IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
JONESBORO DIVISION

MAGTERN DISTRICT COURT ARKANSAS

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK

PLAINTIFF

DEP CLERK

BRANDON BRYAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

VS.

No. 3:18-cv-130 - DPM

MISSISSIPPI COUNTY, ARKANSAS

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Brandon Bryan ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Stacy Gibson, Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action against Defendant Mississippi County, Arkansas ("Defendant"), he does hereby state and allege as follows:

This case assigned to District Judge Marshall and to Magistrate Judge

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is a class and collective action brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against Defendant for violations of the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (the "FLSA"), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. (the "AMWA").
- 2. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks declaratory judgment; monetary damages; liquidated damages; prejudgment interest; costs; and a reasonable attorney's fee, as a result of Defendant's policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals proper overtime

Page 1 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

compensation under the FLSA and under the AMWA within the applicable statutory

limitations period.

3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of

the Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the

FLSA and the AMWA as described, infra.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331

because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

5. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or

controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this

complaint. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA

claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. A substantial part of the acts complained of herein were committed and

had their principal effect against Plaintiff within the Jonesboro Division of the Eastern

District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Brandon Bryan is an individual and resident of Mississippi County.

8. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a Deputy Sheriff within the three

(3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

9. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protections and benefits provided under the FLSA and the AMWA.

Page 2 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

10. As an employee of Defendant, Plaintiff was an employee of a public

agency employed in law enforcement activities, and therefore entitled to the protections

of the FLSA.

11. Defendant is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the FLSA and

the AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff's

employer.

12. Defendant is an employer subject to the FLSA as a public agency

pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203.

13. Defendant participated in the management of Plaintiff's work, including

setting and enforcing the number of hours worked and the amount and manner of

compensation paid.

14. Defendant dictated, controlled and ratified, both implicitly and explicitly,

the wage and hour practices and all related employee compensation policies that are at

issue in this case.

15. Defendant Mississippi County, Arkansas, operates the Mississippi County

Sheriff's Department where Plaintiff was employed within the three (3) years prior to the

filing of this Complaint as a Deputy Sheriff.

16. Defendant can be served through the Mississippi County Judge, who is

Terri Brassfield, 200 West Walnut Street, Blytheville, Arkansas 72315.

17. At all relevant times, Defendant employed five or more employees in law

enforcement activities pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 213(b)(20).

Page 3 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

18. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

19. During part of the three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff

was an hourly-paid employee of the Mississippi County Sheriff's Department located in

Blytheville.

20. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from approximately March 21, 2011

until July 9, 2018.

21. At all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff has been

employed by Defendant as a Deputy Sheriff, including as a Sergeant and Corporal.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not establish a "work period"

for Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center employees pursuant to the

FLSA.

23. Even if Defendant did establish a work period for Plaintiff, other Deputy

Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center employees, Plaintiff and other Deputy

Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center employees were routinely required to work

in excess of 171 hours in a 28-day period.

24. During the course of his employment, Plaintiff and others similarly situated

did not manage the enterprise or a customarily recognized subdivision of the enterprise.

25. Plaintiff and others similarly situated did not select any employees for hire

nor did he provide any formal training for any employees.

Plaintiff and others similarly situated had no ability to hire and fire any

employee.

26.

Page 4 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

27. Plaintiff and others similarly situated did not have any control of or

authority over any employee's rate of pay or working hours.

28. Plaintiff and others similarly situated did not maintain or prepare

production reports or sales records for use in supervision or control the business.

29. Plaintiff and others similarly situated did not have responsibility for

planning or controlling budgets.

30. Plaintiff, other Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center

employees were routinely required to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

31. Plaintiff, other Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center

employees were not paid properly for overtime.

32. Defendant knew that Plaintiff, other Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar

detention center employees worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week and also

over 171 hours in a 28-day period, and Defendant required them to do so.

33. Defendant did not provide Plaintiff, other Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and

similar detention center employees with compensatory time off at a rate of one and one-

half (1.5) hours of compensatory time for each hour of overtime worked.

34. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff, other Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar

detention center employees one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate for their

overtime hours until approximately sometime within the last year and a half.

35. The actual day-to-day job duties and responsibilities of Plaintiff, other

Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center employees were not exempt under

the FLSA with respect to payment for time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per

week, or alternatively 171 hours in a 28-day period.

Page 5 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

36. Defendant knew or showed reckless disregard for whether the way it paid Plaintiff and its other Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center employees

violated the FLSA and AMWA.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Class

37. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

38. Plaintiff brings his claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

39. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly Deputy Sheriffs,

Jailers and similar detention center employees employed by Defendant at any time

within the applicable statute of limitations period, who were classified by Defendant as

non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and who are entitled to

payment of the following types of damages:

A. Payment for all hours worked, including overtime premiums for all hours

worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek or, alternatively, 171

hours in a 28-day work period; and

B. Liquidated damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

40. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has

attached hereto as Exhibit "A" a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

41. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action was filed herein and

continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

Page 6 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

42. The members of the proposed FLSA Class are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

A. They were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the FLSA;

B. They were paid hourly;

C. They recorded their time in the same manner; and

D. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of not providing

compensatory time off at a rate of one and one half (1.5) hours of compensatory time

for each hour of overtime work.

43. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the FLSA Class but believes that the class exceeds 50 persons.

44. In the modern era, most working-class Americans have become

increasingly reliant on email and text messages, and generally use them just as often, if

not more so, than traditional U.S. Mail.

45. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) class.

The names and physical and mailing addresses of the FLSA collective action plaintiffs

are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice should be provided to the

FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and text message to their last

known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone numbers as soon as

possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA

claim.

B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

40. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

41. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers

and similar detention center employees who are/were employed by Defendant within

the relevant time period within the State of Arkansas.

42. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed

class, such as whether Defendant paid the members of the proposed class for all hours

worked, including overtime in accordance with the AMWA.

43. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions

affecting only the individual named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other

available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the

proposed AMWA class.

44. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the

prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line

rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the

public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order

to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well

as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from

wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-

4-202.

45. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the AMWA class but believes that the class exceeds 50 persons. Therefore, the class is

so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

46. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's

counsel knows of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class

concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

47. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because

Defendant is based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all

proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

48. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class

action.

49. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in

that Plaintiff worked as an hourly employee for Defendant and experienced the same

violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

50. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of

the class.

51. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other

complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the

extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate

additional counsel.

52. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed

class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to

Page 9 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards

of conduct for Defendant.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

53. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

54. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the FLSA.

55. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the FLSA.

56. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires any public agency to pay employees engaged in

law enforcement activities time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over

forty (40) hours in a week, or over 171 in a 28-day period if such an election has been

made.

57. The FLSA allows a public agency to provide compensatory time off in lieu

of monetary overtime compensation, but it must be at the rate of not less than one and

one-half (1.5) hours for each over of overtime work.

58. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to lawful compensatory time or overtime

payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful overtime premium

or provide lawful compensatory time.

59. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

Page 10 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

60. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages and costs,

including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3)

years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

61. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

62. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

63. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the AMWA.

64. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

65. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all

employees time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in

a week, or over 171 in a 28-day period if such an election has been made.

66. The AMWA allows a public agency to provide compensatory time off in

lieu of monetary overtime compensation, but it must be at the rate of not less than one

and one-half (1.5) hours for each hour of overtime work.

Page 11 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

67. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to lawful compensatory time or overtime

payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful overtime premium

or provide lawful compensatory time.

68. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, was willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

69. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs,

including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three (3)

years prior to the filing of this Complaint pursuant to Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-

218.

70. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, he is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

71. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

72. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, asserts

this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA.

73. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

"employer" of Plaintiff and all those similarly situated within the meaning of the FLSA, 29

U.S.C. § 203.

Page 12 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

74. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires any public agency to pay employees engaged in

law enforcement activities time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over

forty (40) hours in a week, or over 171 in a 28-day period if such an election has been

made.

75. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires any public agency to pay employees engaged in

law enforcement activities time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over

forty (40) hours in a week, or over 171 in a 28-day period if such an election has been

made.

76. The FLSA allows a public agency to provide compensatory time off in lieu

of monetary overtime compensation, but it must be at the rate of not less than one and

one-half (1.5) hours for each over of overtime work.

77. Despite the entitlement to lawful compensatory time or overtime payments

under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated a lawful

overtime premium or provide lawful compensatory time.

78. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed

overtime for the same reasons, the proposed class is properly defined as follows:

All Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center employees employed by Defendant within the past three years.

79. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

80. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated

seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable

attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

Page 13 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

81. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff

and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the

applicable legal rate.

IX. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

82. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully incorporated in this section.

83. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed class,

asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA.

84. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

"employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the

AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

85. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all

employees time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in

a week, or over 171 in a 28-day period if such an election has been made.

86. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and members of the proposed class to

overtime payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful overtime

premium.

87. Plaintiff proposes to represent the AMWA liability class of individuals

defined as follows:

All Deputy Sheriffs, Jailers and similar detention center employees

employed by Defendant in Arkansas within the past three years.

88. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, was willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

89. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and

a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred

within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable

tolling.

90. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA,

Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment

interest at the applicable legal rate.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Brandon Bryan respectfully prays

as follows:

A. That Defendant be summoned to appear and answer this Complaint;

B. That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the class and collective

members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the class and

collective members and all monies paid to them;

C. For orders regarding certification of and notice to the proposed class and

collective action members;

D. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate

the FLSA and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq.;

Page 15 of 17
Brandon Bryan, et al. v. Mississippi County, Arkansas U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 3:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

E. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate

the AMWA and the related regulations;

F. Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation owed to

Plaintiff and the proposed class and collective members under the FLSA and attendant

regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq.;

G. Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the

AMWA and the related regulations;

H. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and attendant

regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq., in an amount equal to all unpaid overtime

compensation owed to Plaintiff and the proposed class and collective members during

the applicable statutory period;

I. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the AMWA and the relating

regulations;

J. For a reasonable attorneys' fee, costs, and pre-judgment interest; and

K. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and

proper.

Respectfully submitted,

BRANDON BRYAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC ONE FINANCIAL CENTER 650 SOUTH SHACKLEFORD, SUITE 411 LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72211 TELEPHONE: (501) 221-0088 FACSIMILE: (888) 787-2040

Stacy Gibsen

Ark Bar No. 2014171

stacy@sanfordlawfirm.com

Chris Burks

Ark. Bar No. 2010207 chris@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS JONESBORO DIVISION

BRANDON BRYAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 3:18-cv-

MISSISSIPPI COUNTY

DEFENDANT

CONSENT TO JOIN COLLECTIVE ACTION

I was an employee of Mississippi County during some of the three years prior to the signing of this document. I understand this lawsuit is being brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act for overtime compensation and other relief. I consent to becoming a party-plaintiff in this lawsuit, to be represented by Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature:

BRANDON BRYAN

Date: July 9, 2018

The JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

3:18-cv-13-DPM

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. Fisherm, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declare the service of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declare the service of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declare the civi

	(2221121112	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	7 777157 0				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
BRANDON BRYAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Simil Situated				MISSISSIPPI COUNTY, ARKANSAS			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Mississippi (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Josh Sanford, SANFORD 650 South Shackleford, S	D LAW FIRM, PLLC, C Suite 411, Little Rock,	ne Financial Cente	er,	Attorneys (If Known)			
501-221-0088; josh@sar		na Pay Only)	шс	TIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIPA	I PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij
	,	ne Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		LIAKILS	and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	Citizen of This State PTF DEF Citizen of This State D 1 D 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State D 4 D 4			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	Citizen of Another State			
				en or Subject of a	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT		PRTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appe	eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability		90 Other		JSC 157	3729(a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 367 Health Care/					☐ 400 State Reapportionment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			□ 820 Copy	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Pater		☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	al			nt - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportation
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			New □ 840 Trad	Drug Application emark	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPE		LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		10 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA		490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		Act 20 Labor/Management		k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSIE		☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI	(405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
	Medical Malpractice	Troduct Elability		Leave Act			☐ 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		90 Other Labor Litigation		AL TAX SUITS	Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:	0.79	91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		es (U.S. Plaintiff befendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Votting 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacat	te	income Security Act		-Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of
240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence	~			JSC 7609	Agency Decision
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General	-	IMMIGRATION	4		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	Employment	Other:	<u> </u>	62 Naturalization Application	1		State Statutes
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Otl		65 Other Immigration			
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions			
		560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)		☐ 4 Reii	nstated or	erred from	☐ 6 Multidistr	rict
Proceeding Sta	Cita the U.S. Civil St	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify) Do not cite jurisdictional sta	er District	Litigation Transfer	Litigation - Direct File
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 29 USC 201 Brief description of ca	ause:	are minig (Do not the jurisuitional side			
VII. REQUESTED IN	Unpaid Overtime CHECK IF THIS		N D	DEMAND S		THECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes X No			
VIII. RELATED CASS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUD GE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER	
DATE	<u> </u>	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD			
07/17/2018	1 1	V	JORGER				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	$\overline{}$						
	_						

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Deputy Sheriff Claims Mississippi County, AK Owes Unpaid Overtime