1	Ashley M. Brettingen (SBN 315703) abrettingen@hinshawlaw.com HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP		
2	111601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800		
3 4	Los Angeles, CA 90025 Telephone: 310-909-8000 Facsimile: 310-909-8001		
5			
6	Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION		
7			
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	CUDISTODUED DOECEMAN	Case No. '18CV2606 BE	EN NLS
11	CHRISTOPHER BOEGEMAN, Individually and on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated individuals,	(Honorable	
12	Plaintiffs,		, Courtroom
13	VS.	NOTICE OF REMOV	VAL
14	TARGET CORPORATION, and DOES		
15	1-10, inclusive,		
16	Defendant.	Removal Filed:	
17		Motion Cut-Off: Discovery Cut-Off:	TBA TBA
18			
1920			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	NOTICE OF	REMOVAL	

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90025 310-909-8000 NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Case No.

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1446, defendant Target Corporation ("Target") hereby gives notice of the removal of the above-entitled action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, and in support of the Notice of Removal states as follows:

- 1. On or about September 13, 2018, Plaintiff commenced an action against Target in San Diego County Superior Court, entitled *Christopher Boegeman v. Target Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL (the "State Action"). A copy of the Amended Complaint was served on Target on October 24, 2018. Copies of all process, pleadings, and orders served on Target in the State Action are attached as Exhibit A to this Notice of Removal.
- 2. The United States District Court for the Southern District of California is the District Court embracing San Diego County, where the State Action is currently pending. Venue is therefore proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- 3. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this Notice is being filed concurrently with the San Diego County Superior Court Clerk and on Plaintiff.
- 4. The United States District Court for the Southern District of California has original diversity jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), and the State Action may be removed to this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1453(b).
 - a. Plaintiff is a California resident and appears to be a citizen of California. (Am. Cmpl. at ¶ 10.)
 - b. Target Corporation is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business in Minnesota. (Am. Cmpl. at ¶ 12.)
 - c. The amount in controversy, which includes damages and fees sought by Plaintiff on behalf of all proposed class members,

Case No.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs. (See Am. Cmpl. at ¶ 50, Prayer for Relief.)

- i. Upon receiving the Amended Complaint and learning the definition of the proposed class, (Am. Cmpl. at ¶ 33), Target searched its records in an effort to estimate the size of the proposed class. Based on its preliminary investigation (and subject to revision as additional information becomes available), Target estimates that the proposed class consists of approximately 25,000 members.
- ii. The Amended Complaint seeks statutory damages of between \$100 and \$5,000 on behalf of each class member under Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(B). Assuming a class member is awarded \$250 for each alleged statutory violation, which totals \$6.25 million in statutory damages.
- 5. This Notice of Removal is filed within thirty days after the Complaint in the State Action was served on Target.
- 6. Target makes no admission of liability by this Notice and expressly reserves its right to raise all defenses and objections to Plaintiff's claims and any class claims after the action is removed to the above Court, including, without limitation, any objections to the merits and sufficiency of the Plaintiff's pleadings and class claims, including, without limitation, the sufficiency of service of process.

DATED: November 14, 2018 HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP

> By: /s/ Ashley M. Brettingen ASHLEY M. BRETTINGEN Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION

> > Case No.

$\text{Case 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS_VPCUTER} \\ \text{Shiel 11/14/18} \quad \text{Page ID.4} \quad \text{Page 1 of 1 } \\ \text{Page 1 of 1 } \\ \text{Page ID.4} \quad \text{Page 2 of 1 } \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS_VPCUTER} \\ \text{Page 1 of 1 } \\ \text{Page 2 of 1 } \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS_VPCUTER} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS_VPCUTER} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS_VPCUTER} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS_VPCUTER} \\ \text{Page 4 of 1 } \\ \text{Page 5 of 1 } \\ \text{Page 6 of 1 } \\ \text{Page$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	IONS ON NEXT PAGE OF :	THIS FO	RM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFEN								
CHRISTOPHER BOEGI and all others similarly si	self	Target Corporation	, et al.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego				County of Residence	of First Liste	d Defendant		
(EX		NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PI ONDEMNATIO	LAINTIFF CASES OF N CASES, USE THI OLVED.	E LOCATION C			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known)		1000	2000 DL	N NLS
Yana A. Hart, Esq. Hyde	C							
2221 Camino Del Rio So		iego, CA 92108					s, CA 9002	5
Tel: 619-233-7770; Fax:		L	~					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES (
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)		PT			ncipal Place	PTF DEF □ 4 □ 4
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citize	n of Another State	Attorneys (If Known) Ashley M. Brettingen, Esq., Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 1601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800, Los Angels, CA 90025 Tel: 310-909-8000; Fax: 310-909-8001 ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Defendence of Business In This State The property Cases Only 1			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)		en or Subject of a	I3 П 3		nother State	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place on "V" in One Poy () ,, (,,)	Fo	reign Country \Box			Suit Code De	
CONTRACT		RTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY				
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	□ 6	25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appe	eal 28 USC 158	375 False C	Claims Act
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	_			
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/		90 Otner	28 03	SC 157		• •
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					410 Antitru	ıst
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability					=	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			I =			
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability					470 Racket	eer Influenced and
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y	LABOR	_			
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		10 Fair Labor Standards				
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	380 Other Personal		Act			_	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage				, ,,,,		
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		40 Railway Labor Act			Exchan	ige
	Medical Malpractice	,						
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		90 Other Labor Litigation			893 Environ	nmental Matters
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee			_	,		m of Information
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		meome security rec	☐ 871 IRS-	—Third Party	_	ation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence 530 General			26 US	SC 7609	_	istrative Procedure
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	4			eview or Appeal of cy Decision
250 7th Other Real Froperty	Employment	Other:	$\Box\Box$	62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration			950 Constit	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities- Other	540 Mandamus & Othe	er -	Actions			State :	Statutes
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition						
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of						
		Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	·· —		_					
		Remanded from Appellate Court	_ 4 Rein Reop	stated or 5 Transfer bened Another	rred from r District	6 Multidistrict Litigation-		8 Multidistrict Litigation -
	Cita the IIC Civil Ct.	atuta umdan rehiah yang an	o filino ((Specify) Do not cite jurisdictional sta		Transfer		Direct File
	28 H S C 88 133	2(d)(2), 1453(d), 14		Do noi cue jurisaicuonai sia	uutes untess at	versuy):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca		10.					
		n for violations of C	al. Civ	. Code § 1785.25(a)				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	D	EMAND \$	Cl	HECK YES only is		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23	3, F.R.Cv.P.	5	5,000,000.00	Л	JRY DEMAND:	X Yes	No
VIII. RELATED CASE	(See instructions):							
IF ANY	(~== vion actions).	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER		
DATE 11/14/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (of record s/ Ashley	M. Brettin	gen		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						<u> </u>		
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	ΉE	



1	Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)
2	josh@westcoastlitigation.com
_	Yana A. Hart, Esq. (SBN: 306499)
3	yana@westcoastlitigation.com
4	Hyde & Swigart
4	2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101
5	San Diego, CA 92108
6	Office Number: (619) 233-7770
U	Office Fax Number: (619) 297-1022
7	
8	[Additional Attorneys on Signature Page]
0	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	Christopher Boegeman
	=:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::

ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 09/13/2018 at 10:43:36 AM Clerk of the Superior Court

By Gen Dieu, Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CHRISTOPHER BOEGEMAN, Plaintiff, v. CLASS COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1785.1. et seq.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Christopher Boegeman ("Plaintiff"), through his attorneys, brings this lawsuit to challenge the actions of Defendant Target Corporation ("Defendant") with regard to Defendant's reporting of erroneous negative and derogatory reports on Plaintiff's credit report, as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785, et seq. Defendant's willful or negligent failure to accurately report Plaintiff's credit resulted in an erroneous reporting of an invalid debt. Defendant's failure to correct its report, which Defendant knew or should have known was erroneous, caused Plaintiff's damages.
- 2. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of allegations that pertain to Plaintiff, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- 3. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statute cited in its entirety.
- 4. Unless otherwise stated, Plaintiff alleges that all violations by Defendant were knowing and intentional, and that Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid white such violations.
- 5. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of Defendant's name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of Defendant.
- 6. Unless otherwise stated, all of the conduct engaged in by Defendant occurred in San Diego, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it regularly conducts business in the state of California, selling merchandise and extending lines of credit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HYDE & SWIGART

8. Venue is proper because Defendant conducts business in the County of San Diego. In addition, Plaintiff's damages arose in the County of San Diego.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of San Diego, State of California.
- 10.As a natural person, Plaintiff is a "consumer," as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(b).
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant a foreign corporation incorporated under the laws of Minnesota.
- 12. Defendant is a "person," as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(j).
- 13. This cause of action pertains to Plaintiff's "consumer credit report," as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(c), because it alleges that Defendant made inaccurate representations of Plaintiff's credit worthiness, credit standing, and credit capacity via written, oral, or other communication of information by a consumer credit reporting agency, which is used or is expected to be used, or collected in whole or in part, for the purposes establishing Plaintiff's eligibility for, among other things, credit to be used primarily for personal, family, household and employment purposes.
- 14. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 10 are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who alleges that DOES 1 through 10 are responsible in some manner for the injuries sustained by Plaintiff as hereinafter alleged. Once Plaintiff discovers the names and capacities of DOES 1 through 10, he will request leave and amend this Complaint to reflect that information.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15.At some point, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to Defendant.
- 16. This financial obligation arose from charges that were made to a credit card that Defendant issued to Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 17. Because this complaint alleges violations of the California Consumer Credit Agencies Reporting Act ("CCCRAA"), the circumstances and validity of the Debt are irrelevant. Therefore, the Debt will be discussed only to provide context.
- 18.On December 18, 2015, Plaintiff filed for bankruptcy.
- 19. On March 30, 2016, the Debt was discharged pursuant to a court order that was mailed to Defendant.
- 20. The order advised Defendant that the Debt had been discharged.
- 21. Following the bankruptcy, the account should have been closed and the balance reduced to \$0.00.
- 22.On September 30, 2016, Plaintiff pulled his TransUnion credit report and discovered that Defendant had reported Plaintiff's account as "charged off" from January 2016 to August 2016.
- 23. Under Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a), "[a] person shall not furnish information on a specific transaction or experience to any consumer credit reporting agency if the person knows or should know the information is incomplete or inaccurate."
- 24. As illustrated above, Defendant is a person under Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(j) because it is a corporation.
- 25. Defendant reported information to TransUnion, a credit reporting agency, that it had reason to know or should have known was inaccurate, as evidenced by the fact that the bankruptcy court mailed Defendant a discharge notice that explicitly discharged the Debt. Thus, the account was not charged off. Defendant, therefore, knew or should have known that the information that it provided to TransUnion was inaccurate. Consequently, Defendant violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a).

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 26. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as a members of the proposed class ("Class").
- 27. This Class is defined as follows: all California consumers whose accounts with Respondent were discharged in bankruptcy but reported as "charged off."
- 28. The time period applicable to this Class is two years prior to the filing of this complaint.
- 29. Plaintiff represents and is a member of the Class because Plaintiff's account was reported as "charged off," despite being discharged in bankruptcy.
- 30.Defendants, as well as their employees and agents, are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the hundreds, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class Action to assist in the expeditious litigation of the matter.
- 31.Plaintiff and the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant because Defendant reported the Class's-discharged accounts as "charged off."
- 32. The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all of its members is impractical. While the exact number and identities of the Class members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Class includes hundreds of members. Plaintiff alleges that the Class members may be ascertained by the records maintained by Defendants.
- 33. The suit seeks damages on behalf of the Class. This suit does not request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as facts are learned in through investigation and discovery.
- 34. Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of the Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary between Class

members, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any Class members, include, but are not limited to, the following: whether Defendant violated the CCCRAA when the reported the Class members debts as "charged off."

- 35.Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class for the following reasons: (1) All members of the Class had debts owed to Defendant that were discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy; (2) All members of the Class have been injured by Defendant's refusal to remove the notation "charged of," despite the fact that their debts have been discharged in bankruptcy; and (3) each of their claims is based on the same legal theory, i.e., that Defendant violated the proscriptions sent fourth in Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.1, et seq.
- 36. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution of Class actions. A Class action is superior to other available methods of fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous issues would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same complex factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a Class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each Class member.
- 37. The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to such

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such non-party Class members to protect their interests.

FIRST CAUSES OF ACTION

CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1785.1, ET SEQ.

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 39. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act.
- 40. As a result of each and every violation of the CCCRAA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(A); punitive damages of \$100-\$5,000 per willful violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a), pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(B); injunctive relief pursuant to Calif. Civ. Code § 1785.31(b); and costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(d).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray that judgment be entered against Defendant for:

- An award of actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(A), against Defendant;
- An award of punitive damages of \$100-\$5,000 per willful violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a), pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(B);
- Injunctive relief to correct Defendant's erroneous reporting and to prohibit Defendant from engaging in future violations pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(b);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(d) against Defendant; and
- Any and all other relief that the court deems just and proper.



Case # Complaint

Respectfully submitted, 1 2 3 Hyde & Swigart, APC 4 5 Date: 9/11/18 By: Yana A. Hart 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 8 **Additional Attorneys** 9 Kazerouni Law Group, APC 10 Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN 249203) ak@kazlg.com 11 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 12 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 13 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 14 Law Office of Daniel G. Shay 15 Daniel G. Shay (State Bar No. 250548) 16 DanielShay@SanDiegoBankruptcyNow.com 409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101B 17 San Diego, CA 92108 18 Telephone: (619) 222-7429 Facsimile: (866) 431-3292 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557) 1 josh@westcoastlitigation.com 2 Yana A. Hart, Esq. (SBN: 306499) 3 yana@westcoastlitigation.com **Hyde & Swigart APC** 4 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101 5 San Diego, CA 92108 Office Number: (619) 233-7770 6 Office Fax Number: (619) 297-1022 7 [Additional Attorneys on Signature Page] 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9

Christopher Boegeman

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego
09/19/2018 at 01:56:00 PM
Clerk of the Superior Court

By Gen Dieu Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO (HALL OF JUSTICE)

CHRISTOPHER BOEGEMAN, Individually and on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

V.

TARGET CORPORATION, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No: 37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL

FIRST AMENDED CLASS
ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
DAMAGES FOR VIOLATIONS
OF THE CALIFORNIA
CONSUMER CREDIT
REPORTING AGENCIES ACT,
CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1785.1. et seq.

[UNLIMITED] COMPLEX CASE

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Christopher Boegeman ("Plaintiff"), through his attorneys, brings this class action lawsuit to challenge the actions of Defendant Target Corporation ("Defendant") with regard to Defendant's reporting of erroneous negative and derogatory reports on Plaintiff's credit report, as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785, et seq. Defendant's willful or negligent failure to accurately report Plaintiff's credit resulted in an erroneous reporting of an invalid debt. Defendant's failure to correct its report, which Defendant knew or should have known was erroneous, caused Plaintiff's and all other similarly situated consumers' ("Class Members") damages.
- 2. Here, Defendant incorrectly reported the information regarding discharged debts, deciding consumers and creditors, in violation of the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCCRAA").
- 3. Defendant is a furnisher under the FCRA and the CCCRAA that reports information regarding consumers to credit reporting agencies.
- 2. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of allegations that pertain to Plaintiff, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- 3. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statute cited in its entirety.
- 4. Unless otherwise stated, Plaintiff alleges that all violations by Defendant were knowing and intentional, and that Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid white such violations.
- 5. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of Defendant's name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of Defendant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6. Unless otherwise stated, all of the conduct engaged in by Defendant occurred in San Diego, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction under the general principals since the matter arises under the state laws.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it regularly conducts business in the state of California, selling merchandise and extending lines of credit.
- 9. Venue is proper in this district because, upon information and belief, Defendant transacts business in this district and the acts and omissions alleged, specifically, Defendant caused injury to Plaintiff incorrectly reporting information onto Plaintiff's credit report while Plaintiff was physically located in the City and County of San Diego, State of California.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of San Diego, State of California.
- 11. As a natural person, Plaintiff is a "consumer," as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(b).
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant a foreign corporation incorporated under the laws of Minnesota.
- 13. Defendant is a "person," as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(j).
- 14. This cause of action pertains to Plaintiff's "consumer credit report," as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(c), because it alleges that Defendant made inaccurate representations of Plaintiff's credit worthiness, credit standing, and credit capacity via written, oral, or other communication of information by a consumer credit reporting agency, which is used or is expected to be used, or collected in whole or in part, for the purposes establishing Plaintiff's eligibility

2 | 3 |

1

4

6 7

5

89

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

18

17

1920

2122

24

23

26

25

2728

for, among other things, credit to be used primarily for personal, family, household and employment purposes.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Target is one of the largest companies in the United States, with stores in more than 1,800 communities. *See* https://corporate.target.com/corporate-responsibility/goals-reporting.
- 16. Target offers credit card services to its consumers in California and Nationwide.
- 17.At some point, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to Defendant.
- 18. This financial obligation arose from charges that were made to a credit card that Defendant issued to Plaintiff.
- 19.Because this complaint alleges violations of the California Consumer Credit Agencies Reporting Act ("CCCRAA"), the circumstances and validity of the Debt are irrelevant. Therefore, the Debt will be discussed only to provide context.
- 20.On December 18, 2015, Plaintiff, like thousands of persons in California, filed for bankruptcy.
- 21.On March 30, 2016, the Debt was discharged pursuant to a bankruptcy court order that was mailed to Defendant by the bankruptcy court clerk.
- 22. The order advised Defendant that the Debt had been discharged.
- 23.Under federal bankruptcy laws, such an order fully and completely discharges all statutorily dischargeable debts incurred prior to the filing of bankruptcies, except those that have been: (1) reaffirmed by the debtor in a reaffirmation agreement; or (2) successfully challenged as non-dischargeable by one of the creditors in a related adversary proceeding. Plaintiff and the Class Members are persons for whom the debts at issue herein have been discharged through bankruptcy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 24. Following the bankruptcy, the account should have been closed and the balance reduced to \$0.00.
- 25.On September 30, 2016, Plaintiff pulled his TransUnion credit report and discovered that Defendant had reported Plaintiff's account as "charged off" from January 2016 to August 2016.
- 26. Under Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a), "[a] person shall not furnish information on a specific transaction or experience to any consumer credit reporting agency if the person knows or should know the information is incomplete or inaccurate."
- 27. As illustrated above, Defendant is a person under Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(i) because it is a corporation.
- 28. Defendant had knowledge of when its past due debts are discharged because it is mailed a discharge notice from the bankruptcy court. Defendant therefore has a duty to promptly notify credit reporting agencies of any corrections to the information previously provided to such agencies and/or any provide additional information that is necessary to make the agencies' information complete and accurate.
- 29. Defendant reported information to TransUnion, a credit reporting agency, that it had reason to know or should have known was inaccurate. Thus, the account was not charged off. Consequently, Defendant violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a).
- 30. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has adopted a pattern and practice of failing to update credit information with regard to debts discharged in bankruptcy.
- 31. By deliberately failing to correct erroneous credit information, Plaintiff and Class Members' incurred damages to their credit ratings and their ability to obtain new credit, a lease, a mortgage or employment, all of which may be essential to reestablishing there life after going through bankruptcy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 32. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as members of the proposed Class. This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of those provisions.
- Plaintiff's proposed class consist of and are defined as follow: 33.

All individuals with California addresses, who have had a consumer credit report relating to them prepared by any of the credit reporting agencies in which one or more of their Target accounts or debts was not reported discharged despite the fact that such debts had been discharged as a result of their bankruptcy.

- 34. Excluded from the Class are: (1) Defendant, any entity or division in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and their legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns, and successors; (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's staff; and (3) those persons who have suffered personal injuries as a result of the facts alleged herein.
- 35. Plaintiff reserves the right to redefine the Class and to add subclasses as appropriate based on discovery and specific theories of liability.
- 36. Members of the Class will be referred to hereinafter as "Class Members."
- 37. Numerosity: The Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and impractical. The membership of the entire Class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however, given that, on information and belief, Defendant reports information regarding discharged debts to credit reporting agencies relating to thousands or more of class members during the Class Period, it is reasonable to presume that the members of the Classes are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The disposition of

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

their	claims	in	a	class	action	will	provide	substantial	benefits	to	the	parties
and t	he Com	rt										

38. Commonality: There are common questions of law and fact as to Class Members that predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including, but not limited to:

a.whether Defendant misrepresented the discharged debts and published such information to consumer credit reporting agencies;

b.whether this failure was a result of the Defendant's standard operating procedure;

c.whether Defendant was notified regarding the discharge(s);

d.whether the Defendant's conduct constituted a violation of the CCRAA;

e.whether the Defendant's conduct was willful; and

f.the appropriate amount of statutory and/or punitive damages that are appropriate for such a violation.

- 39. Typicality: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect the interests of each Class Member with whom he is similarly situated, and Plaintiff's claims (or defenses, if any) are typical of all Class Members' as demonstrated herein.
- Plaintiff's claims are representative of the Class Members because they all 40. had debts discharged, and yet, despite being on notice of such bankruptcy discharge of the debts, Defendant continued to report the debt incorrectly, causing Plaintiff and the Class Members' damages.
- 41. Plaintiff and Class Members were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways:
 - Defendant illegally reported the discharged debt onto Plaintiff's and Class Members' credit reports despite knowing that the debts were discharged. Plaintiff and Class Members were damaged thereby.

- 42. Adequacy: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect the interests of each Class Member with whom he is similarly situated, as demonstrated herein. Plaintiff acknowledges that he has an obligation to make known to the Court any relationship, conflicts, or differences with any Class Member. Plaintiff's attorneys, the proposed class counsel, are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. In addition, the proposed class counsel is experienced in handling claims involving consumer actions and violations of CCCRAA. Plaintiff has incurred, and throughout the duration of this action, will continue to incur costs and attorneys' fees that have been, are, and will be, necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of each Class Member.
- 43. <u>Predominance</u>: Questions of law or fact common to the Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the class. The elements of the legal claims brought by Plaintiff and Class Members are capable of proof at trial through evidence that is common to the class rather than individual to its members.
- 44. Superiority: Plaintiff and the Class Members have all suffered and will continue to suffer harm and damages as a result of Defendants' unlawful and wrongful conduct. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Absent a class action, most Class Members would likely find the cost of litigating their claims prohibitively high and would therefore have no effective remedy at law. Because of the relatively small size of the individual Class Members' claims, it is likely that only a few Class Members could afford to seek legal redress for Defendants' misconduct. Absent a class action, Class Members will continue to incur damages, and Defendant's misconduct will continue without remedy. Class treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

superior method to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that class treatment will conserve the resources of the courts and the litigants and will promote consistency and efficiency of adjudication.

- The Class may also be certified because: 45.
 - (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual Class Members, which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant;
 - (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class Members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and
 - (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.
- This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic 46. injury on behalf of Class Members and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definitions to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 47. The joinder of Class Members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class Members can be identified through Defendant's records.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSES OF ACTION

CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT, CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1785.1, ET SEQ.

- 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 49. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act.
- 50. As a result of each and every violation of the CCCRAA, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to any punitive damages of \$100-\$5,000 per willful violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a), pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(B); injunctive relief pursuant to Calif. Civ. Code § 1785.31(b); and costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(d).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for:

- Certify the Class as requested herein;
- Appoint Plaintiff to serve as the Class Representative in this matter;
- Appoint Plaintiff's Counsel as Class Counsel in this matter;
- An award of punitive damages of \$100-\$5,000 per willful violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a), pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(B) to Plaintiff and each Class Member;
- Injunctive relief to correct Defendant's erroneous reporting and to prohibit Defendant from engaging in future violations pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(b);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(d) against Defendant; and
- Any and all other relief that the court deems just and proper.

1 **Trial By Jury** 2 3 51. Plaintiff is entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury. 4 5 Respectfully submitted, Hyde & Swigart, APC 6 7 8 Date: 9/18/18 By: Yana A. Hart 9 Attorney for Plaintiff 10 **Additional Attorneys** 11 12 Kazerouni Law Group, APC 13 Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN 249203) ak@kazlg.com 14 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 15 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 16 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 17 Law Office of Daniel G. Shay 18 Daniel G. Shay (State Bar No. 250548) 19 DanielShay@SanDiegoBankruptcyNow.com 409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101B 20 San Diego, CA 92108 21 Telephone: (619) 222-7429 Facsimile: (866) 431-3292 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):	
Target Corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive	
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):	51
Christopher Boegeman	
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless y below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file at served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in process. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the court forms on the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not kn referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a net these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpoalifornia.org), the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpoalifornia.org), the California Cagov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must JAVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dles, la corte puede decidir en su contra continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales pacorte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo pen formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formul. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Corte biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un	written response at this court and have a copy oper legal form if you want the court to hear your and more information at the California Courts hearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask by default, and your wages, money, and property ow an attorney, you may want to call an attorney opprofit legal services program. You can locate differentia Courts Online Self-Help Center. The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and be paid before the court will dismiss the case. Sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a lara presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta rotegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar airio que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Se de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte le perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le mace a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de re obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el sitio web de California Legal Services, u) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el os exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Ct. of CA, County of San Diego	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):
330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101	
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an atto (El nombre, le dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del dema Yana Hart, Hyde & Swigart, 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101, San	ndante que no tiene abogado, es):
DATE: Clerk, by (Secretario)	, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (FORM) NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (FORM)	POS-010)).
3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):	
	Page 1 of 1

SUM-100

Case 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS Document 1-5 Filed 11/14/18 PageID.25 Page 1 of 2

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State B. Yana Hart, Esq. (SBN: 306499); Joshua S Hyde & Swigart	er number, and address): wigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)	. FOR COURT USE ONLY
2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101, S	an Diego, CA 92108	
TELEPHONE NO.: 619-233-7770 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Christopher	FAX NO.: 619-297-1022 r Boegeman	FROMICALLY FILL ior Court of California vurty of San Diego 42:00 at 01:49:00 of the Superior Courty Clering, Deputy Clering,
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF		ECTRONICALLY perior Court of Cal County of San Di 9/14/2018 at 01:4 lerk of the Superior by E. Filing, Deputy
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway		Figure C
MAILING ADDRESS:	3.1	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
city and zip code: San Diego, CA 9210 Branch name: Central	Л	_
CASE NAME:		
Christopher Boegeman v. Target C	orporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusi	ive
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	ant JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)		DEPT:
Items 1–6 be	elow must be completed (see instructions c	on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type th		
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24) Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (0	7) Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)		Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19)	Residential (32) Drugs (38)	RICO (27)
Professional negligence (25)	Indialal Daviess	Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	les of Court If the ages is complete monthly
2. This case ✓ is ☐ is not con factors requiring exceptional judicial man		les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repr		of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising	g difficult or novel e. Coordination v	vith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumir		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c Substantial amount of document	ary evidence f. L Substantial po	stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a		eclaratory or injunctive relief c. 🖌 punitive
	Violations of Cal. Civ. Code §§178	35.1 et seq.
5. This case is is is not a cla		
	and serve a notice of related case. (You m	nay use form CM-015.)
Date: 09/13/2018		JHW
Yana A. Hart (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(Si	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE	
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any co	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule ver sheet required by local court rule.	g (except small claims cases or cases filed is of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Unless this is a collections case under rule.	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover shee	et will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or

toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Other PI/PD/WD Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal

drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ–Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations) Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse **Election Contest**

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Other Civil Petition

Case 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS Document 1-6 Filed 11/14/18 PageID.27 Page 1 of 2

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

STREET ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827

BRANCH NAME: Central
TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-7070

PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S): Christopher Boegeman

DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): Target Corporation

BOEGEMAN VS TARGET CORPORATION [IMAGED]

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT and CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

CASE NUMBER:

37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Judge: Randa Trapp Department: C-70

COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 09/13/2018

TYPE OF HEARING SCHEDULEDDATETIMEDEPTJUDGECivil Case Management Conference05/03/201910:10 amC-70Randa Trapp

A case management statement must be completed by counsel for all parties or self-represented litigants and timely filed with the court at least 15 days prior to the initial case management conference. (San Diego Local Rules, Division II, CRC Rule 3.725).

All counsel of record or parties in pro per shall appear at the Case Management Conference, be familiar with the case, and be fully prepared to participate effectively in the hearing, including discussions of ADR* options.

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT), THE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730), A STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) (SDSC FORM #CIV-359), AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS SET OUT IN SDSC LOCAL RULE 2.1.5.

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil cases consist of all civil cases except: small claims proceedings, civil petitions, unlawful detainer proceedings, probate, guardianship, conservatorship, juvenile, parking citation appeals, and family law proceedings.

COMPLAINTS: Complaints and all other documents listed in SDSC Local Rule 2.1.5 must be served on all named defendants.

DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint. (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.) (SDSC Local Rule 2.1.6)

JURY FEES: In order to preserve the right to a jury trial, one party for each side demanding a jury trial shall pay an advance jury fee in the amount of one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) on or before the date scheduled for the initial case management conference in the action.

COURT REPORTERS: Court reporters are not provided by the Court in Civil cases. See policy regarding normal availability and unavailability of official court reporters at www.sdcourt.ca.gov.

*ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO TRIAL, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. PARTIES MAY FILE THE ATTACHED STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (SDSC FORM #CIV-359).

SDSC CIV-721 (Rev. 01-17)



Superior Court of California County of San Diego

NOTICE OF ELIGIBILITY TO eFILE AND ASSIGNMENT TO IMAGING DEPARTMENT

This case is eligible for eFiling. Should you prefer to electronically file documents, refer to General Order in re procedures regarding electronically imaged court records, electronic filing, and access to electronic court records in civil and probate cases for rules and procedures or contact the Court's eFiling vendor at www.onelegal.com for information.

This case has been assigned to an Imaging Department and original documents attached to pleadings filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed. Original documents should not be filed with pleadings. If necessary, they should be lodged with the court under California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

On August 1, 2011 the San Diego Superior Court began the Electronic Filing and Imaging Pilot Program ("Program"). As of August 1, 2011 in all new cases assigned to an Imaging Department all filings will be imaged electronically and the electronic version of the document will be the official court file. The official court file will be electronic and accessible at one of the kiosks located in the Civil Business Office and on the Internet through the court's website.

You should be aware that the electronic copy of the filed document(s) will be the official court record pursuant to Government Code section 68150. The paper filing will be imaged and held for 30 days. After that time it will be destroyed and recycled. Thus, you should not attach any original documents to pleadings filed with the San Diego Superior Court. Original documents filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed except those documents specified in California Rules of Court, rule 3.1806. Any original documents necessary for a motion hearing or trial shall be lodged in advance of the hearing pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

It is the duty of each plaintiff, cross-complainant or petitioner to serve a copy of this notice with the complaint, cross-complaint or petition on all parties in the action.

On all pleadings filed after the initial case originating filing, all parties must, to the extent it is feasible to do so, place the words "IMAGED FILE" in all caps immediately under the title of the pleading on all subsequent pleadings filed in the action.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Yana A. Hart, Esq. (SBN: 306499)	
Hyde & Swigart, APC 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101, San Diego, CA 92108	
TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 233-7770 FAX NO.(Optional): (619) 297-1022	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): yana@westcoastlitigation.com	FILED
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	Clerk of the Superior Court
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Christopher Boegeman	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CENTRAL DIVISION, COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 220 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 CENTRAL DIVISION, HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 CENTRAL DIVISION, FAMILY COURT, 1501 67H AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 CENTRAL DIVISION, MADGE BRADLEY, 1409 47H AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 CENTRAL DIVISION, KEARNY MESA, 8950 CLAIREMONT MESA BLVD., SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 CENTRAL DIVISION, JUVENILE COURT, 2851 MEADOW LARK DR., SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 DIVISION, BUTTO DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081	SEP 1 7 2018
CENTRAL DIVISION, HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101	tom 1 \$ 1 and of the
CENTRAL DIVISION, MARIET GOOD, 140 11 TAVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 92101	By: I. QUIRARTE, Deputy
CENTRAL DIVISION, REARNY MESA, 8950 CLAIREMONT MESA BLVD., SAN DIEGO, CA 92123	1
HEAST COUNTY DIVISION 250 F MAIN ST. FL CAJON, CA 92020	1
RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECITO RD., RAMONA, CA 92065 SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910	
PLAINTIFF(S)	-
Christopher Boegeman	
DEFENDANT(S)	JUDGE
Target Corporation	Randa Trapp
IN THE MATTER OF	DEPT
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A MINOR	
PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE	CASE NUMBER
	37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL
Vana A Haut	and with the
Yana A. Hart is a	
above-entitled case and declares that Randa Trapp	, the judge to whom this case is
assigned, is prejudiced against the party or the party's attorney or the interests of the p	arty or the party's attorney such that the
said party or parties believe(s) that a fair and impartial trial or hearing cannot be had	
Sala party of parties believe to that a rail and impartial that of the similar services	
WHEREFORE, pursuant to the provisions of Code Civ. Proc. §170.6, I respectfully	\prime request that this court issue its order
reassigning said case to another, and different, judge for further proceedings.	
the formula the formula the lower of the State of Colifornia that the formula	reasing is true and correct
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the fo	regoling is true and correct.
Date: 9/17/2018	7
Date, of the second sec	Signature
ORDER OF THE COURT	
The partition of the Control of the	ent and a nation will be mailed to counsel
GRANTED - This case is referred to Presiding/Supervising Department for reassignm	lett and a notice will be mailed to counter
☐ DENIED ○	. 4
Ja	rda Tapp
Date: September 26, 2018	
Judge/ Comn	nissioner/Referce- of the Superior Cour
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
7 CH = 15 (N)	
This case has been reassigned to Judge MCOOCI C TOTAUS	per Presiding/Supervising Judge
Feth Leddih on Spotember 2th 2019	`

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	FOR COURT USE ONLY
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101	
BRANCH NAME: Central	
TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-7075	
PLAINTIFF: Christopher Boegeman	
DEFENDANT: Target Corporation	
Short Title: Boegeman vs Target Corporation [IMAGED]	
NOTICE OF CASE REASSIGNMENT	CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL

Filed: 09/13/2018

EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CASE HAS BEEN REASSIGNED

to Judge Richard E. L. Strauss, in Department C-75

due to the following reason: 170.6

All subsequent documents filed in this case must include the name of the new judge and the department number on the first page immediately below the number of the case. All counsel and self-represented litigants are advised that Division II of the Superior Court Rules is strictly enforced. It is the duty of each plaintiff (and cross-complainant) to serve a copy of this notice with the complaint (and cross-complaint).

ANY NEW HEARINGS ON THIS CASE WILL BE SCHEDULED BEFORE THE NEW JUDICIAL OFFICER

Case 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS Document 1-8 Filed 11/14/18 PageID.31 Page 2 of 2

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO Central 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101	
SHORT TITLE: Boegeman vs Target Corporation [IMAGED]	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL	CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL

I certify that I am not a party to this cause. I certify that a true copy of NOTICE OF CASE REASSIGNMENT was mailed following standard court practices in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, addressed as indicated below. The certification occurred at <u>San Diego</u>, California on <u>09/27/2018</u>. The mailing occurred at <u>Gardena</u>, <u>California</u> on <u>09/28/2018</u>.

	Pau	
Clerk of the Court, by:	J. Parra	, Deputy

YANA HART 2221 CAMINO DEL RIO S # 101 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101
BRANCH NAME: Central
TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-7075

PLAINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S)/APPELLANT(S): Christopher Boegeman

DEFENDANT(S)/RESPONDENT(S): Target Corporation

Short Title: Boegeman vs Target Corporation [IMAGED]

CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL

Notice is given that the above-entitled case has been set for the reason listed below and at the location shown above. All inquiries regarding this notice should be referred to the court listed above.

TYPE OF HEARING

DATE

TIME

DEPT

JUDGE

Civil Case Management Conference

06/07/2019

10:00 am C-75

Richard E. L. Strauss

Counsel: Check service list. If you have brought a party into this case who is not included in the service list, San Diego Superior Court Local Rules, Division II, requires you to serve the party with a copy of this notice.

A case management statement must be completed by counsel for all parties or parties in pro per and timely filed with the court at least 15 days prior to the initial case management conference. (San Diego Local Rules, Division II, CRC Rule 3.725).

All counsel of record or parties in pro per shall appear at the Case Management Conference, be familiar with the case, and be fully prepared to participate effectively in the hearing, including discussions of ADR options.

Case 3:18-cv-02606-BEN-NLS Document 1-9 Filed 11/14/18 PageID.33 Page 2 of 2

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO Central 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101					
SHORT TITLE: Boegeman vs Target Corporation [IMAGED]					
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL	CASE NUMBER: 37-2018-00046303-CU-MC-CTL				

I certify that I am not a party to this cause. I certify that a true copy of NOTICE OF HEARING was mailed following standard court practices in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, addressed as indicated below. The certification occurred at <u>San Diego</u>, California on <u>09/27/2018</u>. The mailing occurred at <u>Gardena</u>, <u>California</u> on <u>09/28/2018</u>.

Clerk of the Court, by:	A. Seamons	Deput
		, Dopat

YANA HART 2221 CAMINO DEL RIO S # 101 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

NSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90025 310-909-8000

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90025 310-909-8000 Case No.

1 **SERVICE LIST** 2 Christopher Boegeman v. Target Corporation, et al 3 Case No.: 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff Joshua R. Swigart, Esq. 5 Yana A. Hart, Esq. Hyde & Swigart 6 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101 San Diego, CA 92108 Tel: 619-233-7770 8 Fax: 619-297-1022 9 Email: yana@westcoastlitigation.com 10 Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 11 Kazerouni Law Group, APC 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit Dl 12 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 13 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 14 Email: ak@kazlg.com 15 Daniel G. Shay, Esq. 16 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff Law Office of Daniel G. Shay 17 409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101B San Diego, CA 92108 18 Tel.: (619) 222-7429 19 Fax: (866) 431-3292 20 Email:DanielShay@SanDiegoBankruptcy Now.com 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90025 310-909-8000

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Target Hit with Class Action Over Allegedly Inaccurate Reporting of Charged-Off Store Credit Card Debt</u>