### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

THERESA BUSZTA, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

v.

QUALITY MIDWESTERN HOLDINGS, INC. d/b/a/ "QUALITY SERVICES MOVING"

### **Registered Agent:**

Edward Graves 10595 Furnace Rd Ste 140 Lorton, VA 22079

and

EDWARD GRAVES 10595 Furnace Rd Ste 140 Lorton, VA 22079

Defendants.

### JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**CASE NO.:** 1:18cv593 (LO/IDD)

### **COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR PAYMENT OF OVERTIME WAGES**

Plaintiff Theresa Buszta ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by her attorneys, files this Collective and Class Action Complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendant Quality Midwestern Holdings Inc. d/b/a "Quality Services Moving" and Defendant Edward Graves (collectively, the "Defendants") seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C §§ 201 et seq.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This lawsuit seeks to recover unpaid wages and overtime compensation for

Plaintiff and other similarly situated co-workers who work or have worked for Quality Services Moving.

2. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of herself and other current and former employees as well as those similarly situated current and former employees holding comparable positions but different titles employed by Defendants who elect to opt in to this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (hereinafter the "Collective" or "Collective Action Members"), that they are entitled to, (i) unpaid wages for all hours worked in a workweek, as required by law, (ii) unpaid overtime wages for hours worked above 40 in a workweek, as required by law, and (iii) liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and this Court's Federal Question Jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendants are headquartered in, and regularly conduct business in, this district.

### THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Theresa Buszta is a former employee of Defendants.
- 6. At all relevant time, Plaintiff Theresa Buszta was a citizen of the Commonwealth of Virginia.
- 7. During all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants, including from June 15, 2017 to January 5, 2018 in and around Lorton, Virginia.
- 8. Defendant Quality Midwestern Holdings, Inc., d/b/a/ "Quality Services Moving" is a company with its principal place of business located at 10595 Furnace Road, Lorton, Virginia 22079.

- 9. Defendant Edward Graves is the president of Defendant Quality Midwestern Holdings, Inc. d/b/a "Quality Services Moving."
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Edward Graves is an owner and principal person who exerts control over Defendant Quality Midwestern Holdings Inc. d/b/a "Quality Services Moving" and is a resident of Prince William County.
- 11. At all times relevant, the Defendants were Plaintiff's "employer" for purposes of the FLSA.
- 12. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were employers within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members.
- 14. Defendants maintain control, oversight, and discretion over the operations of worksites, including employment practices with respect to Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members.
- 15. Plaintiff worked as a "Mover," a position that entailed driving Sprinter vans to sites to ship and deliver items for clients, which included loading and unloading trucks, preparing the trucks for shipments, and driving to and from the delivery sites.
- 16. During all relevant times, Plaintiff and Collective Action Members drove and/or loaded and/or served as drivers' helpers for vehicles weighing less than 10,000lbs., such as a Sprinter van, which had a Gross Vehicle Weight Rating ("GVWR") of 8,550 lbs.
- 17. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members would regularly perform work for which Defendants did not pay them wages.

- 18. Specifically, if Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members were still working at a job site, they would not be paid for driving back from the job site to the office. Thus, even though Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members would have to complete the job and drive back to headquarters and return their vans, Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members would not receive wages for driving back from the job site to the office.
- 19. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members were required to show up to work at 7:00 am. However, upon arrival, Plaintiff and Collective Action Members would have to wait for the administrators to arrive and assign them jobs. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members were not paid for this time even though they were required to be there. In addition, Plaintiff and Collective Action Members were not paid to prep their vans, and only received a set amount of pay for driving within certain distances. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members were not paid for the actual amount of time they spent driving if the time exceeded the amount of pay set by the company for the particular distance driven.
- 20. For example, during the pay period between September 16, 2017 to September 29, 2017, Defendants' records indicate that Plaintiff worked a total of 101.97 hours for Defendants. During this pay period, she received exactly \$0 in overtime compensation even though she worked well over 40 hours per work week.
- 21. By way of further example, during the pay period between September 30, 2017 and October 13, 2017, Defendants' pay records indicate Plaintiff worked a total of 95.22 hours for Defendants. During this pay period, she received exactly \$0 in overtime compensation even though she worked well over 40 per work week.
- 22. By way of further example, during the pay period between October 14, 2017 and October 27, 2017, Defendants' pay records indicate that Plaintiff worked a total of 152.16 hours

for Defendants. During this pay period, she received exactly \$0 in overtime compensation even though she worked well over 40 hours per work week.

- 23. During each of the of foregoing example pay periods and throughout her employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was *required* to show up before her shift and wait for the administrators to assign tasks to her. Plaintiff was not paid for this time even though she was required to show up at Defendants' headquarters. Defendants also did not pay Plaintiff for any time she spent driving back from the job site to the office. Thus, the hours worked on Defendants' pay records are not accurate because Plaintiff worked off the clock.
- 24. Consistent with Defendants' policy, pattern, and/or practice, Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek without being paid overtime wages and regularly worked off of the clock in violation of the FLSA.
- 25. All of the overtime compensation and off the clock compensation due to Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members remained unpaid for thirty days beyond the regularly scheduled payday.
- 26. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members' work as Movers was integrated into and performed in the normal course of Defendants' business.
- 27. Consistent with Defendants' policy, pattern, and/or practice, Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek without being paid wages for all hours worked and without being paid overtime wages, in violation of the FLSA.

### **FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

28. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members as defined above.

- 29. Plaintiff desires to pursue her FLSA claim on behalf of any individuals who opt in to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 30. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members are "similarly situated" as that term is used in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), because, *inter alia*, all such individuals worked pursuant to Defendants' above described common business policies and practices and, as a result of such policies and practices, were not paid the full and legally mandated wages for all hour worked in a workweek or the legally mandated overtime premium for hours worked over 40 during a workweek.
- 31. Resolution of this action requires inquiry into common facts, including, *inter alia*, Defendants' common compensation, timekeeping, and payroll practices.
- 32. Specifically, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members the legally required amount of overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek, in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder, including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1), 215(a), and 29 C.F.R. § 778.104, because Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members at a rate of 1.5 times its regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 33. These similarly situated individuals are known to Defendants, are readily identifiable, and can be located through Defendants' payroll record which Defendants were required to maintain pursuant to the FLSA. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 211(c); 29 C.F.R. § 215.2, *et seq*.
- 34. Conditional certification of this case as a collective matter pursuant to U.S.C. § 216(b) is proper and necessary so that these employees may be readily notified of this action through direct U.S. mail and/or other means including email and allowed to opt in for the

purpose of collectively adjudicating their claims for overtime compensation, liquidated damages (or, alternatively, interest), and attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA.

35. There are many similarly situated current and former Movers who have not been paid for all wages worked and have not been paid proper overtime wages in violation of the FLSA who would benefit from the issuance of a court-supervised notice of this lawsuit and the opportunity to join it. Thus, notice should be sent to the Collective pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 36. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all Collective Action Members, realleges and incorporates by reference the receding paragraphs.
- 37. At all relevant times, Defendants have been and continue to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 38. At all relevant times, Defendants employed, and/or continues to employ, Plaintiff and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 39. At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of willfully refusing to pay its Movers, including Plaintiff and all Collective Action Members, for all hours worked.
- 40. At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of willfully refusing to pay its Movers, including Plaintiff and all Collective Action Members, the legally required amount of overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek, in violation of the FLSA.
- 41. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Action members at a rate not less than 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for work performed in

excess of 40 hours in a workweek, Defendants have violated and continue to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1), 215(a), and 29 C.F.R. §§ 778.104.

- 42. Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 43. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover all unpaid wages, including unpaid wages for the legally required amount of overtime compensation for all hours worked by them in excess of 40 in a workweek, actual and liquidated damages, including the employer's share of FCA, FUTA, state employment insurance, and any other required employment taxes, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

44. The Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members are entitled to and pray for the following relief:

- a. Designation of this action as an FLSA collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and the Collective Action Class and prompt issuance of pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the Collective Action Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and tolling the statute of limitations;
- A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;

c. An injunction requiring Defendants to cease its unlawful practices under, and

comply with the FLSA;

d. An award of unpaid wages for all hours worked and for all unpaid overtime wages

for hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek at a rate of one and one-half times

the regular rate of pay under the FLSA.

e. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful

failure to pay for all hours worked and all hours worked in excess of 40 in a

workweek at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay pursuant to 29

U.S.C. § 216;

f. An award of damages representing the employers' share of FICA, FUTA, state

unemployment insurance, and any other required employment taxes.

g. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys'

and expert fees and an award of a service payment to the Plaintiff; and

h. Such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury with respect to each claim in this Complaint.

Date: May 17, 2018.

Respectfully Submitted, Plaintiff

By Counsel

Matthew T. Sutter, Esq., VSB No. 66741

Sutter & Terpak, PLLC

7540 Little River Tnpk.

Suite A, First Floor

Annandale, VA 22003

Tel: (703) 256-1800

Fax: (703) 991-6116

Email: matt@sutterandterpak.com

9

Nicholas A. Migliaccio, Esq.\* Jason S. Rathod, Esq.\* Migliaccio & Rathod LLP 412 H Street N.E., Suite 302 Washington, D.C. 20002 Tel: (202) 470-3520

Tel: (202) 470-3520 Fax: (202) 800-2730

<sup>\*</sup> Pro hac vice admission to be sought

### **NOTICE OF CONSENT**

By my signature below, I consent to become a party plaintiff in a lawsuit seeking damages for unpaid wages under the Fair Labor Standards Act against Quality Services Moving and any other entities or individuals who are determined to be employers under the Fair Labor Standards Act for the claims set forth in the Complaint. I hereby appoint Nicholas Migliaccio and Jason Rathod of Migliaccio & Rathod LLP, 412 H St NE, Suite 302, Washington D.C. 20002 and Matthew T. Sutter, of Sutter & Terpak, PLLC, 7540 Little River Tnpk., Suite A, First Floor, Annandale, VA 22003, and others that the above attorneys choose to associate with to represent me in this case.

Name: Theresa B	uszta	
Date: <u>05/07/2018</u>		
Mailing Address:8	Cloverleaf Ct.	
- Fredericksbur	<del>rg, VA 22406</del>	
_		
Signature:	Busito	

### Signature Certificate



Document Reference: IIFXXKISI3K9I4L7W8J89G





Theresa Buszta Party ID: EYYEZIJ8TKTF8BFYEARTWM IP Address: 70.174.187.142



Digital Fingerprint Checksum

7654a4d124abc5bb15a6ca72e755a7f663c47559



Timestamp	Audit
2018-05-07 18:26:26 -0700	All parties have signed document. Signed copies sent to: Nicholas Migliaccio.
2018-05-07 18:26:25 -0700	Document signed by Theresa Buszta (noemail@rightsignature.com) with drawn
	signature 70.174.187.142
2018-05-07 18:26:23 -0700	Generated Document from Online Form ConsentForm (ConsentForm-e06e2f)
	70.174.187.142
2018-05-07 18:22:42 -0700	Online Form viewed by Theresa Buszta (noemail@rightsignature.com)
	70.174.187.142



## Case 1:18-cv-00593-LO-IDD Document 1-2 Filed 05/17/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID# 13 1:18cv593 (LO/IDD)

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

**CIVIL COVER SHEET** 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	This form, approved by the Judicial Conference ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE		1774, is required for the use of	the elerk of court for the		
THERESA BUSZTA		QUEEFY MASWE	QUALITY MIDWESTERN HOLDINGS, INC. and EDWARD GRAVES			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff Fredericksburg  ACCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Prince William  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
Matthew T. Sutter, Esq.;	Address, and Telephone Number) Sutter & Terpak, PLLC; 7540A Little Riv nandale, VA 22003; 703-256-1800	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question  (U.S. Government Not a Party)	TOTAL CAMPAGNA FOR	TF DEF  I I Incorporated or Pri of Business In T			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A			
W. NATURE OF CUIT	0	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	06 06		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise     REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities- Other 448 Education  PERSONAL INJU 367 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 1367 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 1370 Other Fraud 1371 Truth in Lending 1380 Other Personal 1385 Property Damag 1385 Property Damag 1385 Property Damag 1386 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 1370 Other Fraud 1371 Truth in Lending 1380 Other Personal 1385 Property Damag 1385 Property Damag 1386 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 1370 Other Fraud 1371 Truth in Lending 1380 Product Liability 1360 Other Personal 1370 Other Fraud 1371 Other Fraud 1380 Presonal Injury Product Liability 1370 Other Fraud 1380 Personal Injury 1367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical 1368 Asbestos Person 1370 Other Fraud 1370 Other Fraud 1380 Other Personal 1370 Other Fraud 1380 Other Personal 1390 Oth	Act Total Care Total C	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 29 U.S.C §§ 201 et seq.  Brief description of cause:	(specify,	er District Litigation Transfer			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Unpaid Overtime  ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE			DOCKET NUMBER	д 100		
DATE 5/17/18	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORDS					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	IOUNIT.	Y				
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE		

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Against Quality Services Moving Packed with Potential Labor Law Violations</u>