UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	X	
SANDRA BRUNO,	: : Civil Action No	
on behalf of herself and	:	
similarly situated employees,	:	
	: INDIVIDUAL AND	
Plaintiff,	: COLLECTIVE/CLASS	
	: ACTION COMPLAINT	
V.	:	
a division of WELLS FARGO BANK,	: : Jury Trial Demanded :	
N.A.,	Electronically Filed	
Defendant.	· X	

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE/CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Nature of the Action, Jurisdiction, and Venue

- This is an individual and collective/class action under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a) & 216(b), the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act (PMWA), 43 P.S. §§ 333.104(c) & 333.113, and comparable wage laws in states other than Pennsylvania¹.
- 2. Jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and, for the supplemental state claims, 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 3. The actions and policies alleged to be unlawful were committed in whole or in part around Pittsburgh, PA, where Plaintiff worked for Defendant. This action is within the jurisdiction of, and venue is proper in, the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

¹ Excluding California: this action specifically excludes claims under California law.

Parties

- 4. Plaintiff Sandra Bruno resides at 4150 Ewalt Road, Apt. 11, Gibsonia, PA 15044. Plaintiff worked for Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., specifically the Home Mortgage Division, from in or about November 2015 until in or about November 2017, reporting to the North Hills, PA, office.
- 5. Plaintiff regularly performed work within the state of Pennsylvania.
- 6. Plaintiff regularly performed work for clients of Defendant's who resided in Pennsylvania and other states.
- 7. Defendant Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, a division of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., is a division of a national bank providing online and mobile banking, home mortgage, loans and credit, investment, retirement, wealth management, and insurance services throughout the United States. Defendant serves commercial, retail and institutional customers throughout the United States. Defendant maintains its headquarters at 101 North Phillips Avenue, Sioux Falls, SD 57104.
- At all relevant times Defendant has been an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce with annual revenues in excess of \$500,000 and has been subject to the provisions of Section 203(s)(1) of the FLSA.
- 9. Defendant regularly employs individuals in the state of Pennsylvania and other states, including Plaintiff, in the performance of work on behalf of Defendant and is subject to the provisions of the PMWA and comparable wage laws in states other than Pennsylvania.

Statement of Claims

- 10. Defendant hired Plaintiff as a Mortgage Consultant² in or about November 2015.
- 11. Plaintiff performed her work primarily from her home.
- 12. Plaintiff was managed by the executives/managers at Defendant's North Hills, PA, office.
- 13. As a Mortgage Consultant, Plaintiff's primary duty was inside sales and sales support performed from her home office, including assisting Defendant's customers with their mortgage applications, advising customers about available loan products, programs, rates, policies, underwriting requirements and loan procedures, and selling Defendant's various mortgage-related products to Defendant's customers.
- 14. Plaintiff's primary duty was, therefore, "inside sales" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. Plaintiff was non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA and the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 16. Defendant classified Plaintiff as non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA and PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 17. Plaintiff regularly worked overtime (more than 40 hours) in workweeks during her employment.
- 18. From November 2015 until she left the company in November 2017 Plaintiff was paid what the Defendant described as a \$2,000 monthly draw against commissions. However, the draw was explicitly based on a regular rate of pay of \$12 per hour with an additional \$6 per hour for overtime hours.

² Mortgage Consultants are also given the title of Home Mortgage Consultant, Home Mortgage Consultant, Jr., Private Mortgage Banker or Private Mortgage Banker, Jr., and, for purposes of this lawsuit and the definition of the "collective/class" the term "Mortgage Consultant" includes each of these various titles.

- 19. Defendant applied three policies, common to not only Plaintiff but other Mortgage Consultants (see ¶¶ 35-56, below), that resulted in violations of the overtime provisions of the FLSA, PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 20. First, as a matter of policy Defendant withheld from Plaintiff's pay certain overhead expenses, including but not limited to expenses for mailings and advertising.
- 21. Defendant withheld these overhead expenses in all workweeks, non-overtime and overtime workweeks alike.
- 22. Defendant withheld these overhead expenses in all workweeks regardless of whether Plaintiff actually incurred any of these expenses or derived any benefit from them.
- 23. Second, with respect to time-keeping of hours worked Plaintiff recorded what she was instructed to record as hours worked for Defendant.
- 24. However, as a matter of policy Defendant knowingly failed to properly instruct Plaintiff as to what was or was not compensable work time within the meaning of the FLSA or the PMWA or comparable wage laws in other states.
- 25. As a result Plaintiff regularly did not record all hours worked, especially preliminary time, break time and postliminary time such as working in the evenings and on weekends or continuous work time not interrupted by breaks of greater than 20 minutes.
- 26. Defendant knew Plaintiff was working more time than what she recorded, and knew that its instructions to Plaintiff as to what time was compensable was inadequate if not misleading, but continued to suffer and permit Plaintiff to work this unrecorded time.
- 27. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff for this unrecorded time, straight time or overtime.
- 28. Third, Defendant failed, as a matter of policy, to take into account all overtime hours worked in calculating the proper overtime rate to be paid on the commissions earned by

Plaintiff in overtime weeks.

- 29. Defendant knew it was not properly calculating the additional pay earned by Plaintiff on commissions in overtime weeks.
- 30. As a result of these three policies Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff at the proper regular rate of pay or the proper overtime rate for her overtime hours worked.
- Defendant knowingly and intentionally violated the FLSA's mandate for overtime pay.
 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 32. Defendant also failed to maintain accurate records of the time worked by Plaintiff.
- Defendant knowingly and intentionally violated the FLSA's explicit requirement at 29
 U.S.C. §211(c) that it maintain accurate records of time worked.
- 34. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally violated the PMWA with respect to Plaintiff.

Collective/Class Action Averments

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 36. There are more than 1,000 Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, who have been employed by Defendant as "inside sales persons" since May 2016.
- 37. The other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, have been paid according to the same pay policy (monthly draw against commissions based on an hourly rate of pay and ½ rate for overtime hours) since at least May 2016.
- 38. The primary duty of the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, was and is sales and sales support performed from their home office, including assisting Defendant's customers with their mortgage applications, advising customers about available loan

Case 2:19-cv-00587-NBF Document 1 Filed 05/17/19 Page 6 of 12

products, programs, rates, policies, underwriting requirements and loan procedures, and selling Defendant's various mortgage-related products to Defendant's customers.

- 39. Thus, the other Mortgage Consultants' primary duty, like Plaintiff, was "inside sales" within the meaning of the FLSA, the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 40. The 1,000+ Mortgage Consultants have, like Plaintiff, been non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA and the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 41. The 1,000+ Mortgage Consultants have, like Plaintiff, been classified as non-exempt by Defendant.
- 42. Since May 2016 the other Mortgage Consultants have, like Plaintiff, regularly worked more than forty hours per week.
- 43. The three policies applied by Defendant to Plaintiff (see ¶¶ 20-29, above) have also been applied to the other Mortgage Consultants.
- 44. First, as a matter of policy Defendant has withheld from the other Mortgage Consultants' pay, similar to Plaintiff's pay, certain overhead expenses, including but not limited to expenses for mailings and advertising.
- 45. Defendant has withheld these overhead expenses from the other Mortgage Consultants' pay in all workweeks, non-overtime and overtime workweeks alike.
- 46. Defendant has withheld these overhead expenses from the other Mortgage Consultants' pay in all workweeks regardless of whether the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, actually incurred any of these expenses or derived any benefit from these expenses.
- 47. Second, as a matter of policy, the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, have recorded what they were instructed to record as hours worked for Defendant.

- 48. However, as a matter of policy Defendant has knowingly failed to properly instruct the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, as to what was or was not compensable work time within the meaning of the FLSA or the PMWA or comparable wage laws in other states.
- 49. As a result the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, have regularly not recorded all hours worked, especially preliminary time, break time and postliminary time such as working in the evenings and on weekends or continuous work time not interrupted by breaks of greater than 20 minutes.
- 50. Defendant knew the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, were working more time than what they recorded, and knew that its instructions to the other Mortgage Consultants as to what time was compensable was inadequate if not misleading, but continued to suffer and permit the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, to work this unrecorded time.
- 51. Defendant did not pay the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, for this unrecorded time, straight time or overtime.
- 52. Third, Defendant has also failed, as a matter of policy, to take into account all overtime hours worked in calculating the proper overtime rate to be paid on the commissions earned by the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, in overtime weeks.
- 53. Defendant knew it was not properly calculating the additional pay earned by the other Mortgage Consultants, like Plaintiff, on commissions in overtime weeks.
- 54. As a result of these common policies Defendant has knowingly and intentionally failed to pay the 1,000+ Mortgage Consultants for their overtime hours either at the proper regular rate of pay or proper overtime rate.
- 55. Defendant's failure to pay the proper regular rate and overtime rate for overtime hours to

the 1,000+ Mortgage Consultants employed by Defendant over the past three years, and its failure to maintain accurate records of time worked, has been in violation of the FLSA and the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.

56. Defendant has knowingly and intentionally violated the FLSA and PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF THE FLSA: OVERTIME AND RECORD-KEEPING Individual and Collective Action

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 58. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants are current or former employees of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 59. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 60. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants are non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 61. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants have been subjected to the common corporate pay policy of a draw against commissions.
- 62. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants have regularly worked more than forty hours per week.
- 63. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants have been subjected to the same common pay and time-keeping policies (see ¶¶ 20 to 29, above).
- 64. As a result of the common policies described above Defendant has violated the overtime provisions of the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff and the other Mortgage Consultants by:
 (1) unlawfully reducing pay in overtime weeks; (2) suffering and permitting Plaintiff and the other Mortgage Consultants to work off-the-clock without compensation; and (3)

Case 2:19-cv-00587-NBF Document 1 Filed 05/17/19 Page 9 of 12

improperly calculating the proper overtime rate to be paid on the commissions earned in overtime weeks.

- 65. As a result of these common policies Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants at the proper regular rate of pay (straight time) in overtime weeks.
- 66. As a result of these common policies Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants at the proper overtime rate (time-and-one-half the regular rate of pay) for overtime hours.
- 67. As a result of these common policies Defendant has also failed to maintain accurate records of time worked for Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants.
- 68. Defendant's failure to pay the proper straight rate and the proper overtime rate, and failure to maintain accurate records of time worked, has violated and continues to violate the FLSA.
- 69. For at least the past three years, Defendant's violations of the FLSA are knowing, willful, and in reckless disregard of the FLSA's overtime and time-keeping requirements.
- 70. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants are entitled to recover from Defendant the straight rate and overtime pay improperly withheld by Defendant, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.
- 71. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants are also entitled to recover liquidated damages under 29 U.S.C. §§207(a) and 216(b).

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE PMWA (43 P.S. §§ 333.104(c) & 333.113) <u>AND COMPARABLE STATE WAGE LAWS³</u> <u>Individual and Class Action</u>

- 72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 73. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants are current or former employees of Defendant within the meaning of the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 74. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 75. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants are non-exempt within the meaning of the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 76. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants have been subjected to the common corporate pay policy of a draw against commissions based upon an hourly rate of pay and ½ rate for overtime.
- 77. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants have regularly worked more than forty hours per week.
- 78. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants have been subjected to the same common pay and time-keeping policies (see ¶¶ 20 to 29, above).
- 79. As a result of the common policies described above Defendant has violated the overtime provisions of the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states with respect to Plaintiff and the other Mortgage Consultants by: (1) unlawfully reducing pay in overtime weeks; (2) suffering and permitting Plaintiff and the other Mortgage Consultants to work off-the-clock without compensation; and (3) improperly calculating the proper overtime rate to be paid on the commissions earned.

³ As noted above this does not include California wage laws.

Case 2:19-cv-00587-NBF Document 1 Filed 05/17/19 Page 11 of 12

- 80. As a result of these common policies Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants at the proper regular rate of pay (straight time) in overtime weeks.
- 81. As a result of these common policies Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants at the proper overtime rate (time-and-one-half the regular rate of pay) for overtime hours.
- 82. As a result of these common policies Defendant has also failed to maintain accurate records of time worked for Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants.
- 83. Defendant's failure to pay the proper straight rate and the proper overtime rate, and failure to maintain accurate records of time worked, has violated and continues to violate the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states.
- 84. For at least the past three years, Defendant's violations of the PMWA and comparable wage laws in other states are knowing, willful, and in reckless disregard of the overtime and time-keeping requirements.
- 85. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants are entitled to recover from Defendant the straight rate and overtime pay improperly withheld by Defendant, plus interest, liquidated damages (where allowed), attorneys' fees, and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 86. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all others similarly situated respectfully request that this Court:
 - A. Order Defendant to pay the straight rate compensation owed to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants;
 - B. Order Defendant to pay the proper overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants;

- C. Order Defendant to pay liquidated damages to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants;
- D. Order Defendant to pay pre- and post-judgment interest as well as the litigation costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Mortgage Consultants; and,
- E. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Counsel for Plaintiff

and all others similarly situated

<u>s/Joseph H. Chivers</u> Joseph H. Chivers, Esq. PA ID No. 39184 THE EMPLOYMENT RIGHTS GROUP, LLC 100 First Avenue, Suite 650 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 jchivers@employmentrightsgroup.com Tel: (412) 227-0763/Fax: (412) 774-1994

John R. Linkosky, Esq. PA ID No. 66011 JOHN LINKOSKY & ASSOCIATES 715 Washington Avenue Carnegie, PA 15106 linklaw@comcast.net Tel.: (412) 278-1280/Fax: (412) 278-1282

Dated: May 17, 2019

Page 12 of 12

Case 2:19-cv-00587-NBF Document 1-1 Filed 05/17/19 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

 (a) PLAINTIFFS Sandra Bruno 4150 Ewalt Road, Apt. 1 Gibsonia, PA 15044 (b) County of Residence of (E) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Joseph H. Chivers, Esq., 100 First Ave., Suite 650 	f First Listed Plaintiff A CCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address. and Telephone Numbe The Employment Rig	n) hts Group LLC	101 North Phillips Sioux Falls, SD 57 County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO	Avenue	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
[7] 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State D		
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	5 D 5 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT		RTS	FOREINUREPENALT	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ Liability ☐ 340 Marine Product ☐ Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal ☐ Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PRSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Rights	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other CTY 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1462 Naturalization Application	 ↓ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ↓ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS № 30 Patent № 830 Patent № 830 Patent № 830 Patent № 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY № 62 Black Lung (923) № 63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) № 65 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAE TAX SUITS № 70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) № 71 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Sceuritics/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 897 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
		Conditions of Confinement		L	
		Remanded from C Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transfe Reopened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Eair Labor Stands	ards Act (FLSA), 29 iuse:	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat U.S.C. §§ 207(a) & 216(b)	utes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N ĐEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: : X Yes □No
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 05/17/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of att /s/Joseph H. Cl	FORNEY OF RECORD hivers		
	NOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	DGE

JS 44A REVISED June, **2009** IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A

This case belongs on the (Frie Johnstown OPittsburgh) calendar.

- ERIE CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in _______ County and that the ______resides in ______County.
- 4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the resides in County.

PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. O This case is related to Number ______. Short Caption ______.
2. O This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

PARTC

- I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).
 - 1. \mathbf{Q} Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
 - 2. O Labor-Management Relations
 - 3. O Habeas corpus
 - 4. O Civil Rights
 - 5. O Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
 - 6. O Eminent Domain
 - 7. O All other federal question cases
 - 8. All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
 - 9. O Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
 - 10. Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

/s/Joseph H. Chivers

Date: 5/17/2019

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

SANDRA BRUNO, on behalf of herself and similarly situated employees,)))
Plaintiff(s) V.)) Civil Action No.
WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, a division of WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.)
)
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, a division of WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. 101 North Phillips Avenue Sioux Falls, SD 57104

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Joseph H. Chivers, Esq.

jchivers@employmentrightsgroup.com The Employment Rights Group, LLC 100 First Ave., Suite 650 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 2:19-cv-00587-NBF Document 1-2 Filed 05/17/19 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (n	ame of individual and title, if any)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)	•				
	□ I personally serve	ed the summons on the individual at (p	lace)			
			on (date)	; or		
	□ I left the summon	is at the individual's residence or usua	l place of abode with (name)			
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,					
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	□ I served the summons on (name of individual) , wh					
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or		
	\Box I returned the sum	amons unexecuted because		; or		
	Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.					
Date:			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Former Wells Fargo Mortgage Consultant Files Suit Seeking Allegedly Unpaid Wages</u>