UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI OXFORD DIVISION

SHANDA CAFFEY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Civil Case Number:

Plaintiffs.

CIVIL ACTION

-against-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTS SERVICES TEAM, INC.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff SHANDA CAFFEY, (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a Mississippi resident, brings this class action complaint by and through the undersigned attorneys against Defendant FINANCIAL ACCOUNT SERVICES TEAM, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant" or "FAST"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

3. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Mississippi consumers seeking

redress for Defendant's actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.

- 4. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 5. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of Mississippi and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- Defendant is a collection agency with its principal office located at 8300 Kingston Pike, Knoxville, TN 37939.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 10. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 11. Some time prior to April 14, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to KROGER FOOD STORES.
- 12. The KROGER FOOD STORES obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for

personal, family or household purposes.

13. The alleged KROGER FOOD STORES obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§

1692a(5).

14. KROGER FOOD STORES is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.\(\) 1692a(4).

15. Some time prior to April 14, 2017, the KROGER FOOD STORES debt was assigned to or

purchased by the Defendant.

16. On or about April 14, 2017, the Defendant caused to be delivered to the Plaintiff a

collection letter (hereinafter "Letter") in an attempt to collect the alleged KROGER FOOD

STORES debt. See Exhibit A.

17. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt

collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

18. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).

19. The Plaintiff received and read the Letter sometime after April 14, 2017.

20. The Letter stated in part:

AMOUNT DUE: \$89.08

TOTAL ACCOUNT BALANCES: \$149.78

21. Upon reading the above, the Plaintiff, as would any unsophisticated consumer, was left

unsure as to the balance of the debt; either \$89.08 or \$149.78.

22. By failing to accurately state the amount of the debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA and

harmed the Plaintiff.

23. The Plaintiff was harmed by being misrepresented as to the amount of the debt, by being

subjected to abusive collection practices which she had a substantive right to be free from,

and by the Defendant attempting to charge two different amounts without providing an

3

explanation of the charges in the Collection Letter. Defendant further created the risk of harm that the Plaintiff would (1) pay \$149.78, thereby paying more money than she actually owed, or (2) pay the \$89.08, thereby believing she was satisfying the balance, when in actuality, Plaintiff would still owe an additional \$60.70.

24. The Letter further stated:

"We are now recommending research into your current financial situation and assets available."

- 25. Upon information and belief, no research into the Plaintiff's current financial situation and assets available was taking place for an alleged debt of \$89.08 or \$149.78.
- 26. The FDCPA prohibits a broad range of false, misleading or overreaching tactics in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 27. The collection letter mailed to the Plaintiff created the false and misleading impression that the Defendant would obtain the Plaintiff's financial information in an effort to collect the alleged debt.
- 28. Defendant's violations of the FDCPA thus bore the risk of real harm that the Plaintiff would be far more fearful of a collection letter that threatens to research her financial situation; and that the Plaintiff would therefore pay the alleged debt without even being certain of the amount owed to the creditor.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

38. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer classes:

Class A, consisting of: a) All consumers who have an address in the State of Mississippi b) who were sent a collection letter from the Defendant c) attempting

to collect a consumer Kroger debt d) that attempts to charge a "total account balances" amount other than that which equals the "amount due" e) which letter was sent on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date 21 days after the filing of this action.

Class B, consisting of: a) All consumers who have an address in the State of Mississippi b) who were sent a collection letter from the Defendant c) attempting to collect a consumer Kroger debt d) that states, "We are now recommending research into your current financial situation and assets available" e) where there was no intention of recommending or conducting such research f) which letter was sent on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date 21 days after the filing of this action.

- 39. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 40. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 41. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 42. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same

- facts and legal theories.
- 43. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 44. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
 - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

 The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are averse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter.

Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 45. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 46. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seg.

- 29. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 30. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated

various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

31. Section 15 U.S.C. §1692e provides:

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the application of the foregoing, the following is conduct is a violation: . . .

- 32. The Defendant violated said section by:
 - Falsely representing the amount, character, or legal status of the debt in violation of §1692e(2),
 - Threatening to take action that is not intended to be taken in violation of §1692e(5).
 - The use of false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a debt in violation of §1692e(10).
- 33. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq*. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Ari Marcus, Esq. and Yitzchak Zelman, Esq. as Class Counsel:
 - (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
 - (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
 - (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and

(f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher E. Kittell
CHRISTOPHER E. KITTELL, MSB # 99615
KITTELL LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 568
2464 Church Street, Suite A
Hernando, MS 38632
Phone: (662) 298-3456

Fax: (855) 896-8772 ckittell@kittell-law.com Attorney for Plaintiff P.O. BOX 11567 8300 KINGSTON PIKE KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37939-1566

(865) 862-0580 FAX (865) 690-6777

MON - FRI 8:00AM - 5:30PM / TUE-THURS 8:00AM - 7:00PM TOLL FREE (800) 304-1805 / www.PayFastToday.com



Apr 14 2017

AMOUNT DUE: \$89.08 ACCOUNT #: 2060826-597

TOTAL ACCOUNT BALANCES: \$149.78 CREDITOR: KROGER FOOD STORES

Notice Of Probable Research

Apparently you have chosen to ignore our previous request for payment of this claim. We are now recommending research into your current financial situation and assets available.

If research indicates that you are financially able to pay this obligation, we will give this information to your creditor and recommend that all appropriate means available to be used to collect this debt.

If you wish to avoid this collection activity, send the balance in full to this office in the envelope provided.

This is an attempt to collect a debt, any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Financial Accounts Services Team, Inc., is a debt collector.

Please tear along the fold and return this portion with your payment.

1025-890

P.O. Box 11567
8300 Kingston Pike
Knoxville, Tennessee 37939-1566

Apr 14 2017			
Phone Number			

	իկրկրկիր	1111	1/11/11/11	արտարարար	
Contract Con	PERSONA SHANDA	THE		CONFIDENTIAL	

332 LILES RD HOLLY SPRINGS MS 38635-7033

IF PAYING BY VISA, M	ASTERCARD OR AMERICAN EX	(PRESS, FILL OUT BELOW		
VISA	MASTERCARD	□AMER, EXP		
CARD NUMBER	EXP. DATE	AMOUNT		
SIGNATURE	MUST INCLUDE 3 DIGIT SECURITY CODE FROM BACK OF CARD	BILLING ZIP CODE		

ACCOUNT #: 2060826 ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$89.08 KROGER FOOD STORES AGENCY BALANCE: \$149.78

Financial Accounts Services Team, Inc.
P.O. Box 11567
8300 Kingston Pike
Knoxville, Tennessee 37939-1566

KONTON

1 of 1

1025-304-890

DAD SET A O

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	5				
Shanda Caffey, individua	illy and on behalf of al	l others similarly sit	tuated	Financial Account	s Services	Team, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Marshall County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Christopher E. Kittell, Kitt 38632, (662) 298-3456	tell Law Firm, P.O. Bo	x 568, Hernando, N	ИS						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government 🔀 3 Federal Question				TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		for Defendo PTF	ant) DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				Citizen of Another State					□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	1 3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		oly)	l F(ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature o		escription STATUT	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	68 68 1	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act C Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note In Immigration C Nations Other Immigration C Naturalization Application C Naturalization	□ 423 With 28 U PROPEI □ 820 Copy □ 830 Pater □ 835 Pater New □ 840 Trad □ 862 Blacl □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (FEDER □ 870 Taxe or D □ 871 IRS— 26 U	RTY RIGHTS rights at tt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	□ 480 Consun □ 490 Cable/S □ 850 Securiti Exchan □ 891 Agricul □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedor □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Admini Act/Rev	m (31 USC))) eapportions st und Bankin, erce tion ere Influenc Organizati ere Credit sat TV tes/Commo uge tatutory Ac tural Acts mental Mat m of Inform tion strative Pro view or Ap Decision utionality o	ment g ced and ions ddities/ ctions tters nation ocedure peal of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in		D 116	3 4 D :	1 7.6	•	= C M total		3.6.1011	
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo _l	1	er District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 U.S.C. 1692, or Brief description of ca	et seq.		Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless di				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only BURY DEMAND:		n complair □No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 04/16/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/ Christophe							
RECEIPT # 1542666AA	моинт \$400	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	Brown	MAG. JUD	GE Perc	У	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Debt Collection Suit Claims Financial Accounts Services Team Sent Misleading Letter</u>