	:1	
1	Ariadne Panagopoulou (AP-2202) Pardalis & Nohavicka, LLP	
2	950 Third Avenue, 25 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
3	New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (718) 777-0400	
4	Facsimile: (718) 777-0599 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
5		DIGEDICE COURT
6		DISTRICT COURT ICT OF NEW YORK
7		
8	Jean Carlos Aguirre, Hector Aguilar, Elvis	
9	Palacios, and Edwin Palacios, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,	COLLECTIVE ACTION
10	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT
11		
12	-V-	) )
13	A & E Plumbing Corp., A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp., Dimitri Tsioulidis, Nico	
14	Tsioulidis, and Estella Tsioulidis, jointly and	)
15	severally,	) )
16		
17	NATURE OF	THE ACTION
18		
19	1. Plaintiffs Jean Carlos Aguirre,	Hector Aguilar, Elvis Palacios, and Edwin
20	Palacios ("Plaintiffs"), bring this action under	the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29
21	U.S.C. §§ 201 et. seq. on behalf of themselves a	and others similarly situated, in order to remedy
22	Defendants' wrongful withholding of Plaintiffs	s' overtime compensation. Plaintiffs also bring
23	these claims under New York Labor Law ("NY	71 I.") Article 6 88 190 et sea. Article 19 88
24		•
25	650 et. seq. as well as the supporting New Yo	ork State Department of Labor Regulations for
26	violations of minimum and overtime wage requ	irements, and failure to comply with notice and
27	record kaaning raquirements	

Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of

2.

8

9

#### 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

28

27

minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL. Defendants' conduct extended beyond the Plaintiffs to all other similarly situated employees. Plaintiffs seek certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of themselves individually and those other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

#### Federal Question Jurisdiction and Supplemental Jurisdiction

3. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the civil action herein arises under the laws of the United States, namely, the Fair Labor Standards Act and 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq. Additionally, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims under 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

#### **Personal Jurisdiction**

4. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants under Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

#### Venue

5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b) (1) and (2) because Defendants conduct business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

THE PARTIES

## <u>Plaintiffs:</u>

- 6. <u>Plaintiff Jean Carlos Aguirre</u> ("Jean Carlos") is an adult individual residing in the state of New York, County of Queens.
- 7. <u>Plaintiff Hector Aguilar</u> ("Hector") is an adult individual residing in the state of New York, County of Kings.
- 8. <u>Plaintiff Elvis Palacios</u> ("Elvis") is an adult individual residing in the state of New York, County of Queens.
- 9. <u>Plaintiff Edwin Palacios</u> ("Edwin") is an adult individual residing in the state of New York, County of Queens.
- 10. During the relevant time period, Plaintiffs were covered employees within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e), employed by Defendants, A & E Plumbing Corp., A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp., Dimitri Tsioulidis, Nico Tsioulidis, and Estella Tsioulidis (collectively "Defendants") and performed work in New York.
- 11. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the NYLL §§ 2, 190, and 651.
- 12. Plaintiffs consented in writing to be a party to the FLSA claims in this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), and their consent forms are attached hereto.

## **Defendants:**

- 13. A & E Plumbing Corp. is a domestic business corporation formed on August 19,2010, organized and existing under the laws of the state of New York.
- 14. <u>A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp.</u> is a domestic business corporation, formed on January 29, 2016, organized and existing under the laws of the state of New York.

Upon information and belief, the Corporate Defendants are related or affiliate

15.

Astoria, New York 11102.

- entities and act as a single integrated employer and/or joint employers of Plaintiffs.

  16. Corporate Defendants operate via an office located in 04-05 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue,
- 17. At all relevant times, A & E Plumbing Corp. and A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp. both individually and jointly maintained control, oversight, and direction over the Plaintiffs, including timekeeping, payroll and other employment practices that applied to them.
- 18. A & E Plumbing Corp. and A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp., are involved in numerous high scale projects, both in New York and in New Jersey, and employ approximately 25 employees at any given time.
- 19. At all relevant times, Defendants were employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a). At all relevant times, Defendants employed, and/or continue to employ, Plaintiffs and each of the Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 20. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Corporate Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made, or business done, was not less than Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) exclusive of separate retail excise taxes, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(ii), both individually and collectively.
- 21. At all relevant times, the Corporate Defendants used goods and materials produced in interstate commerce, and have employed two or more individuals who handled these goods and materials
- 22. <u>Dimitri Tsioulidis</u> ("Dimitri") was, at all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, owner, principal, authorized operator, manager, shareholder and/or agent of the

Corporate Defendants.

- 23. <u>Nico Tsioulidis</u> ("Nico") was, at all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, owner, principal, authorized operator, manager, shareholder and/or agent of the Corporate Defendants.
- 24. <u>Estella Tsioulidis</u> ("Estella") was, at all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, owner, principal, authorized operator, manager, shareholder and/or agent of the Corporate Defendants.
- 25. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, Dimitri, Nico, and Estella, both individually and jointly, had the discretionary power to create and enforce personnel decisions on behalf of the Corporate Defendants, including but not limited to: hiring and terminating employees; setting and authorizing issuance of wages; maintaining employee records; setting employees' schedules; instructing, supervising and training employees; and otherwise controlling the terms and conditions for the Plaintiffs while they were employed by Defendants.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Dimitri, Nico, and Estella, both individually and jointly, set and/or approved the Corporate Defendants' payroll policies, including the unlawful practices complained of herein.
- 27. Specifically, Nico was typically tasked with training, hiring, and firing employees, Demetri set employees' rate of pay and Estella signed off on all of Plaintiff's checks. However, each of the three had the power and authority to perform any of these tasks.
- 28. Dimitri, Nico, and Estella actively participated in the day-to-day operations of the Corporate Defendants and are "covered employers" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and regulations thereunder, 29 C.F.R. § 791.2, and the NYLL § 2, and are

jointly and severally liable, in their individual capacity, for the unpaid wages and other damages sought herein.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### **Plaintiffs' Work for Defendants**

- 29. Plaintiffs were formerly employed by Defendants, A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp., A & E Plumbing Corp., Dimitri Tsioulidis, Nico Tsioulidis, and Estella Tsioulidis (collectively "Defendants") at different intervals ranging from February 2016 to October 2018.
- 30. Plaintiffs were employed as plumbers or plumbers' assistants and worked on high-scale residential and commercial building projects both in New York and New Jersey. Plaintiffs spent their time doing manual labor jobs such as fixing broken pipes, sinks and toilets, installing support for pipes, and repairing tools and equipment such as wrenches, pipe shears and pipe and tubing cutters.
- 31. Plaintiffs were employees engaged in commerce and also regularly handled goods in interstate commerce throughout the course of their employment with Defendants, such as pipes and other plumbing equipment manufactured and distributed throughout New York and New Jersey.
- 32. Throughout the duration of their employment, Plaintiffs did not have any supervisory authority nor did they exercise discretion or independent judgment with respect to matters of significance.
- 33. Plaintiffs never had any managerial duties, such as hiring and firing employees, doing payroll and setting employees' hours of work.
- 34. Throughout the course of their employment, Plaintiffs consistently worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week. However, Plaintiffs were paid a fixed salary per day

6

8

11

10

13

12

15

14

1617

18

19

2021

2223

24

26

25

2728

35. In particular, Plaintiffs were offered a daily pay rate ranging from \$100.00 to

\$150.00, which remained consistent each day, regardless of the number of hours worked per

week. See e.g. Exhibit A, copy of Plaintiff Jean Carlos Aguirre's checks.

36. <u>Plaintiff Jean Carlos Aguirre</u> was employed by Defendants from in or around

February, 2016 to in or around September 2018, as a plumber.

regardless of the number of hours they actually worked.

37. During the period of his employment with Defendants, Jean Carlos typically

worked either five days per week, or six days per week, every other week. He typically worked

from Monday to Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to in or around 5:30 p.m., or even later, depending on

the amount of work assigned that day. Every other week, Jean Carlos worked on a Saturday for

approximately eight (8) hours. Jean Carlos typically took a half hour lunch break during all his

shifts.

38. Accordingly, Jean Carlos worked approximately 45 to 53 hours per week

throughout his employment with Defendants.

39. From in or around February 2016 to in or around June 2016, Jean Carlos was

consistently paid at a rate of \$100.00 per day.

40. From in or around June 2016 to in or around December 2016, Jean Carlos' rate

of pay increased to \$120.00 per day.

41. From in or around January 2017 to in or around October 2017, Jean Carlos' rate

of pay increased to \$130.00 per day.

42. From in or around October 2017 to in or around April 2018, Jean Carlos' rate of

pay increased to \$140.00 per day.

43. From in or around May 2018 to in or around September 2018. Jean Carlos' rate

44. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Jean Carlos' daily rate remained consistent from day to day, in different time periods, irrespective of the number of hours

worked per week.

of pay increased to \$150.00 per day.

45. <u>Plaintiff Hector Aguilar</u> was employed by Defendants from in or around September 2017 to September 2018, first as a plumber's assistant and later as a plumber.

- 46. During the period of his employment with Defendants, Hector typically worked six (6) days per week, from Monday to Saturday, approximately from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. each day. However, during August 2018 to September 2018, Hector stopped working on Saturdays, and only worked five days per week. Hector typically took a 30-minute lunch break during each shift.
- 47. Accordingly, Hector worked approximately fifty-one (51) hours per week, throughout his employment with Defendants, aside from August to September 2018, when he worked approximately 42.5 hours per week.
- 48. From September 2017 to in or around March 2018, Hector was paid at a daily rate of \$130.00.
- 49. Beginning in or around April 2018, Hector's rate of pay increased from \$130.00 to \$140.00 per day.
- 50. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Hector's daily rate remained consistent from day to day, in different time periods, irrespective of the number of hours worked per week.
- 51. <u>Plaintiff Elvis Palacios</u> was employed by Defendants from in or around January, 2018 to May 2018, as a plumber's assistant.

- 52. During the period of his employment with Defendants, Elvis typically worked six (6) days per week, from Monday to Saturday, from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Elvis typically took a half hour lunch break during each shift.
- 53. Accordingly, Elvis worked approximately fifty-one (51) hours per week, throughout his employment with the Defendants.
- 54. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Elvis was consistently paid at a rate of \$100.00 per day.
- 55. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Elvis' daily rate remained consistent from day to day, irrespective of the number of hours worked per week.
- 56. <u>Plaintiff Edwin Palacios</u> was employed by Defendants from in or around January 2018 until October 2018, as a plumber's assistant.
- 57. During the period of his employment with Defendants, Edwin typically worked six (6) days per week, from Monday to Saturday, from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Edwin typically took a half hour lunch break during each shift.
- 58. Accordingly, Edwin worked approximately fifty-one (51) hours per week, throughout his employment with Defendants.
- 59. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Edwin was consistently paid at a rate of \$100.00 per day.
- 60. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Edwin's daily rate remained consistent from day to day, irrespective of the number of hours worked per week.

#### **Defendants' Unlawful Corporate Practices**

- 61. Defendants repeatedly suffered or permitted Plaintiffs to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without paying them the appropriate premium overtime pay of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay.
- 62. Defendants repeatedly paid Plaintiffs Elvis Palacios and Edwin Palacios at a rate which was below the statutory minimum wage in the State of New York.
- 63. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records. Defendants did not implement *any* procedure to keep track of Plaintiffs' hours worked or the hours of work of other employees.
- 64. Plaintiffs were never provided with wage statements showing, *inter alia*, their regular and overtime hours of work each week and their rate of pay. Instead, they were simply given checks showing their total pay each week. *See* **Exhibit A**.
- 65. Plaintiffs were not provided with proper wage notices at the time of hire or at any time thereafter.
- 66. Upon information and belief, while Defendants employed Plaintiffs, they failed to post notices explaining the minimum and overtime wage rights of employees under the FLSA and NYLL and failed to inform Plaintiffs of such rights.
- 67. Plaintiffs have personal knowledge of other employees of Defendants who are similarly situated and who also worked hours, in excess of 40 hours per week, for which they were not compensated at any overtime rate.

#### Defendants were joint employers of Plaintiffs and/or a single integrated employer

68. At all relevant times, Individual and Corporate Defendants were joint employers

of Plaintiffs, acted in the interest of each other with respect to Plaintiffs' and other employees' remuneration, and had common policies and practices as to wages and hours, pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 791.2 and NYLL § 2. Factors indicating joint employment include:

- a. Corporate Defendants all suffered or permitted Plaintiffs to work.
- b. Each of the Defendants acted directly or indirectly in the interest of one another in relation to Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees.
- c. Defendants each have an economic interest in the locations in which Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees worked.
- d. Defendants all simultaneously benefitted from Plaintiffs' work.
- e. Defendants each had either functional and/or formal control over the terms and conditions of work of Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees.
- f. Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees performed work integral to the Corporate Defendants' operation.
- 69. In the alternative, all Defendants functioned together as a single integrated employer of Plaintiffs within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 70. Upon information and belief, Defendants operated through two affiliated entities, namely A & E Plumbing Corp. and A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp., to limit exposure to liability.
- 71. The operations of the two corporations are intermingled and they employ the same personnel, including the Plaintiffs in this action. Upon information and belief, managers and supervisors of each Corporate Defendant were considered, accounted for and publicly held out themselves as managers and supervisors of both Corporate Defendants.

- 72. Accordingly, all non-exempt employees working at any one Corporate Defendant at a particular instance were simultaneously considered and accounted for as employees of both Corporate Defendants collectively.
- 73. Upon information and belief, Defendants operated under an agreement whereby they would treat all their employees, including Plaintiffs, as a pool of workers available to all of them.

#### COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

74. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 203, 207, and 216(b), Plaintiffs bring their First cause of action as a collective action under the FLSA on behalf of themselves and the following collective:

All persons employed by Defendants at any time from October 31, 2015, to the present day (the "Collective Action Period") who worked as plumbers, plumbers' assistants and other non-exempt employees of the Defendants (the "Collective Action Members").

- 75. A collective action is appropriate in these circumstances because Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members are similarly situated, in that they were all subject to Defendants' illegal policies of failing to pay overtime wage for all hours worked above 40 hours per week.
- 76. Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members have substantially similar job duties and are paid pursuant to a similar, if not the same, payment structure.
- 77. The claims of the Plaintiffs stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Fair Labor Standards Act – Unpaid Overtime Wages (Brought on Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members)

- 78. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Collective Action Members, reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations made in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 79. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above 40 hours per week thereby violating the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 80. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as described in this Complaint, has been willful and intentional. Defendants were aware, or should have been aware, that the practices described in this Complaint were unlawful. Accordingly, a three-year statute of limitations applies pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 81. As a result of the Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members have been deprived of overtime compensation and other wages in amounts to be determined at trial, and are thus entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### New York Labor Law – Unpaid Overtime Wages

82. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

- 83. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for all hours worked above 40 hours per week thereby violating the NYLL §§ 190 *et seq.* and the New York State Department of Labor regulations, 12 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 142-2.2.
- 84. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs their overtime compensation lacked a good faith basis within meaning of NYLL § 663.
- 85. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs are entitled to recovery of their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-a).

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

## New York Labor Law - Unpaid Minimum Wages (Brought solely on behalf of Elvis Palacios and Edwin Palacios)

- 86. Plaintiffs Elvis Palacios and Edwin Palacios reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 87. Defendants, at all relevant times, paid Plaintiffs Elvis Palacios and Edwin Palacios less than the applicable statutory minimum wage for their hours worked in violation of NYLL § 652 and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations, including 12 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 142-2.1.
- 88. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs Elvis Palacios and Edwin Palacios the minimum wage lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of NYLL § 663.
- 89. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs Elvis Palacios and Edwin Palacios are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid minimum wages, liquidated

damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-a).

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements**

- 90. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 91. Defendants have failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements listing, inter alia, the regular and overtime hours they worked each week of their employment with Defendants, and the corresponding rate of pay.
- 92. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants statutory damages of Two Hundred and Fifty dollars (\$250) per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000), pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d).

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Notice at Time of Hiring**

- 93. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 94. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs at the time of hiring or at any point thereafter, a notice in their primary language containing, inter alia, their regular hourly rate and overtime rate of pay, and the regular pay day designated by the employer, in violation of NYLL § 195(1).

95. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants statutory damages of Fifty dollars (\$50) per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-b).

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs seek the following relief:

- A. Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative collective action members, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them promptly to file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;
- B. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of in this complaint are unlawful under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, New York Labor Law, Article 6, §§ 190 *et seq.*, and supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations;
- C. Unpaid overtime wages under the FLSA and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the supporting United States Department of Labor regulations;
- D. Unpaid overtime wages and minimum wages (with respect to Plaintiffs Elvis Palacios and Edwin Palacios) under the NYLL, and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL §198(1-a) and § 663(1);
- E. Civil penalties of One Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$1,100.00) for each of Defendants' willful and repeated violations of the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- F. A permanent injunction requiring Defendants to pay all statutorily required wages pursuant to the FLSA and NYLL;
- G. If liquidated damages pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) are not awarded, an award of pre-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;
- H. An award of statutory damages for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiffs with wage notices at the time of their respective hiring, or at any point thereafter, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-b);
- I. An award of statutory damages for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiffs with accurate wage statements pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d);
- J. An award of pre-judgment interest of nine per cent per annum (9%) pursuant to the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules §§ 5001-5004;
- K. An award of post-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 and/or the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 5003;
- L. An award of attorney's fees, costs, and further expenses up to Fifty Dollars (\$50.00), pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and NYLL §§ 198 and 663(1);
  - M. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York October 31, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

## PARDALIS& NOHAVICKA, LLP

By: /s/Ariadne Panagopoulou
Ariadne Panagopoulou (AP-2202)
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
950 Third Avenue, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10022
Tel: 718.777.0400 | Fax: 718.777.0599

Email: ari@pnlawyers.com

## NOTICE OF CONSENT TO JOIN, PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

#### FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT FORM

I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against **A&E Plumbing Corp.**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section §216(b). I hereby designate **Pardalis & Nohavicka LLP** to represent me in such a lawsuit.

Dated: 09/27/2018

Signature

Herfor AGUILAR.

45 BRADFOR ST

BROOKLYN NY Address

929-3398126 Telephone

#### NOTICE OF CONSENT TO JOIN, PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. §216(b)

#### FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT FORM

I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against **A&E Plumbing Corp.**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and the New York Labor Law. I hereby designate **Pardalis & Nohavicka LLP** to represent me in such a lawsuit.

Dated: 9/20/2018

Signature

Jean Carlos Aquirre

Print

31-24 9851

East Elmhurst 11369

Address

929.250.5779

Telephone

## NOTICE OF CONSENT TO JOIN, PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

#### FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT FORM

I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against **A&E Plumbing Corp.**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section §216(b). I hereby designate **Pardalis & Nohavicka LLP** to represent me in such a lawsuit.

Signature

Flus Palacios

Print

177-27 106 voad, Samarca

Address

- 929-461-2319

Telephone

#### NOTICE OF CONSENT TO JOIN, PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

#### FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT FORM

I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against **A&E Plumbing Corp.**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section §216(b). I hereby designate **Pardalis & Nohavicka LLP** to represent me in such a lawsuit.

Dated: 09/27/2018

Signature

Edwin Manuel

Print

Palacios

177-77 106 Road

Zamaica WY

-929-429-1142 Telephone

Telephone

# **EXHIBIT A**

2479 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK A&E PLUMBING CORP. 01-002/210 04-05 25th AVENUE 1-2/210 ASTORIA NY 11102 4/20/2018 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM PAY TO THE ORDER OF \_ JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE \$\*\*840.00 Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100\*\*\* **DOLLARS** JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE 4/14-4/20 "OD 2479" 1:0 210000 21: 808365287" 2368 A&E PLUMBING CORP. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 04-05 25th AVENUE 1-2/210 ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL COM 3/30/2018 PAY TO THE ORDER OF JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE \$ \*\*840.00 Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100\*\*\* **DOLLARS** JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE 3/24-3/30 #OD2368# 1:0210000211: 80836528?# CASH ONLY IF ALL CheckLock "SECURITY FEATURES LISTED ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 2198 A&E PLUMBING CORP. 01-002/210 04-05 25th AVENUE 1-2/210 **ASTORIA NY 11102** AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM 2/23/2018 JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE PAY TO THE \$ \*\*840.00 ORDER OF Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DOLLARS JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE M MO 2/17-2/23 #002198# 1:021000021# 80836528?#

CASH ONLY IF ALL CHECKLOCK TO SECURITY FEATURES LISTED  A&E PLUMBING CORP.	D ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING  JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210	2276
04-05 25th AVENUE ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM	1-2/210	3/16/2018
PAY TO THE JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		\$ **840.00
Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100*********************************	*********	**************************************
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		
MEMO 3/10-3/16	July Mer	<u> </u>
"•005538" ":0570000571: 91	O8365287#	NOTES TO SECURE THE SECURE TO SECURE TO SECURE TO SECURE THE SECUR
CASH ONLY IF ALL CheckLock™ SECURITY FEATURES LISTED	O ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING	
A&E PLUMBING CORP. 04-05 25th AVENUE	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 1-2/210	2329
ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM		3/24/2018
PAY TO THE JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE ORDER OF		\$ **840.00
Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100*********************************	***********	**************************************
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		
MEMO 3/19-3/24	365287#	# Secure Check
**************************************		
A&E PLUMBING CORP.  04-05 25th AVENUE	ED ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYII JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 1-2/210	2219
ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM		3/3/2018
PAY TO THE JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE ORDER OF		\$ **840.00
Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100*********************************	********	**************************************
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		DOLLAND
NEW 2/24-3/2	Star	
## O D 2 2 1 D ## # D 2 1 D D D D 2 1 1 ** D	003053000	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S

CASH ONLY IF ALL Chee	CKLOCK™ SECURITY FEATURES LISTED ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING	
A&E PLUMBING CORP	THORCAN CHASE BANK	2249
04-05 25th AVENUE ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.CO		3/9/2018
PAY TO THE JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		\$ **840.00
Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100********	***************************************	*******
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		DOLLARS 🐧
MEMO 3/3-3/9	021000021: 808365287**	S Securi
CASH ONLY IF ALL Che	ckLock™ SECURITY FEATURES LISTED ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING	
A&E PLUMBING CORP. 04-05 25th AVENUE	IPMORGAN CHASE BANK	2132
ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.CC	DM	2/16/2018
PAY TO THE JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE ORDER OF		\$ **840.00
Eight Hundred Forty and 00/100*******	**************************************	**************************************
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		BOLDWIO
5 /2 "		
		0
MEMO <b>2/10-2/16</b>	) be	EXIC
140		
#002132# #	021000021: 808365287#	
DECEMBER OF STREET		
CASH ONLY IF ALL Chec	KLOCK™ SECURITY FEATURES LISTED ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING	
A&E PLUMBING CORP. 04-05 25th AVENUE	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 1-2/210	2437
ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL C <b>O</b> I	<b>v</b> i	4/13/2018
PAY TO THE JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE ORDER OF		\$ **700.00
Seven Hundred and 00/100*********************************	*******************	DOLLARS
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE	4	
MEMO	- Ziland	06

To Delivery and the second sec		
CASH ONLY IF ALL CheckLock "SECURITY FEATURES LISTED  A&E PLUMBING CORP.	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK	2792
04-05 25th AVENUE ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM	<b>01-002/210</b> 1-2/210	7/13/2018
Pay to the  Order of JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		\$900.00
	**************	<b>*</b>
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		
7/7-7/13	<u> </u>	Finance Check Cock - Sec
A&E PLUMBING CORP.  04-05 25th AVENUE	ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING  JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210  1-2/210	2832
ASTORIA NY 11102  AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM		7/27/2018
Pay to the Order of JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		\$**900.00
Nine Hundred and 00/100*********************************	*******	**************************************
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		
	Saco	00
7/21-7/27	and the same of th	
#002832# #021000021# BO	8365287#	
	Alakana alakan olah kanon atau	
A&E PLUMBING CORP.  04-05 25th AVENUE  ASTORIA NY 11102	N BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING 2  JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 1-2/210	2809
AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM		_7/20/2018 <b>\$</b>
Pay to the Carlos Aguirre		\$ **900.00
Nine Hundred and 00/100*********************************	****	Dollars
JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		
7/14-7/20	Dloes	# ·
No.		

		THE PROPERTY OF COPYING	
	A&E PLUMBING CORP. 04-05 25th AVENUE	URITY FEATURES LISTED ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING  JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 1-2/210	2689
The second second	ASTORIA NY 11102 AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM		6/15/2018
Pay to the Order of _	TO CARLOS AGUIRRE		\$ **900.00
Nine Hu	and C3/100***************	*********************	Oollars C
VC 974	SANA CARLOS AGUIRRE		
CX70 6%		Store	
	00450: "P88500"	00021: 808365287#	
	CASH ONLY IF ALL CheckLock™ SECUR	RITY FEATURES LISTED ON BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING	
	A&E <sup>-</sup> PLUMBING CORP. 04-05 25th AVENUE ASTORIA NY 11102	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 1-2/210	27 <b>44</b> 6/22/2018
	AANDE2PLUMBING@GMAIL.COM		
Pay to the Order of _	JEAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		\$**900.00
M:	dred and 00/100*********************************	******************	
JE	EAN CARLOS AGUIRRE		Ž.
IN TOTAL			Second Se
max 6/16	s-6/22	some men for an arrange har har har har records	
G/10		0021: 808365287#	
	de de la compania de	INTERPRETATION BACK INDICATE NO TAMPERING OR COPYING	
	A&E PLUMBING CORP. 04-05 25th AVENUE	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 01-002/210 1-2/210	2687 6/29/2018
A STATE OF THE STA	AANDEZPLUMBING@GMAIL.COM		\$ 900
Pay to the Order of	cash		6/29/2018 \$ 900
MIL	ne hundred	į	Dollars 🗈
	fi .		* Secure Check
6/23	-6/29	Staush	Intuitie CheckLock
	#*002687#* ##021000	0021: 808365287#	No.

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

## Case 1:18-cv-06086 Document 20 VER 10/31/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 28

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	PRM.)	, 1	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Jean Carlos Aguirre, Hector Aguilar, Elvis Palacios, and Edwin Palacios Aguirre, Hector Aguilar, Elvis Palacios, and Edwin Palacios, and Edwin Palacios, and Edwin Palacios Aguirre, Hector Aguilar, Elvis Palacios, and Edwin Palacios, and Edwin Palacios Aguirre, Hector Aguilar, Elvis Palacios, and Edwin Palacios Aguirre, Hector Agui					orp., A & E Plumbing and ioulidis, and Estella Tsio	d Heating Corp., Dimitri ulidis, jointly and severally
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		· ·
Pardalis & Nonavicka, LL 950 3rd Avenue, Floor 29 New York, NY 10022		<del>1</del> 1		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff			(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			E(	DEFITUDE/DENALTY	DANIZDUDTCV	OTHER STATIFES
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ roduct Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability   367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   PERSONAL PROPER   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal Property Damage   385 Property Damage   385 Property Damage Product Liability   PRISONER PETITION   Habeas Corpus:   463 Alien Detainee   510 Motions to Vacate Sentence   530 General   535 Death Penalty Other:   540 Mandamus & Oth   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition of Confinement	X	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 26 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 12 Naturalization Application 15 Other Immigration Actions	322 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   840 Trademark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))   FEDERAL TAX SUITS   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
	moved from 3  tte Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Star Fair Labor Stands  Brief description of ca	Appellate Court utute under which you ar ards Act, 29 U.S.C.			r District Litigation	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  Unpaid overtime wages  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		N D	EMAND \$ 300,000.00	CHECK YES only  JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 10/31/2018		signature of at /s/ Ariadne Par				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			<u> </u>			
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

exclusive	case 1:18-cv-06080 but at with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000; of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a on to the contrary is filed.
Case is El	igible for Arbitration
/	Panagopoulou , counsel for Plaintiffs , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for
compulso	ry arbitration for the following reason(s):
<u> </u>	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
Ľ	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
L	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
to another substantia deemed "r "Presumpt	all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a I saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be elated" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that ively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still efore the court."
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County?
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffol County?  Yes  No
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?  Yes  No
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received:
	nswer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County?  Yes  No
	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
	✓ Yes
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?
	Yes (If yes, please explain  No
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.
	Signature:/s/ Ariadne Panagopoulou
	Print Save As Reset Last Modified: 11/27/2017

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

	Lastern Distric	torrew fork
Jean Carlos Aguirre, Hector A and Edwin Palacios, on beha others similarly s	alf of themselves and	) ) )
Plaintiff(s	)	)
v.		Civil Action No.
A & E Plumbing Corp., A & E Corp., Dimitri Tsioulidis, Nico Tsioulidis, jointly an	Tsioulidis, and Estella	) ) ) )
Defendant(	(s)	, )
	SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	A & E Plumbing Corp. 04-05 25th Avenue Astoria, New York 11102	A & E Plumbing and Heating Corp. 14-25 140th Street Whistestone, New Jersey, 11357
	Dimitri Tsioulidis, Nico Tsio 04-05 25th Avenue, Astoria	
A lawsuit has been file	d against you.	
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	ed States agency, or an office serve on the plaintiff an answ	u (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you r or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. wer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of n must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, j You also must file your answer		entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Four Ex-Employees Claim A & E Plumbing Corp. Owes Unpaid Overtime Wages