IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

LATANYA BOTTLEY, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiffs,	
-against-	: <u>COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT</u>
RWDT FOODS, INC. d/b/a DENNY'S RESTAURANTS, RONALD WOOTEN, and DONNELL THOMPSON,	JURY DEMAND
Defendants.	: : :

Plaintiff, Latanya Bottley (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, as and for her Complaint, alleges Defendants RWDT Foods, Inc. d/b/a Denny's Restaurants, Ronald Wooten and Donnell Thompson's (collectively the "Defendants") failure to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees minimum wage and overtime compensation, along with other unlawful labor practices as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action to recover monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as a result of Defendants' willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action under the FLSA in connection with Defendants' commonly applied policy and practice in violation

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 2 of 13

of their statutory obligations to pay Plaintiff and the putative collective members minimum wage and overtime compensation at no less than time and a half (1.5) their regular rate of pay for hours worked beyond forty (40) in a workweek.

- 3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed systematic and widespread violations of the above-described federal wage and hour statutes and regulations, in the manner described herein.
- 4. Specifically, Defendants had the following wage and hour violations:
 - a. Required hourly employees to work off the clock without compensation;
 - b. Required hourly employees to work overtime without compensation;
 - c. Failure to pay hourly employees wages for hours worked off the clock and for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a work week at no less than time and a half (1.5) of their regular rate of pay;
 - d. Paid hourly employees a reduced "tip credit" hourly rate despite requiring them to spend over twenty percent (20%) of their time on activities that there not themselves directed toward producing tips, including preparing and cooking food, bussing and cleaning tables and other parts of the restaurant, as well as other maintenance, general preparation and side work, such that Defendants lose the tip credit; and
 - e. Failed to consider the actual amount of tips servers received; forced servers to report tips as being greater than they were, and then used the artificially inflated amount to calculate "minimum wage make-up pay," such that there were many

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 3 of 13

weeks in which Plaintiff and all other servers' combination of wages and tips was less than the standard federal minimum wage.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this action involves the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*, a federal statute.
- 6. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b) and (c) because a substantial part of the acts or omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this District and Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

THE PARTIES

- 7. Defendant, **RWDT Foods**, **Inc.** d/b/a **Denny's Restaurants**, (hereinafter "**RWDT**") is a foreign profit corporation registered with the State of North Carolina.
- 8. RWDT is actively doing business in the States of Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina.
- 9. RWDT owns and operates 11 Denny's restaurants.
- 10. RWDT has its principal office located at 2160 Scenic Highway N, Snellville, GA, 30078.
- 11. **RWDT** has an annual gross volume of business exceeding \$500,000.00.
- 12. Defendant, Ronald Wooten, is a founder and Chief Financial Officer of RWDT.
- 13. At all relevant times, **Ronald Wooten** possessed operational control over, possessed an ownership interest in, and/or controlled significant business functions of **RWDT**.
- 14. At all relevant times, Ronald Wooten had the authority to hire and fire employees of RWDT including Plaintiff; determine the wages and compensation of Plaintiff and other employees of RWDT; establish their work schedules; and/or maintain their employment records.
- 15. Defendant, Donnell Thompson, is a founder and Chief Executive Officer of RWDT.

- 16. At all relevant times, **Donnell Thompson** possessed operational control over, possessed an ownership interest in, and/or controlled significant business functions of **RWDT**.
- 17. At all relevant times, **Donnell Thompson** had the authority to hire and fire employees of **RWDT** including Plaintiff; determine the wages and compensation of Plaintiff and other employees of **RWDT**; establish their work schedules; and/or maintain their employment records.
- At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was and is a resident of Gwinnett County, State of Georgia.
- 19. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a non-exempt, hourly paid server and shift lead from approximately July 2016 to October 2016.
- 20. Plaintiff worked at Defendants' Denny's restaurant, 2925 Lawrenceville Suwanee Rd, Suwanee, GA 30024.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 21. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. At all times material and relevant herein, **RWDT** has been an "enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce" as defined under 29 U.S.C. §203(s) (1).
- 23. At all times material and relevant herein, **each Defendant** is the "employer" of Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees within the meaning of 29 U.S.C §203(d).
- 24. At all times material and relevant herein, Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees were and are "employees" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §203(e).
- 25. At all times material and relevant herein, Defendants either directly or indirectly hired

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 5 of 13

Plaintiff and all other hourly employees; controlled their work schedules and conditions of employment; determined the rate and method of the payment of wages; and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

- 26. At all times material and relevant herein, Defendants controlled the work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and conditions of employment of Plaintiff and all other hourly employees.
- 27. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees were paid an hourly rate of compensation.

a. Off-The-Clock and Overtime Violations

- 28. The hourly employees were required by Defendants and regularly worked off the clock and overtime, including but not limited to, cooking, preparing and serving food and performing side work, such as filling, dressing and cleaning.
- 29. They were regularly instructed by Defendants to clock out while still working.
- 30. Defendants regularly clocked out their hourly employees while the employees were still working.
- 31. Defendants were aware that Plaintiff and all other hourly employees worked off the clock and overtime.
- 32. Defendants have implemented and continue to implement a commonly applied policy and practice of requiring their hourly employees to work off the clock and overtime without proper compensation.
- 33. For example, for the pay period of September 22, 2016 to October 5, 2016, Plaintiff worked for approximately four (4) hours off the clock which Defendants were aware of but did not compensate at all.
- 34. As a result, for the pay period of September 22, 2016 to October 5, 2016, Plaintiff was

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 6 of 13

shorted overtime wages for approximately four (4) hours worked in the total amount of \$43.50. This does not include any liquidated damages, attorney's fees or costs.

b. Tip Credit and Minimum Wage Violations

- 35. Defendants paid hourly employees a reduced "tip credit" hourly rate despite requiring them to spend over twenty percent (20%) of their time on activities that were not themselves directed toward producing tips, including preparing and cooking food, bussing and cleaning tables and other parts of the restaurant, as well as other maintenance, general preparation and side work.
- 36. For example, for the pay period of September 8, 2016 to September 21, 2016, Plaintiff spent over twenty percent (20%) of her time on activities that were not directed toward producing tips, including preparing and cooking food, bussing and cleaning tables, checking on other hourly employees, counting the drawer, as well as other maintenance, general preparation and side work.
- 37. Accordingly, for the pay period of September 8, 2016 to September 21, 2016, Defendants do not qualify for a tip credit and thus, Plaintiff was paid below the federal minimum wage.
- 38. During many weeks, Defendants failed to record the actual amount of tips servers received.
- 39. The servers had to report tips received to Defendants for calculating "minimum wage makeup pay."
- 40. Defendants only allowed their servers to report tips that were at least 15% of their sales.
- 41. If the actual amount of tips a server received were below 15% of the sales, the server would not be able to enter the amount into Defendants' system for calculating "minimum wage make-up pay."
- 42. As a result, Defendants forced servers to report tips as being greater than they were, and then

used the artificially inflated amount to calculate "minimum wage make-up pay," such that there were many weeks in which Plaintiff and all other servers' combination of wages and tips was less than the standard federal minimum wage.

- 43. Defendants maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiff and all other hourly employees, including the promulgation and enforcement of policies affecting the payment of wages.
- 44. Plaintiff and all other hourly employees worked in the manner described above and Defendants encouraged, instructed, and required them to work in such manner.
- 45. Defendants' wrongful acts and/or omissions/commissions, as alleged herein, were not made in good faith or in conformity with and in reliance on any written administration regulation, order, ruling, approval, or interpretation by the U.S. Department of Labor, or any administrative practice or enforcement policy of such departments.
- 46. Defendants' widespread violations of the above-described federal wage and hour statutes and regulations were/are willful, arbitrary, unreasonable and/or in bad faith.
- 47. The FLSA requires Defendants to accurately record the hours worked by Plaintiff and the putative collective members.
- 48. Defendants have, or should have, records sufficient to permit a calculation of the hours worked and the minimum wage and overtime underpayments, for Plaintiff and the putative collective members.
- 49. At this time and prior to conducting discovery, Plaintiff and the putative collective members do not have sufficient documents or information in their possession to calculate their total unpaid wages including minimum wage and overtime compensation. Plaintiff and the

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 8 of 13

putative collective reserve the right to offer appropriate calculations based wholly or in part upon information with the control of Defendants, and to request appropriate awards.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 50. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 51. Plaintiff brings this collective action individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated employees, who were/are affected by Defendants' willful violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint.
- 52. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for Defendants' willful violations of the FLSA, as a collective action pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

The Collective is defined as follows:

All hourly paid employees of RWDT from April 2014 through the present.

53. Plaintiff also proposes a sub-collective due to Defendants' tip credit and minimum wage violations of the FLSA; the sub-collective is defined as follows:

All hourly paid employees of RWDT from April 2014 through the present who were paid a reduced "tip credit" hourly rate.

- 54. This action is properly brought as a collective action pursuant to the collective action procedures of the FLSA because Plaintiff and the putative collective members are similarly situated in that they are all subject to Defendants' commonly applied policy and/or practice of failing to pay hourly paid employees minimum wage, and having hourly paid employees work off the clock and overtime without compensation.
- 55. Plaintiff anticipates that there will be no difficulty in the management of this litigation. This litigation presents FLSA claims of a type that have often been prosecuted on a classwide

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 9 of 13

basis, and the manner of identifying the collectives and providing any monetary relief to it can easily be effectuated from a review of Defendants' records.

56. Plaintiff and the proposed collective members demand a trial by jury.

<u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Individual Claims for Minimum Wage Violations)

- 57. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 58. Defendants paid Plaintiff a reduced "tip credit" hourly rate despite requiring her to spend over twenty percent (20%) of her time on activities that were not themselves directed toward producing tips.
- 59. Defendants failed to record the actual amount of tips Plaintiff received.
- 60. Defendants forced Plaintiff to report tips as being greater than they were, and then used the artificially inflated amount to calculate "minimum wage make-up pay."
- 61. Because Defendants willfully violated the FLSA, as aforesaid, a three (3) year statute of limitations applies to such violation, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 62. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff was illegally denied minimum wage, in such amounts to be determined at trial, and is entitled to recovery of total unpaid amounts, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, costs, reasonable attorney's fees and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claims for Minimum Wage Violations)

- 63. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 64. Defendants paid Plaintiff and all other similarly situated a reduced "tip credit" hourly rate

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 10 of 13

despite requiring them to spend over twenty percent (20%) of their time on activities that were not themselves directed toward producing tips.

- 65. Defendants failed to record the actual amount of tips employees received.
- 66. Defendants forced Plaintiff and all other similarly situated to report tips as being greater than they were, and then used the artificially inflated amount to calculate "minimum wage makeup pay."
- 67. Because Defendants willfully violated the FLSA, as aforesaid, a three (3) year statute of limitations applies to such violation, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 68. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and all other similarly situated were illegally denied minimum wage, in such amounts to be determined at trial, and is entitled to recovery of total unpaid amounts, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, costs, reasonable attorney's fees and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b).

<u>THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Individual Claims for Overtime Violations)

- 69. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. Plaintiff was required by Defendants and regularly worked off the clock and overtime.
- 71. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff wages for working off the clock and overtime at no less than time and a half (1.5) of her regular rate of pay, in violation of the FLSA.
- 72. Defendants' policy and/or practice, as described above, was and/or is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.
- 73. Because Defendants willfully violated the FLSA, as aforesaid, a three (3) year statute of limitations applies to such violation, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.

74. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff was illegally denied wages for working off the clock and overtime compensation, in such amounts to be determined at trial, and is entitled to recovery of total unpaid amounts, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, costs, reasonable attorney's fees and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b).

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claims for Violation of FLSA)

- 75. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 76. Plaintiff and all other hourly employees were required by Defendants and regularly worked off the clock and overtime.
- 77. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff and all other hourly employees wages for working off the clock and overtime compensation at no less than time and a half (1.5) of their regular rate of pay, in violation of the FLSA.
- 78. Defendants' policy and/or practice, as described above, was and/or is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.
- 79. Because Defendants willfully violated the FLSA, as aforesaid, a three (3) year statute of limitations applies to such violation, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 80. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the putative collective members were illegally deprived of wages for working off the clock and overtime compensation, in such amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such total unpaid amounts, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, costs, reasonable attorney's fees and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b).

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 12 of 13

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for declaratory relief and damages as follows:

- A. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' wage policy and practice alleged herein violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq.;
- B. An Order certifying the FLSA collective;
- C. An Order certifying the FLSA sub-collective;
- D. An Order directing Defendants, at their own expense, to investigate and account for the number of hours actually worked by the Plaintiff and each putative collective member during each workweek;
- E. Judgment for damages for all unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and the putative collective members during the applicable statutory period under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq.;
- F. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq., in an amount equal to all unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and the putative collective members during the applicable statutory period;
- G. An order directing Defendants to pay Plaintiff and the putative collective members reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with this action;
- H. Incentive award for the lead Plaintiff(s);
- I. An order for injunctive relief and permanently enjoining Defendants from future violations of the FLSA;

- J. Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by the Court;
- K. Judgment for any and all civil penalties to which Plaintiff and all putative collective members may be entitled; and
- L. Such other and further relief as to this Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

Dated: April 4, 2017

Respectfully submitted,



315 W Ponce de Leon Ave #400 Decatur, GA 30030 Phone: (404) 373-1800 Fax: (404) 373-6999

-And-

JTB LAW GROUP, LLC Jason T. Brown (*Pro Hac Vice* anticipated) jtb@jtblawgroup.com Zijian Guan (*Pro Hac Vice* anticipated) cocozguan@jtblawgroup.com Phone: (877) 561-0000 Fax: (855) 582-5297

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1-1 Filed 04/28/17 Page 1 of 1 DocuSign Envelope ID: 13A660B7-5A36-42AF-B042-ECA51F281D3D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF _____

_____X

LATANYA BOTTLEY, On Behalf of Themselves And All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RWDT FOODS, INC d/b/a DENNY'S, et al.

Defendants.

-----X

CONSENT TO SUE

I, hereby consent to be a Plaintiff in the Fair Labor Standards Act case captioned above. I hereby consent to the bringing of any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act (for unpaid minimum wages, overtime, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs and other relief) and applicable state wage and hour law against the Defendants. I further consent to bringing these claims on a collective and/or class basis with other current/former employees of Defendant(s). I authorize JTB LAW GROUP, LLC, its successors and assigns, to represent me in this case.

Name: Latanya Bottley

Signature:

Address: 785 Paris Dr Lawrenceville GA 30034

DocuSigned by:

Dated: 10/17/2016

27.7.7. Batty

Case 1:17-cv-01516-ELR Document 1-2 Filed 04/28/17 Page 1 of 2

JS44 (Rev. 11/16 NDGA)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein wither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S) Latanya Bottley, individually and on behalf of all others similiary situated, (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Gwinnett (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		DEFENDANT(S) RWDT Foods, Inc. d/b/a Denny's Restaurants, Ronald Wooten, and Donnell Thompson COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT <u>Gwinnett</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED	
The ORLANDO Firm, P.C. 315 West Ponce De Leon Ave., Suite 400 Decatur, Georgia 30030 404-373-1800 roger@orlandofirm.com			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEPENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)		
1 U.S. GOVERNMENT 3 FEDERAL QUESTION PLAINTIFF (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT 4 DIVERSITY DEFENDANT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)	\Box_2 \Box_2 CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE \Box_5 \Box_5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL		
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY) I ORIGINAL PROCEEDING 2 REMOVED FROM STATE COURT 3 REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT	4 REINSTATED REOPENED	OR 5 ANOTHER DISTRICT 6 LITIGATION - 7 FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGE (Specify District) 7 TRANSFER JUDGMENT	
MULTIDISTRICT B LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE			
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUT JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES U Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. 201.		U ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE	
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)	_		
		blems locating or preserving evidence	
		ding parallel investigations or actions by government. tiple use of experts.	
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.		ed for discovery outside United States boundaries.	
\square 5. Extended discovery period is needed.	_	stence of highly technical issues and proof.	
	CONTINUED	ON REVERSE	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
RECEIPT# AMOUNT \$		IG JFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP) OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION	

(Referral)

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY
150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT &	440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	TRACK
ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT	441 VOTING	861 HIA (1395ff)
152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT	442 EMPLOYMENT	862 BLACK LUNG (923)
LOANS (Excl. Veterans)	443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS	863 DIWC (405(g))
☐ 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF	445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment	863 DIWW (405(g))
VETERAN'S BENEFITS	446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other	864 SSID TITLE XVI
CONTRACT HAR MONTRING INFOCOMERNY TRACK	448 EDUCATION	865 RSI (405(g))
CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK		FEDERAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY
	IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	TRACK
130 MILLER ACT	462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION	870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT	465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS	871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609
151 MEDICARE ACT		
160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS	PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY	OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY
190 OTHER CONTRACT	TRACK	TRACK
195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY	463 HABEAS CORPUS- Alien Detainee	375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT
196 FRANCHISE	510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	376 Qui Tam 31 USC 3729(a)
	530 HABEAS CORPUS	400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT
REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	535 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY	430 BANKS AND BANKING
TRACK	540 MANDAMUS & OTHER	450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC. 460 DEPORTATION
210 LAND CONDEMNATION	550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se	400 DEFORTATION 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
220 FORECLOSURE	555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se 560 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF	ORGANIZATIONS
230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT 240 TORTS TO LAND	CONFINEMENT	480 CONSUMER CREDIT
245 TORTS TO LAND 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY		490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV
290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY	PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
	TRACK	891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS
TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS	550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel	893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS
DISCOVERY TRACK	555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel	895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
310 AIRPLANE	—	899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT /
315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION
320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER		950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES
330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY	625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY	OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY
340 MARINE	21 USC 881	TRACK
345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY	690 OTHER	410 ANTITRUST
350 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY	LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE
360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT	
362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL	720 LABOR/MOMT. RELATIONS	OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY
MALPRACTICE	740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT	TRACK
365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY	751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT	896 ARBITRATION
367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/	790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION	(Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify)
PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY	791 EMPL, RET. INC. SECURITY ACT	
368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL, INJURY PRODUCT		
LIABILITY	PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	* ΝΙ ΕΛΩΕ ΝΟΤΕ ΝΙΟΟΥΕΒΥ
	TRACK	* PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY
TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS	820 COPYRIGHTS 840 TRADEMARK	TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE.
DISCOVERY TRACK	540 TRADEWARK	SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3
370 OTHER FRAUD 371 TRUTH IN LENDING	PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY	
380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	TRACK	
385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY	830 PATENT	
	—	
BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK		
422 APPEAL 28 USC 158		
423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157		
—		
1010 1010 1010 1010 1010 1010 1010 101		

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$______ JURY DEMAND 🗹 YES 🗋 NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)

VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE

DOCKET NO.

CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX)

- 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
- □ 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
- 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
- 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME
- BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.
- ☐ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY <u>PRO SE</u> LITIGANTS.
 ☐ 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):

ISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO.

, WHICH WAS

2017



SIGNATO, RECORD

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>GA Denny's Operating Company Hit with Unpaid Wage Lawsuit</u>