

JUL 22 2025

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

RICK WARREN
COURT CLERK

109

JOSEPH BOINTY, YOVAN
BRINDOU, DIA CAMPBELL-
DETRIXHE, KIMBERLEY
CARROLL, YOVANY
CORDERO SALCEDO,
CLENDON DETRIXHE,
CONCEPCION GEORGE,
ELIZABETH GRIMES, TY
HARPER, SHAWN JOHNSON,
DEREK MANEK, BRENDA KAY
ROBINSON, ZACHARY
WARNER, and SAMANTHA
KING, individually and on behalf of
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEGRIS HEALTH, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. CJ-2023-7235

Judge: Dishman

Consolidated with Case Numbers:

1. CJ-2023-7249
2. CJ-2024-620
3. CJ-2024-621
4. CJ-2024-652
5. CJ-2024-654
6. CJ-2024-666
7. CJ-2024-686
8. CJ-2024-818
9. CJ-2024-819

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

WHEREAS, the above-captioned matter is a putative class action before this Court;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Joseph Bointy, Yovan Brindou, Dia Campbell-Detrixhe, Kimberley Carroll, Yovany Cordero Salcedo, Clendon Detrixhe, Concepcion George, Elizabeth Grimes, Ty Harper, Shawn Johnson, Derek Manek, Brenda Kay Robinson, Zachary Warner, and Samantha King (collectively, "Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives"), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated individuals (the "Settlement Class" or "Settlement Class Members,"), and Defendant Integris Health, Inc. ("Integris" or

“Defendant”) have entered into the Settlement Agreement,¹ which is subject to review and approval by the Court under 12 O.S. § 2023, and which, together with its exhibits, provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted in the Action against Integris should the Court grant Final Approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion requesting entry of an order to: (1) conditionally certify the Settlement Class; (2) granting preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement; (3) appoint Plaintiffs as Class Representatives; (4) appoint William B. Federman of Federman & Sherwood and James J. Pizzirusso of Hausfeld LLP as Class Counsel; (5) approve the method and form of notice to be sent to the Settlement Class Members; (6) approve the Claim Form and claims process; (7) order the Settlement’s opt out and objection procedures; (8) appoint the Settlement Administrator; (9) stay all deadlines in the Action pending Final Approval of the Settlement; (10) enjoin and bar all members of the Settlement Class from initiating or continuing in any litigation or asserting any claims against Integris and the Released Parties arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the Released Claims prior to the Court’s decision to grant Final Approval of the Settlement; and (11) set a date for the Final Approval Hearing;

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed the Motion along with the Settlement Agreement and its exhibits finds that substantial and efficient grounds exist for entering this Preliminary Approval Order granting the relief requested;

¹ The capitalized terms used herein are defined and have the same meaning as used in the Settlement Agreement unless otherwise stated. The Settlement Agreement is attached to the Motion for Preliminary Approval as an exhibit.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. **Settlement Class Certification:** Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 2023(C)(1), the Action is hereby preliminarily certified as a class action on behalf of the following Settlement Class:

All living individuals residing in the United States whose PII and PHI was or may have been accessed or acquired in the Data Security Incident, but specifically excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i) Defendant, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and Defendant's affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, officers, directors, legal representatives, successors, and assigns; (ii) any judge, justice, or judicial officer presiding over the Litigation and the members of their immediate families and judicial staff; and (iii) any individual who timely and validly excludes themselves from the Settlement pursuant to the terms herein.

2. **Class Representatives:** The Court approves Plaintiffs Joseph Bointy, Yovan Brindou, Dia Campbell-Detrixhe, Kimberley Carroll, Yovany Cordero Salcedo, Clendon Detrixhe, Concepcion George, Elizabeth Grimes, Ty Harper, Shawn Johnson, Derek Manek, Brenda Kay Robinson, Zachary Warner, and Samantha King as Class Representatives having found them as adequate class representatives.

3. **Class Counsel:** The Court appoints William B. Federman of Federman & Sherwood and James J. Pizzirusso of Hausfeld LLP as Class Counsel to serve as Class Counsel. In appointing class counsel, 12 O. S. § 2023(F) requires the Court to consider (1) the work counsel has done in identifying or investigating potential claims in the action; (2) counsel's experience in handling class actions, other complex litigation, and other types of claims asserted in the action; (3) counsel's knowledge of the applicable law; and (4) the resources that counsel will commit to representing the class. The Court may also consider other matters pertinent to counsel's ability to fairly and adequately represent the interest of

the class. 12 O.S. § 2023(F). The Court finds that proposed Class Counsel have expended a reasonable amount of time, effort, and expense investigating the Data Security Incident and that Class Counsel are highly skilled and knowledgeable concerning class action practice.

4. **Settlement Class Findings**: The Court finds, for purposes of settlement only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements of 12 O.S. §§ 2023(A) and (B). Joinder of all Class Members in a single proceeding would be impracticable, if not impossible, because of their numbers and dispersion. Common issues exist among Class Members and predominate over questions affecting individual Class Members only: in particular, whether Integris was negligent regarding its handling of Plaintiffs' PII/PHI. The Class Representatives' claims are typical of those of the Class, as the Class Representatives had or may have had their PII/PHI accessed or acquired in the Data Security Incident. The Class Representatives and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class as the Class Representatives have no interests antagonistic to the Class and have retained counsel who are experienced and competent to prosecute this matter on behalf of the Class. Finally, a class settlement is superior to other methods available for a fair resolution of the controversy.

5. **Preliminary Approval of Settlement**: The Court hereby preliminarily approves the settlement, as embodied in the Settlement Agreement, as being fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interest of the named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing to be conducted as described herein. The Court finds the Settlement meets the considerations set for in 12 O.S.

§ 2023.

6. **Settlement Administrator:** The Parties are authorized to use Simpluris as the Settlement Administrator to supervise and administer the notice program, as well as to administer the Settlement should the Court grant Final Approval.

7. **Approval of Notice Program and Notices:** The Court approves the form and procedure for disseminating notice of the proposed settlement to the Class as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the notice program: (a) is the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (b) constitutes notice that is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the Settlement, the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases contained therein), and their right to opt-out of or to object to the proposed Settlement and appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (c) constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (d) satisfies the requirements of 12 O.S. § 2023, including the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution, the rules of this Court and all other applicable law and rules. The date and time of the Final Approval Hearing shall be posted on the Settlement Website and included in the Long Form Notice, Postcard Notice, and Email Notice, respectively, before they are mailed or published.

8. **Claim Form and Claims Process:** The Court approves the Claim Form as set forth in the Settlement and the Claims process to be implemented by the Settlement Administrator. The Claim Form is straightforward and easy to complete. Should the Court grant Final Approval to the Settlement, Settlement Class Members who do not opt-out of

the Settlement shall be bound by its terms even if they do not submit Claims.

9. As set forth in the Settlement Agreement, Integris shall bear all costs and expenses associated with providing notice to the Class and administering the proposed settlement.

10. **Dissemination of Notice and Claim Forms:** The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to disseminate the notices and Claim Form as approved herein. Class Counsel and Integris's Counsel are hereby authorized to use all reasonable procedures in connection with approval and administration of the Settlement that are not materially inconsistent with this order or the Settlement, including making, without the Court's further approval, minor form or content changes to the Notices and Claim Form they jointly agree are reasonable or necessary.

11. **Objections to the Settlement:** Any member of the Class who intends to object or comment on the request for final approval of the Settlement Agreement or on the Fee Application must, no later than sixty (60) days after the Notice Date, file his or her objection or comment with the Court, and provide copies of the objection or comment to: (1) the Court; and (2) Class Counsel. To state a valid objection to the Settlement, a Class Member must: (i) include the case name and number of the Litigation (*Bointy, et al. v. Integris Health, Inc.*, Case No. CJ-2023-7235), (ii) set forth the Settlement Class Member's full name, current address, telephone number, and email address; (iii) contain the Settlement Class Member's personal and original signature; (iv) contain a statement affirming that the Settlement Class Member is a member of the Settlement Class because he or she received the Notice of Data Security Incident letter from Defendant; (v) state that

the Settlement Class Member objects to the Settlement, in whole or in part; (vi) set forth a statement of the legal and factual basis for the Objection; (vii) provide copies of any documents that the Settlement Class Member wishes to submit in support of his/her position; (viii) identify any attorney representing the Settlement Class Member with respect to, or who provided assistance to the Settlement Class Member in drafting, his or her Objection, if any; (ix) contain the signature, name, address, telephone number, and email address of the Settlement Class Member's attorney, if any; (x) contain a list, including case name, court, and docket number, of all other cases in which the objector and/or the objector's counsel has filed an objection to any proposed class action settlement in the past three (3) years; (xi) state whether the objection applies only to the Settlement Class Member, to a specific subset of the Settlement Class, or to the entire Settlement Class, and (xii) state whether the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and if so, whether personally or through an attorney.

12. In addition, if the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing through counsel, his or her written Objection must also (i) identify the attorney(s) representing the objecting Settlement Class Member who will appear at the Final Approval Hearing and include the attorney(s) name, address, phone number, e-mail address, state bar(s) to which counsel is admitted, as well as associated state bar numbers; (ii) identify any witnesses whom the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to call to testify; and (iii) include a description of any documents or evidence that the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to offer.

13. Any objecting Class Member may appear, in person or by counsel, at the

Final Approval Hearing to show cause why the proposed Settlement should not be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable, or to object to any application of attorneys' fees, Service Awards, and reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, but only if the Class Member has first filed written objections to the proposed settlement by the deadline set forth in this Order. The objecting Class Member must file and serve on all counsel designated in the Class Notice, a notice of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing ("Notice of Intention to Appear") by the Objection Deadline. The Notice of Intention to Appear must include copies of all papers, exhibits, or other evidence that the objecting Class Members (or his/her counsel) will present to the Court in connection with the Final Approval Hearing. Any Class Member who does not provide a Notice of Intention to Appear by the deadline set forth in this Order, and who does not file an objection that complies with the requirements set forth in this Order and the Class Notice, will be deemed to have waived any objections to the Settlement and will be barred from speaking or otherwise presenting views at the Final Approval Hearing.

14. If a Class Member files an objection to the Settlement, Class Counsel or Integris's Counsel may depose the objector consistent with the Oklahoma Statutes at an agreed upon location and seek to have the objector produce documentary evidence or other tangible things relevant to the objection. An objector's failure to make him or herself available for deposition or to comply with expedited discovery requests may result in the Court striking the objector's objection or otherwise affecting that person's substantive rights. The Court reserves the right to tax the costs of such discovery to the objector or the objector's counsel should it determine that the objection was frivolous and was made for

improper purposes.

15. **Opt-Outs from the Settlement Class**: Any Class Member shall have the right to opt out of the Class and the Settlement by sending a written request for exclusion from the Class to the addresses listed in the Notices, postmarked or delivered no later than sixty (60) days after the Notice Date. To be effective, an opt-out request must (i) identify the case name and number of this Litigation (*Bointy, et al. v. Integrus Health, Inc.*, Case No. CJ-2023-7235); (ii) state the Settlement Class Member's full name, address, email address, and telephone number; (iii) contain the Settlement Class Member's personal and original signature; (iv) state unequivocally the Settlement Class Member's intent to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and; (v) request exclusion only for that one Settlement Class Member whose personal and original signature appears on the request. To be effective, a Request for Exclusion must be postmarked no later than sixty (60) Days after the Notice Date. Any Class Member who does not submit a timely and valid opt-out shall be subject to and bound by the Settlement Agreement and every order or judgment entered concerning the Settlement Agreement.

16. **Termination**: If the Settlement is terminated, not approved, canceled, fails to become effective for any reason, or the Effective Date does not occur, this order shall become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class members, and Integrus, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action as provided in the Agreement.

17. **Stay**: All pretrial proceedings in this Action are stayed and suspended until further order of this Court, except such actions as may be necessary to implement the

Settlement and this Preliminary Approval Order.

18. Upon the entry of this order, with the exception of Class Counsel, Integris's Counsel, Integris, and the Class Representatives implementation of the Settlement and the approval process in this Action, all members of the Settlement Class shall be provisionally enjoined and barred from asserting any claims or continuing any litigation against Integris and the Released Parties arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the Released Claims prior to the Court's decision as to whether to grant Final Approval of the Settlement.

19. **Jurisdiction:** For the benefit of the Settlement Class and to protect this Court's jurisdiction, this Court retains continuing jurisdiction over the Settlement proceedings to ensure the effectuation thereof in accordance with the Settlement preliminarily approved herein and the related orders of this Court.

20. **Final Approval Hearing:** A hearing on final approval of the Settlement Agreement, an award of fees and expenses to Class Counsel, and a Service Award to the Class Representatives (the "Final Approval Hearing") shall be held on the 16th day of December, 2025 at 10:00 A.M. (central) before the undersigned in Courtroom _____ of the District Court of Oklahoma County, 321 Park Ave., Oklahoma City, OK 73102. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider (a) whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the class; (b) whether a judgment granting approval of the Settlement and dismissing the lawsuit with prejudice should be entered; and (c) whether Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and expenses and Service Award for the Class Representatives should be granted.

21. **Fee Application:** Class Counsel shall file an application for attorneys' fees

and costs and Service Award to the Class Representatives (“Fee Application”) within forty-five (45) days after the Notice Deadline.

22. **Final Approval:** Counsel for the respective parties shall file memoranda, declarations, and other statements and materials in support of the request for final approval of the parties’ Settlement Agreement no later than two (2) weeks before the Final Approval Hearing.

23. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Approval Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member’s objection to the Settlement Agreement so as to protect the due process rights of all Class Members.

24. No later than five (5) days before the Final Approval Hearing, the Parties shall have the option to file any reply in support of the final approval of the Settlement Agreement and Class Counsel shall have the option to file any reply in support of the Fee Application, if necessary.

25. The Court reserves the right to adjust the date of the Final Approval Hearing and related deadlines. If dates are altered, the revised hearing date and deadlines shall be posted on the settlement website referenced in the Class Notice. The parties will not be required to re-send or re-publish class notice.

26. **Schedule:** The Court hereby sets the following schedule of events:

| Event | Date |
|---|---|
| Defendant to Provide Class Member Information to Settlement Administrator | 30 days after Preliminary Approval |
| Notice Deadline | 60 days after Preliminary Approval |
| Deadline to File Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Award | 14 days before Objection Deadline |
| Opt Out Deadline | 60 days after the Notice Deadline |
| Objection Deadline | 60 days after the Notice Deadline |
| Deadline to Respond to Objections | 7 days before Final Approval Hearing |
| Deadline to Submit Claim Forms | 90 days after the Notice Deadline |
| Deadline to File Motion for Final Approval | 14 days before Final Approval Hearing |
| Final Approval Hearing | <u>December 16</u> , 2025 at <u>12:00</u> (a.m)/p.m. (central) <i>*To be scheduled by the Court at least 120 days after the Order Granting Preliminary Approval is entered.</i> |

IT IS SO ORDERED this 22nd day of July, 2025.

C BRENT DISHMAN

HONORABLE JUDGE DISHMAN

CERTIFIED COPY
AS FILED OF RECORD
IN DISTRICT COURT


JUL 22 2025

RICK WARREN COURT CLERK
Oklahoma County

Rick Warren

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

**INTERIM CO-LEAD CLASS
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
AND THE PUTATIVE CLASS:**



William B. Federman, OBA #2853
Federman & Sherwood
10205 N. Pennsylvania Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73120
Telephone: (405) 235-1560
Email: wbf@federmanlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT:



Amanda N. Harvey, OBA #36327
Kayleigh J. Watson, OBA #36348
Mullen Coughlin, LLC
1452 Hughes Rd., Suite 200
Grapevine, TX 76051
(267) 930-2306 – Office
aharvey@mullen.law
kwatson@mullen.law