

Plaintiff Caroline Brodie ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and the proposed class (defined below), brings this action against HEI Hospitality, LLC ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. For more than 25 years, the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") has required that individuals with disabilities be provided full and equal access to the goods, services and facilities provided by hotel owners and operators.

2. This mandate requires hotel businesses to provide individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services.

3. Defendant operates various hotels throughout the United States, and, as part of those operations, provides hotel customers transportation services.

4. Because of its inadequate corporate policy, Defendant has failed to make its transportation services fully accessible to individuals with disabilities, thereby denying those individuals the same benefits and privileges afforded to guests without disabilities.

5. Plaintiff accordingly seeks declaratory and injunctive relief establishing that Defendant has engaged in violations of the ADA, and requiring Defendant to comply with the ADA by providing individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services that are equivalent to the transportation services provided to nondisabled guests.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The claims alleged arise under Title III such that this Court's jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12188.

7. Personal jurisdiction exists for Defendant because it manages and/or operates multiple hotels located in eastern Pennsylvania, including the Doubletree Suites by Hilton, Philadelphia West, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania ("Doubletree").

8. Venue in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Caroline Brodie, at all times relevant hereto, is and was a resident of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

10. Plaintiff is a wheelchair user and is limited in the major life activity of walking.

11. As Plaintiff requires a wheelchair accessible vehicle in order to utilize transportation services offered by hotels, Plaintiff has a personal interest in ensuring that hotels comply with federal requirements governing the provision of accessible transportation services.

12. Plaintiff is a tester in this litigation and a consumer who wishes to access Defendant's goods and services.

13. Defendant HEI Hospitality, LLC is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Norwalk, Connecticut.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14. Defendant owns, manages and/or operates many hotels throughout the United States.

15. As part of these operations, Defendant provides its customers transportation services, including, but not limited to, complimentary shuttle services.

16. Within the applicable limitations period, Plaintiff called the Doubletree located in Plymouth Meeting, PA, and was told by an agent of Defendant that the Doubltrees provides a complimentary shuttle service for guests.

17. Plaintiff was told that the complimentary shuttle service was not wheelchair accessible and was told that Defendant would not provide an alternative transportation service.

18. An investigation performed on Plaintiff's behalf confirmed the allegations made by Plaintiff above.

19. The investigation performed on behalf of Plaintiff further confirmed that, in addition to the Doubletree, Defendant owns, manages and/or operates other hotels in the United States that offer transportation services to their guests, but do not offer equivalent transportation services to guests who use wheelchairs or scooters, including but not limited to the following:

- A. Hilton Los Angeles North/Glendale located at 100 West Glenoaks Boulevard, Glendale, CA 91202.
- B. Wildwood Snowmass Village located at 40 Elbert Lane, Snowmass Village, CO 81615.

- C. The Westin Snowmass Resort located at 100 Elbert Lane, Snowmass Village, CO 81615.
- D. The Westin Westminster located at 10600 Westminster Boulevard, Westminster, CO 80020.
- E. Hilton University of Florida Conference Center Gainesville located at 1714 SW
 34th Street, Gainesville, FL 32607.
- F. The Westshore Grand located at 4860 West Kennedy Boulevard, Tampa, FL 33609.
- G. Chateau Elan Winery & Resort located at 100 Rue Charlemagne Drive, Braselton,
 GA 30517.
- H. Westin Atlanta Perimeter North located at 7 Concourse Parkway NE, Atlanta, GA 30328.
- I. Sheraton Parsippany Hotel located at 199 Smith Road, Parsippany, NJ 07054.
- J. DoubleTree Suites by Hilton Hotel Philadelphia West located at 640 Fountain Road, Plymouth Meetings, PA 19462.

20. Because of Defendant's inadequate corporate policy, Plaintiff has been, and in the absence of an injunction will be, injured by Defendant's policy and practice of failing to provide equivalent transportation services to persons with disabilities.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff brings this action under Rule 23(a) and (b)(2) of the federal rules of civil procedure and on behalf of herself and the following class: "All individuals who use wheelchairs or scooters for mobility and who have been, or in the future will be, denied the full and equal enjoyment of transportation services offered to guests at hotels owned and/or operated by Defendant because of the lack of equivalent accessible transportation services at those hotels."

Case 2:18-cv-00853-ER Document 1 Filed 02/23/18 Page 5 of 8

22. <u>Numerosity:</u> The class described above is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and the Court, and will facilitate judicial economy.

23. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class. The claims of Plaintiff and members of the class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct.

24. <u>Common Questions of Fact and Law:</u> There is a well-defined community of interest and common questions of fact and law affecting members of the class in that they all have been and/or are being denied their civil rights to full and equal access to, and use and enjoyment of, Defendant's goods, services and facilities due to the policies and practices described above.

25. <u>Adequacy of Representation</u>: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the class and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation, generally, and who possess specific expertise in the context of class litigation under the ADA.

26. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the class as a whole.

<u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181, et seq.

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation herein.
- 28. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the class.

29. Plaintiff is an individual with a disability under the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)(A).

Defendant, a hospitality business, is public accommodation under the ADA. 42
 U.S.C. § 12181(7).

31. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

32. Defendant operates fixed route systems and demand responsive systems within the meaning of the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(3) and (4).

33. For fixed route systems, Defendant must meet the following requirements: a) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity over 16 passengers must be wheelchair-accessible; and b) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity of under 16 passengers must either be either wheelchair-accessible or equivalent service must be provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(B).

34. For demand responsive systems, Defendant must provide wheelchair-accessible vehicles or ensure that equivalent service is provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(C)

35. Defendant has engaged in illegal disability discrimination by, without limitation, failing to ensure that transportation vehicles in use at the hotels it manages and/or operates are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, by failing to ensure that its hotels provide equivalent accessible transportation services to such individuals, and/or by failing to ensure that personnel are trained to proficiency with respect to the provision of accessible transportation services.

Case 2:18-cv-00853-ER Document 1 Filed 02/23/18 Page 7 of 8

36. Moreover, by failing to provide accessible transportation, Defendant has engaged, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, in illegal disability discrimination, as defined by Title III, including without limitation:

a) denying individuals with mobility disabilities opportunities to participate in and benefit from the goods, services and facilities available at Defendant's hotels;

b) affording individuals with mobility disabilities unequal access to goods, services or facilities;

c) utilizing methods of administration that (i) have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability; or (ii) perpetuating the discrimination of others who are subject to common administrative control; and

d) failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures where necessary to afford services, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with mobility disabilities.

37. Defendant's ongoing and continuing violations of Title III have caused, and in the absence to an injunction will continue to cause harm to the Plaintiff and the class.

38. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff requests relief as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgement as follows:

a. A Declaratory Judgment that at the commencement of this action Defendant was in violation of the specific requirements of Title III of the ADA described above, and the relevant implementing regulations of the ADA, in that Defendant failed to take action reasonably calculated

Case 2:18-cv-00853-ER Document 1 Filed 02/23/18 Page 8 of 8

to ensure that Defendant's transportation services were fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with mobility disabilities;

b. A permanent injunction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and 28 CFR § 36.501 which direct Defendant to take all steps necessary to bring its corporate policy with respect to transportation services into full compliance with the requirements set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that those transportation services are fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with disabilities, and which further directs that the Court shall retain jurisdiction for a period to be determined to ensure that Defendant has adopted and is following an institutional policy that will in fact cause Defendant to remain fully in compliance with the law.

c. An Order Certifying the proposed class, naming Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and designating counsel for Plaintiff as class counsel;

d. Payment of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided for by law; and

e. Such other additional or alternative relief as the Court finds just and proper.

Dated: February 16, 2018

Respectfully submitted.

R. Bruce Carlson bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com Gary F. Lynch glynch@carlsonlynch.com CARLSON LYNCH SWEET KILPELA & CARPENTER, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh PA, 15222 (412) 322-9243 Case 2:18-cv-00853-ER Document 1-1 Filed 02/23/18 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.

| CAROLINE BRODIE | | \sim | HEFFESPHALT | Ŷ, LLC 18 | 853 |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | 000 |
| (b) County of Residence | | | County of Residenc | e of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES | ONLY) |
| | | | NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC | ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED. | THE LOCATION OF |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, | Address, and Telephone Numb | cr) | Attorneys (If Known, |) | 2 7 8 |
| | | ¢ | | | |
| I. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" in (| One Box Only] | III. CITIZENSHIP OF I | PRINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plain and One Box for Defendant) |
| 1 U.S. Government 🛛 🛛 🗴 3 \Federal Question | | | TF DEF | PTF DEF | |
| Plaintiff | (U.S. Government | Not a Party) | Citizen of This State | J 1 □ 1 Incorporated or P of Business In | |
| 1 2 U.S. Government Defendant | 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens) | tip of Parties in Item [1]) | Citizen of Another State E | 3 2 D 2 Incorporated and of Business In | |
| | \bigcirc | | Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation | 06 06 |
| V. NATURE OF SUI | | | | the second se | of Suit Code Descriptions. |
| CONTRACT | 1 | ORTS | FORFEITURE/PENALTY | | OTHER STATUTES |
| J 110 Insurance J 120 Marine | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane | DERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - | of Property 21 USC 881 | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal | 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC |
| 3 130 Miller Act J 140 Negotiable Instrument | 315 Airplane Product Liability | Product Liability 367 Health Care/ | G 690 Other | 28 USC 157 | 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment |
| 1 150 Recovery of Overpayment | 🗇 320 Assault, Libel & | Pharmaceutical | | PROPERINGHESSIE | D 410 Antitrust |
| & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act | Slander | Personal Injury Product Liability | | 820 Copyrights 830 Patent | 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce |
| 152 Recovery of Defaulted | Liability | 368 Asbestos Personal | | 35 Patent - Abbreviated | 460 Deportation |
| Student Loans | 340 Marine | Injury Product | | New Drug Application | □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and |
| (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment | 345 Marine Product Liability | Liability PERSONAL PROPER | TY | SOCIAL SECURITY | Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit |
| of Veteran's Benefits | 350 Motor Vehicle | O 370 Other Fraud | 710 Fair Labor Standards | 🗇 861 HIA (1395ff) | 1 490 Cable/Sat TV |
| 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract | 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability | 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal | Act 720 Labor/Management | □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) | 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange |
| 195 Contract Product Liability | D 360 Other Personal | Property Damage | Relations | D 864 SSID Title XVI | 890 Other Statutory Actions |
| • 196 Franchise | Injury 362 Personal Injury - | 385 Property Damage Product Liability | 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical | 🗇 865 RSI (405(g)) | 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters |
| | Medical Malpractice | Product canonity | Leave Act | | 895 Freedom of Information |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETITION | | FEDERAL TAX SUITS | Act |
| 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure | ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting | Habeas Corpus: | 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act | 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) | 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | A 442 Employment | □ 510 Motions to Vacate | | D 871 IRS-Third Party | Act/Review or Appeal of |
| 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability | D 443 Housing/ Accommodations | Sentence 530 General | | 26 USC 7609 | Agency Decision |
| 290 All Other Real Property | 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - | 535 Death Penalty | IMMIGRATION | | 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes |
| / | Employment | Other | 462 Naturalization Application | 1 | |
| | Other Other | 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights | Actions | | |
| (| 448 Education | 1 555 Prison Condition | 7101010 | | |
| • • • • • • • | | 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of | | | |
| 1 | | Confinement | | | |
| ORIGIN (Place an "X" 1 Original □ 2 Re | ••• | Remanded from | J 4 Reinstated or D 5 Transfe | erred from D 6 Multidistr | rict 0 8 Multidistrict |
| | te Court | Appellate Court | Reopened Anothe (specify) | r District Litigation Transfer | |
| AUSE OF ACTION | 42 U.S.C. 12181. | et. seq. | e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat | tutes unless diversity): | |
| IN AUGE OF ACTA | Brief description of co | | | | $\langle \rangle$ |
| II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS | IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P. | DEMAND \$ | CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND: | if demanded in complaint: |
| III. RELATED CASI IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): | JUDGE, | | DOCKET NUMBER | FEB 23 2018 |
| ATE ILL ILL | | SIGNATURE OF ATT | ORNEY OF RECORD | | |
| 116/19 | { | EMMal. | | ar opningen my som men skulge skyrget i de skillet de skelet skelet skelet skelet skelet skelet skelet skelet s | |
| OR OFFICE USE ONLY | Low | | | | |
| 1 1 | 10UNT | APPLYING IFP | JUDGE | MAG. JUD | CF. |

| | Filed 02/22/10 | | 1 | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Case Case Colored Colo | | age 1 of | T | |
| UNITED STATES DIST | RICT COURT | | | |
| FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to b | e used by counsel to indicate t | he category of th | e case for the pu | rpose of |
| assignment to appropriate calendar. | | 18 | 853 | |
| Address of Plaintiff: Norristown, PA | 11 000 0 0051 | & 9 | 000 | |
| Address of Defendant: 101 Merritt 7 Corporate Park, 1st Floor, Norw | 7alk, CT 06851 | | | |
| Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Norristown, Pennsylvania | | an a | | |
| (Use Reverse Side For A | | | | |
| Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a | | | ore of its stock? | |
| (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) |) Y | ′es□/No | | |
| Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? | Ŷ | 'es□ Not | | · . |
| RELATED CASE, IF ANY: | | | | |
| Case Number: Judge | Date Terminated: | <u> </u> | | |
| Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: | | | | |
| 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye | ar previously terminated action | in this court? | | |
| | Ŷ | es□ No | | |
| 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court? | uit pending or within one year p | reviously terminat | ted | |
| | Y | es Not | | |
| 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n | umbered case pending or within | | sly | |
| terminated action in this court? | Ŷ | es Nd | | |
| 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right | s case filed by the same individu | 1417 | | |
| | F | es No | | |
| | · · · | | | an man the second and a grant way and the bit of the second second |
| CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) | | | | |
| A. Federal Question Cases: | B. Diversity Jurisdictio | | Contracto | |
| 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts | 1. □ Insurance Con | | Contracts | |
| 2. D FELA | 2. D Airplane Perso | | | • |
| 3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury | 3. 🗆 Assault, Defan | | | |
| 4. D Antitrust | 4. □ Marine Person | | | |
| 5. D Patent | 5. D Motor Vehicle | | · | |
| 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 7. □ Civil Rights | 6. Other Personal | | specify) | |
| | 7. □ Products Liabi | • | | |
| 8. D Habeas Corpus | 8. D Products Liabi | - |)S | |
| 9. Construction Social Security Review Cases | 9. □ All other Dive | | | |
| 11. All other Federal Question Cases | (Please specify) | en anderligen och den sjonen den stillet kan att bester ber | | |
| (Please specify) Americans with Disabilities Act, Title III | | | | |
| V | | | | |
| ARBITRATION CERTI (Check Appropriate Ca | | | | |
| KBruce Carlson , counsel of record do hereby certify | n | | | _ |
| □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; | elief, the damages recoverable i | n this civil action | case exceed the si | um of |
| Relief other than monetary damages is sough | | | | |
| Jahulia Darin A | | 56657 | | Ŷ |
| DADE: 6/10/10 Attorney-at-Law | | Attorney I.D.# | | |
| NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if then | e has been compliance with F.R. | • | FEB 23 | 2018 |
| I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w | thin one year providently to the | | | 2010 |
| except as noted above | tern one year previously tern | unated action 10 | ina stati | |
| A Rent A | MILITE CONTRACT C MILITE IN COMPANY AND AND AND AND AN AND AND | 56657 | n maana kamanaan isaa sa mutamuu isi u | |
| DATE:Attorney-adaw | .4 | Attorney I.D.# | | |
| CIV. 609 (5/2012) | | | | |
| | | | | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CAROLINE BRODIE

CIVIL ACTION

853

()

()

()

()

FEB 23 2018

18

HEI HOSPITALITY, LLC

V.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.
- (b) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.
- (c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.
- (e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)
- (f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

(412)322-9243

(412)231-0246

ttorney-at-law

E-Mail Address

bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com

Plaintiff, Caroline Brodie

Attorney for

Telephone

FAX Number

(Civ. 660) 10/02

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action: HEI Hospitality's Guest Shuttle Services Are Not ADA-Compliant</u>