Demanded

BROWN, M. J.

Defendant.

#### Class Action Complaint for Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 1. Plaintiff Jayson Carr ("Plaintiff" or "Carr") files this Complaint seeking redress for the illegal practices of Defendant, Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Convergent"), in connection with the collection of a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.
- 2. Among other violations, Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. has violated the Plaintiff and the putative class members' rights under the FDCPA by failing to provide them with the notices to which they are entitled by statute; by depriving them of their full ability to resolve their alleged debts.

#### **Parties**

3. Plaintiff Jayson Carr is a citizen of New York State who resides within this District.

- 4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by FDCPA § 1692a(3).
- 5. The alleged debt of Plaintiff is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a in that it is a debt alleged to be owed for personal, family, or household services. The alleged debt was incurred for medical services.
- 6. Defendant is regularly engaged in the collection of debts allegedly due to others.
  - 7. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined by FDCPA § 1692a(6).

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 8. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
  - 9. Declaratory relief is available under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 10. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.
- 11. Venue is also proper in this district since Defendant transact business in this district.

### Factual Allegations

- 12. Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. alleges that Plaintiff owes a debt to Synchrony Bank for medical services.
- 13. In an attempt to collect the alleged debt, on or about March 10, 2017

  Defendant sent Plaintiff a debt collection letter. (Exhibit A)
  - 14. Exhibit A seeks to collect a total debt of \$1,163.07.

- 15. Exhibit A fails to indicate to Plaintiff that amount of the debt was going to continue to increase due to interest that was legally accruing pursuant to the terms of the contract between Plaintiff and Synchrony Bank.
- 16. Exhibit A was the initial letter sent by Defendant to Plaintiff and is hence subject to 15 USC 1692g.
- 17. Exhibit A violates 15 USC 1692e, and various subdivisions thereto, in that it fails to inform the Plaintiff that interest was continuing to accrue on the account.

  Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72 (2d Cir. N.Y. 2016); Carlin v.

  Davidson Fink LLP, 852 F.3d 207, 215-17 (2d Cir. 2017).
- 18. Exhibit A violates the FDCPA, Avila, and Carlin in that the Plaintiff is misled as to the proper amount, character, and status of the debt.
- 19. Exhibit A does not disclose that if the Plaintiff did not pay the alleged debt, the Plaintiff would be liable for accruing interest.
- 20. Neither Synchrony, the Defendant, nor anyone on their behalf has informed the Plaintiff that Synchrony has permanently waived their right to charge interest on the account.
- 21. Exhibit A is deceptive, unfair and unconscionable and violates 15 USC 1692e, 15 USC 1692e(2), 15 USC 1692e(10), 15 USC 1692f, and 15 USC 1692f(1), in that it fails to inform the Plaintiff that interest may continue to accrue on the account.

22. Additionally, the initial letter Defendant sent to the Plaintiff violates 15 USC 1692g(a) in that it fails to properly and effectively convey to Plaintiff the amount of the debt that is allegedly owed as specifically required by the FDCPA.

#### Class Action Allegations

- 23. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
  - a. Based on the fact that the collection letter at the heart of this litigation is a mass-mailed form letters, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical.
  - b. There are questions of law and fact common to the class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. These common questions include whether Exhibit A violates the FDCPA.
  - c. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the class members' claims. All are based on the same facts and legal theories. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received the letter, (i.e., the class members), which is a matter capable of ministerial determination from the Defendants' records.
  - d. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. All claims are based on the same facts and legal theories and Plaintiff's interests are consistent with the interests of the class.
  - e. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection abuse claims.
- 24. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims.
- 25. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

- 26. The class members are generally unsophisticated individuals unaware of the protections afforded them by the FDCPA, which rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action.
- 27. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.
- 28. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, Defendant will seek to certify a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 29. This Count is brought by Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of a class that, according to Defendant's records, consists of: (a) all individuals who have mailing addresses within the United States; and (b) within one year before the filing of this action; (c) were sent a collection letter in a form materially identical or substantially similar to Exhibit A attached to Plaintiff's Complaint (d) which was not returned by the postal service as undeliverable.
- 30. Collection letters, such as those sent by Defendant, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

#### Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

31. Plaintiff restates, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference all foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully in this Count.

#### Class Allegations Related to Exhibit A and/or the Initial Letter

- 32. By sending Exhibit A to Plaintiff, the Defendant violated 15 USC 1692g(a), 15 USC 1692e, 15 USC 1692e(2), 15 USC 1692e(10) and 15 USC 1692f, in that the Defendant did not properly and effectively convey the amount of the debt, and/or did not properly convey the language required by 15 USC 1692g.
- 33. By sending Exhibit A, the Defendant violated 15 USC 1692g(a), 15 USC 1692e, 15 USC 1692e(2), 15 USC 1692e(10) and 15 USC 1692f, in that the Defendant did not properly indicate to the Plaintiff that the alleged debt may continuing to accrue interest.
- 34. By sending Exhibit A, the Defendant also violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 e, e(2), e(5), e(10), and f by falsely indicating that the Plaintiff owed a static amount not subject to interest.
- 35. Defendant's violations of 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq., render Defendant liable to Plaintiff and the Class.
- 36. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, insidious, and unfair debt collection practices, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Class.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks that this Court enter judgment in her favor and in favor of the members of the Class, against Defendant, awarding damages as follows:

- (A) Statutory damages as provided by § 1692k of the FDCPA;
- (B) Attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action;

- (C) Declaratory relief declaring that Exhibit A and/or the initial letter Defendant sent Plaintiff violates the FDCPA; and
- (D) Any other relief this Court deems appropriate and just.

#### **Jury Demand**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury.

Dated: West Islip , New York March 8, 2018

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

S/ JOSEPH MAURO Joseph Mauro The Law Offices of Joseph Mauro, LLC 306 McCall Ave. West Islip, NY 11795 Tel: (631) 669-0921

# **EXHIBIT A**

ATEQSO01 PO Box 1022 Wixom Mi 48393-1022 CHANGE SERVICE REQUESTED



Date: 03/10/2017 Creditor: Synchrony Bank Client Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX5508 Convergent Account #: T-42200451 Merchant. Amazon.com Store Card

Amount Owed: \$1,163.07 Total Balance: \$1,163.07

Your Response is Requested

Dear JAYSON CARR

This notice is being sent to you by a collection agency. The records of Synchrony Bank show that your balance of \$1,163.07 is due in full

If you have any questions about this account please contact our office toil free at 877-606-6800.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume the debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor

Sincerely,

Convergent Outsourcing, Inc 877-606-6800

### THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE. THIS COMMUNICATION IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.

Debt collectors, in accordance with the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. 15 *USC* §1692 *et seq.*, are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to...) The use or threat of violence; ii) The use of obscene or profane language; and iii) Repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt. 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI); 2. Social security, 3. Public assistance (welfare); 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support, 5. Unemployment benefits; 6. Disability benefits; 7. Workers' compensation benefits. 8. Public or private pensions; 9. Veterans' benefits; 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds, and 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

Total amount of debt due as of charge-off \$1163.07
Total amount of interest accrued since charge-off, \$ 0.00
Total amount of non-interest charges or fees accrued since charge-off; \$ 0.00
Total amount of payments made on debt since charge-off; \$ 0.00

NOTICE: PLEASE SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR PAYMENT OPTIONS OR IMPORTANT CONSUMER INFORMATION.

**CEASE DETACH THE BOTTOM HIGHER WITH YOLR PAYMES APPEARS CORRECTLY THROUGH THE	WINDOW OF THE REPLY ENVELOPE ## MISATERSOUTH
877-GDS-5800	
Date: 03/10/2017 Creditor: Synchrony Bank Cheni Account #. XXXXXXXXXXXXXX5508 Convergent Account #. T-42200451 Merchant Amazon.com Store Card Total Batance: \$1,163.07	
New Address:	
CN ST Zip	Convergent Outsourcing, Inc PO Box 9004
Oaytime Phone	Renton WA 98057-9004
Evening Phone: ()	իսկիսիսկմենաիրդիսիսիսիորիարորիիիրդոիիսորիկունիի
If we are calling you in error, please call 855-728-9701 or visit	

89004220045100001163070000000

3 CONVENIENT WAYS TO PAY:
Pay Online: Not Available

Pay by Phone: Please call Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. at 877-606-6800. We offer check by phone, Western Union and debit card.

Pay by Mail: Send Payments to Convergent Outsourcing, Inc., PO Box 9004. Renton WA 98057-9004

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs
License Number 1099671 in Washington State. License Number 1249050 in Texas. License Number 1099672 in Arizona

You may call Robin Ivie at the toll free telephone number on the front side of this letter during normal business hours.

## CONFD SHEET CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

he civil docket sheet. (SEE INS	TRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	OF THIS FORM.)		DEFENDANT	rs					
. (a) PLAINTIFFS  Jayson Carr individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated			Convergent Outs		ng, Inc.					
					SPATT, J.					
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff S	uffolk		County of Residen	ice of Fi	irst Listed	Defendant _			
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)			(1	IN U.S. PLA	INTIFF CASES O	NLY)	ELOC	TION OF
				NOTE:	IN L THE	LAND CON E TRACT O	DEMNATION C F LAND INVOL	ASES, USE TH VED.	E LOCA	ATION OF
				A				BR	OW	VN, M
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Joseph Mauro, Esq.	Iddress, and Telephone Number	)		Attomeys (If Know	vn)					
306 McCall Ave.				•						
West Islip, NY 11795										
I. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only		NCIPAL	, PARTIES	Place an "X" ii and One Box	n One Bo for Defe	ox for Plaintiff) endant)
1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question			(FOT DIVETSILY CLUSCS COM	PTF	DEF			PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	01	1 i i	ncorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In This	ncipal Place State	25.	4 🗇 4
J 2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	O 2	O 2 E	ncorporated and P of Business In A	rincipal Place	o	5 🛈 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citio	en or Subject of a	<b>O</b> 3	O 3 F	oreign Nation	monici State	o	6 🗇 6
				reign Country		، د ت				
V. NATURE OF SUIT		nly)	F	ORFEITURE/PENALT	ΥĪ	BANK	RUPTCY	OTHE	RSTAT	TUTES
3 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure	_		28 USC 158	☐ 375 False		
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	.  _	of Property 21 USC 88		423 Withdra	wal	☐ 400 State		tionment
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	0 6	90 Other		28 USC	157	410 Antitu		nking
140 Negotiable Instrument     150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			$\vdash$	PROPERT	Y RIGHTS	☐ 450 Comr		
& Enforcement of Judgment	The state of the s	Personal Injury	i		0 :	820 Copyrig		☐ 460 Depor		
J 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	,			830 Patent 840 Tradem	a <del>-l</del> -	470 Racke		nuenced and nizations
J 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  340 Marine	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	u		10.	040 Haucin	aik.	₩ 480 Cons		
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR		SOCIAL S		☐ 490 Cable		
3 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	RTY 🖸 7	10 Fair Labor Standards		861 HIA (13		☐ 850 Secur	rities/Cor ange	mmodities/
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	<ul> <li>370 Other Fraud</li> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> </ul>	ر ام	Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		862 Black L 863 DIWC/	.ung (923) DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other		ry Actions
J 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal		40 Railway Labor Act		864 SSID T		☐ 891 Agric	ultural A	Acts
J 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		51 Family and Medical	0:	865 RSI (40	(g))	393 Envir		
196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	<ul> <li>385 Property Damage</li> <li>Product Liability</li> </ul>		Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	.			Act	om or m	nomation
	Med. Malpractice	1 todact Sidonay		91 Empl. Ret. Inc.				☐ 896 Arbit		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		Security Act			TAX SUITS	□ 899 Admi		re Procedure or Appeal of
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	<ul><li>□ 440 Other Civil Rights</li><li>□ 441 Voting</li></ul>	510 Motions to Vacat	ic		lo.	or Defe	U.S. Plaintiff endant)		cv Decis	i Appear or
J 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1 442 Employment	Habeas Corpus:	- 1		0:		hird Party CI C	To The Cont	titutional	lity of
240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	530 General				26 US	hird PNYCLER S. DISTRIC	LCOURT	Fulled	V V
3 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	535 Death Penalty	har 101.4	IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Applica		•	o	""	L.D.	<b>V. I .</b>
3 290 All Other Real Property	O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights		63 Habeas Corpus -		4	MAR	09 201	R	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee	- 1	7	, mark	20 KU	8	*
	Other  448 Education	560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	ر ما	(Prisoner Petition) 65 Other Immigration	- 1			ļ		
	D 446 Education	Confinement	'	Actions		1	ONG ISL	AND O	EEIC	` <u>_</u>
V ODICIN							<del></del>	<del>- 1110 01</del>	<del></del>	<i></i>
🔀 l Original 🔲 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court		nstated or U 3 and	ansferre other di		J 6 Multidistr Litigation			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 USC 1692 et	atute under which you a	re filing			s unless div	ersity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of co	ause:								
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTIO	N I	DEMAND \$		СН	ECK YES only	if demanded	in com	plaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.					JU	RY DEMAND	Ø Yes	. 0	No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
03/08/2018		/s/ Joseph Ma	uro	- <del></del>						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		•	-							
	d11-	00	C							
RECEIPT # 4818A	MOUNT \$ 400 C	APPLYING IFP		JUDGI	E		MAG. JU	DGE		
-	1									

Case 2:18-cv-01477-ADS-GRB Document 1-1 Filed 03/09/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 12

EDNY Revision 12/2011 **CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY** Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. I, Joseph mauro, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): X monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, X the complaint seeks injunctive relief, Case is a class action. An Arbitrator can't the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason X certify a class. Damages may exceed \$150,000 depending on Defendant's net worth. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: None RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: No If you answered "no" above: 2.) a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Convergent Outsourcing Hit with FDCPA Suit Over Alleged Failure to State 'Amount of Debt'