BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 Email: *ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com Attorneys for Plaintiff* Our File No.: 111880

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Andrew Bruno, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Docket No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Phillips & Cohen Associates, Ltd.,

Defendant.

Andrew Bruno, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Phillips & Cohen Associates, Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

BARSHAY | SANDERS PLLC 100 GARDEN CTY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CTY, NEW YORK 11530 Case 2:17-cv-02024 Document 1 Filed 04/06/17 Page 2 of 6 PageID #: 2

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Andrew Bruno is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.

6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

7. On information and belief, Defendant Phillips & Cohen Associates, Ltd., is a New Jersey Corporation with a principal place of business in Burlington County, New Jersey.

8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the debt").

11. The debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

12. Sometime after the incurrence of the debt Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.

13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.

14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the letter") dated May 25, 2016. ("<u>Exhibit 1</u>.")

15. The letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

16. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

17. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) prohibits the false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of any debt.

18. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) prohibits the threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.

19. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) prohibits the use of any false representation or deceptive means to attempt to collect any debt.

20. The letter offers Plaintiff a settlement of \$292.91 on his debt of \$732.27.

21. The settlement offered to Plaintiff would result in a debt discharge forgiveness of \$439.36.

2

22. The letter sets forth certain information concerning IRS reporting requirements for debt forgiveness.

23. The least sophisticated consumer would reasonably believe that Defendant included the IRS reporting requirements because it was relevant.

24. The least sophisticated consumer would reasonably believe that Defendant included the IRS reporting requirements because the consumer's acceptance of the settlement offer would have tax consequences.

25. 26 U.S.C. § 6050P requires any applicable entity discharging (in whole or part) any person's debt to make a Form 1099-C return setting forth certain information about the individual and the discharge, unless the discharge is for less than \$600.00.

26. Debt discharge forgiveness may not be reported as income when an exception applies.

27. The settlement offered to Plaintiff could not possibly have been reportable.

28. The settlement offered to Plaintiff could not possibly have been reportable because it would result in debt forgiveness of less than \$600.00.

29. The settlement offered to Plaintiff could not possibly have been reportable under the relevant exceptions.

30. Defendant's inclusion of the IRS reporting requirements implies that the settlement may have tax consequences.

31. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences is false.

32. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences is a threat to take action that cannot legally.

33. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences is a threat that is not intended to be taken by Defendant.

34. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences is misleading because it states that a certain action is possible even though Defendant has reason to know that the action will not happen.

35. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences is misleading because it states that a certain action is possible even though Defendant has reason to know that there are facts that make the action unlikely.

36. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences would

3

likely lead the least sophisticated consumer to be misled into thinking that there will be adverse tax consequences for accepting Defendant's settlement offer.

37. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences would likely be interpreted by the least sophisticated consumer to be a threat that any settlement will be reported to the IRS.

38. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences when viewed from the least sophisticated consumer's perspective would affect the consumer's decision concerning whether or not to accept Defendant's settlement offer.

39. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences when viewed from the least sophisticated consumer's perspective would lead the consumer to believe the consumer could get in trouble with the IRS for refusal to pay the debt.

40. Defendant's implication that the settlement may have tax consequences when viewed from the least sophisticated consumer's perspective would lead the consumer to believe the consumer could get in trouble with the IRS for obtaining any debt forgiveness.

41. For the foregoing reasons, letter violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A), 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5), and 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

42. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using a letter including reference to 1099-C IRS reporting requirements where the settlement offered would result in debt forgiveness of less than \$600.00.

43. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 1692k(a)(2) of the FDCPA.

44. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection, using the same unlawful letter described herein, in its attempts to collect delinquent consumer debts from other persons.

45. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant attempted to collect delinquent consumer debts using the same unlawful letter described herein.

46. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class.

Case 2:17-cv-02024 Document 1 Filed 04/06/17 Page 5 of 6 PageID #: 5

This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

47. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.

48. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff HAS retained counsel experienced in actions brought under the FDCPA.

JURY DEMAND

49. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Certify this action as a class action; and
- b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representatives of the Class, and her attorneys as Class Counsel; and
- c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and

d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and

e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and

f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with

g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: March 9, 2017

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Craig B. Sanders</u> Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 csanders@barshaysanders.com *Attorneys for Plaintiff* Our File No.: 111880



Hauppauge, NY 11788-0164 **RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED**

Ph 800-477-6441 • Fx 302-368-0970 Office Hours: M-Th: 8am-9pm, Fri: 8am-6pm Sat: 8am-12pm

May 25, 2016

137918787 <u>Հոհը Ալինեն կոնտերությունը հեր կորությունը կորությունը կորությունը կորությունը կորությունը կորությունը կորությ</u> ANDREW BRUNOJR 191 S Alleghany Ave Lindenhurst NY 11757-5024

Phillips & Cohen Associates, Ltd. Mail Stop: 147 1002 Justison Street Wilmington, DE 19801-5148 հումիկվոչեսիկիսումիկինումիկիսիկունիկությինումին



PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE WITH YOUR PAYMENT

Re: Client: Client Acct #: Reference #: Balance:

Merrick Bank Corporation *******2597 7034 \$732.27

Dear ANDREW BRUNOJR:

We had hoped that you would resolve your financial obligation with Merrick Bank Corporation prior to initiating further collection activity to recover the amount owed to them. Apparently that is not the case.

In an effort to reach a mutually acceptable remedy to this matter, our client has agreed to offer you the opportunity to settle this indebtedness for 40% of the amount owed or \$292.91. If this matter remains unresolved, we will have no other alternative but to evaluate your credit history and present financial circumstances, then proceed accordingly.

You now have an extremely important decision to make. If you are unable to pay in full or settle at the reduced rate, contact our office today. You may qualify for our hardship program.

However, please be advised that your failure to respond will make it difficult for us to assist you in resolving this matter.

Time is of the essence. We genuinely hope that you resolve this obligation without the need for further collection activity. Should you have any questions regarding this matter please call at the above referenced number.

Sincerely.

Phillips & Cohen Associates, Ltd.

Whenever \$600.00 or more in principle of a debt is forgiven as a result of settling a debt for less than the balance owing, the creditor may be required to report the amount of the debt forgiven to the Internal Revenue Service on a 1099C form, a copy of which would be mailed to you by the creditor. If you are uncertain of the legal or tax consequences, we encourage you to consult your legal or tax advisor.

** IMPORTANT CONSUMER INFORMATION **

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 2:17-cv-02024 Depyment of 2 Page 1 of 2 Page 1 of 2 Page 1 #: 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			DEFENDAN	TS					
ANDREW BRUNO				PHILLIPS & COHEN ASSOCIATES, LTD.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SUFFOLK (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant BURLINGTON (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Kno	own)					
BARSHAY SAND	-				,					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	Dne Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP O	F PR	INCIPA	L PARTIES (Place an "X" in	One Box for	r Plaintiff
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	• 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government]	Not a Party)		<i>Diversity Cases Only)</i> n of This State	PTI 0 1		Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	incipal Place	Box for Defend PIF O 4	dant) DEF O 4
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	O 2	O 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		O 5	O 5
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(1) (1)			n or Subject of a reign Country	O 3	O 3	Foreign Nation		O 6	O 6
CONTRACT		(y) DRTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENAL	TY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
 O 110 Insurance O 120 Marine O 130 Miller Act O 140 Negotiable Instrument O 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment O 151 Medicare Act O 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) O 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits O 160 Stockholders' Suits O 190 Other Contract O 195 Contract Product Liability O 196 Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY O 310 Airplane O 315 Airplane Product Liability O 320 Assault, Libel & Slander O 330 Federal Employers' Liability O 340 Marine O 345 Marine Product Liability O 350 Motor Vehicle O 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability O 360 Other Personal Injury O 360 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS O 440 Other Civil Rights O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/ Accommodations O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment O 446 Amer. W/Disabilities - Other O 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY O 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability O 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability O 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT O 370 Other Fraud O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal Property Damage O 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: O 463 Alien Detainee O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence O 530 General O 530 General O 530 General O 535 Prison Condition O 560 Civil Rights O 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	Y 0 625 0 690 0 720 0 720 0 720 0 740 0 751 0 790 0 791	Drug Related Seizure Property 21 USC 88. Other LABOR	of 1 m t	 Q 423 Withd 28 US PROPE Q 820 Copyi Q 830 Paten Q 840 Trade SOCIAI Q 861 HIA (Q 862 Black Q 863 DIWC Q 864 SSID Q 865 RSI (PEDER Q 870 Taxes or Do Q 871 IRS— 	C 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t smark C SECURITY (1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) AL TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	 480 Consul 490 Cable/ 850 Securit Excha 890 Other 1 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freedo Act 896 Arbitr; 899 Admin Act/R Agence 950 Constit 	Reapportion ast and Bankin erce tation eer Influenc ot Organiza ner Credit Sat TV ies/Commo nge Statutory Au ltural Acts nmental Ma m of Inforr ation istrative Pro eview or Ap cy Decision	ument ag ced and tions dities/ ctions atters nation ocedure ppeal of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in • 1 Original O 2 Remo Proceeding Cou	ved from State O 3 Rem rt Cou	irt	4 Reinsta Reop	ened Ano (spe	ther Di cify)	strict	O 6 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer	I I	Aultidistrict .itigation – Direct File	:
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		atute under which you are		Do not cite jurisdiction			iversity): 15 USC	§1692		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	• CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 2	S A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$			CHECK YES onl	•	in complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See Instructions) JUDGE (See Instructions)						DOC	KET NUMBER			
DATE April 6, 2017		signature of atto /s Cra		F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE		MAG. JUI	DGE		

Case 2:17-cv-02024 Document 1-2 Filed 04/06/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 9 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Craig B. Sanders</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- □ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- \Box the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- \Box the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1. Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: <u>NO</u>

If you answered "no" above:
 a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>YES</u>

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:	/s Craig B. Sanders	

Case 2:17-cv-02024 Document 1-3 Filed 04/06/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 10

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Andrew Bruno, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated
Plaintiff(s)
V.
Phillips & Cohen Associates, Ltd.
Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Civil Action No.

To: (Defendant's name and address) Phillips & Cohen Associates, Ltd.

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Suite 500

Garden Clty, New York 11530

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 2:17-cv-02024 Document 1-3 Filed 04/06/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 11

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	e of individual and title, if any)								
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·								
	□ I personally served t	he summons on the individual a	at (place)							
		; or								
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)									
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	\Box I served the summor	ns on (name of individual)		, who	is					
	designated by law to a	ccept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or						
	□ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; c	or					
	Other (<i>specify</i>):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00						
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.							
Date:										
Date.			Server's signature		_					
			Printed name and title							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Debt Collector Sued Over Alleged IRS Threat in Collection Letters</u>