

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

BLACK VOTERS MATTER FUND  
and MEGAN GORDON, on behalf of  
herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, in his  
official capacity as Secretary of State of  
Georgia; DEKALB COUNTY BOARD  
OF REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS  
and all others similarly situated,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Complaint-Class Action

**COMPLAINT**

**Nature of the Case**

1. This case is about protecting American democracy at a time when we are facing a worldwide pandemic. The Governor has declared a state of emergency and ordered everyone to stay at home unless absolutely necessary to go outside. The infection and death count is ticking upwards each day.

2. Under these circumstances, voting in-person is no longer a realistic option for most if not all voters. Voting in-person unnecessarily endangers the

health and safety of voters and anyone they come into contact with well after voting. If this weren't enough, voting in-person has become even harder as poll workers across Georgia (many who are elderly) are quitting in droves to limit the risk of potentially deadly exposure.

3. Under these circumstances, many voters have reasonably decided to vote from the safety of home by casting mail-in absentee ballots. With the ongoing pandemic, the number of mail-in votes is expected to skyrocket this year at record-breaking levels. Voting by mail may become the new normal as more and more people vote by mail for the first time. Given the surge of voters who will be casting mail-in absentee ballots this year, it is all the more critical that all unconstitutional barriers to mail-in voting be removed as soon as possible.

4. Though the stakes are high, the legal claim is simple. The United States Constitution (through the Twenty-Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments) bans poll taxes. Georgia election officials require voters to use their own postage when submitting mail-in absentee ballots and applications. Postage costs money. Thus, Defendants have imposed a poll tax in violation of the Constitution.

5. A poll tax remains unconstitutional even if there are free alternatives to voting, such as voting in-person. But the "option" of voting in-person does not

really exist because of the pandemic. And voting in-person is already nearly impossible for certain voters that are elderly, disabled, or out of town.

6. Voters are thus trapped into paying an unconstitutional poll tax just to cast a ballot by mail. To be sure, many wealthy and middle-class voters see little problem paying 55 cents to avoid voting in person. While unconstitutional, the postage requirement barely fazes them.

7. But this case is not really about middle-class or wealthy people. This case is about marginalized voters who come from communities who have historically faced over a century of racist voter suppression, including the use poll taxes to disenfranchise voters, and who rightfully refuse to pay another cent for the right to vote. This case is about voters who have always voted in-person to honor their Black ancestors who have fought and died for the right to vote, but now cannot. This case is about voters who live on shoestring budgets and lack access to all the resources such as time, money, and transportation that wealthier people take for granted. This case is about voters who don't have Internet access, smartphones, email addresses, printers, or scanners in a home office where they can download, print, scan, and e-mail absentee ballot applications to avoid paying postage. This case is about lower-income voters who have overlapping work and childcare

responsibilities and cannot just skip work or family obligations to buy stamps at a post office just to vote.

8. For these vulnerable voters, the postage stamp requirement imposes a serious burden that is unfathomable to wealthier people. Many lower-income voters do not have postage stamps. They no longer need to use them or have never needed to use them. They cannot be expected to needlessly expose themselves to the pandemic just to get stamps in order to vote. That assumes they can even get there, when many do not have cars, and ride-sharing and public transportation is non-existent in rural parts of the state. Voters without Internet access or a credit card cannot buy stamps online, and if they do, they must unnecessarily purchase an unaffordable book of stamps (about \$10) because they aren't allowed to buy just one. Making matters worse, voters are left guessing about how much postage to use because ballots vary in size and weight. So they must add potentially unnecessary extra postage just to be safe from the risk of being disenfranchised. It hardly bears mention that few people actually own stamp scales.

9. For these reasons, Plaintiffs seek declaratory relief and a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to provide postage prepaid returnable envelopes for absentee ballots, as well as absentee ballot applications. Defendants know how to do this, because the law already requires them to provide postage prepaid

returnable envelopes for other purposes. See O.C.G.A. § 21-2-233(b); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-234(c).

10. Plaintiffs also seek a preliminary injunction that would protect voters from paying poll taxes in this year's elections, particularly the November general election.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11. This is a civil and constitutional rights action arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Twenty-Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

12. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the events giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims arose in this district and division. The Defendant Secretary of State, and the proposed Defendant class representative DeKalb County Board of Voter Registration and Elections are both located within this district and division.

### **PARTIES**

13. Plaintiff Black Voters Matter Fund is a non-partisan civic organization whose goal is to increase power in communities of color. Effective voting allows a community to determine its own destiny. Communities of color often face barriers to voting that other communities do not, so Plaintiff focuses on

removing those barriers. Black Voters Matter works on increasing voter registration and turnout, advocating for policies to expand voting rights and access. Black Voters Matter is particularly active in the rural Black Belt of Georgia, which includes several counties in southwest Georgia. Plaintiff focuses on those communities because they tend to be the most neglected, and have higher rates of poverty than other places. Black Voters Matter must divert scarce resources away from voter education and away from other efforts to facilitate voting by mail, towards making sure that voters know about the postage requirement and how to obtain it especially for those with less resources.

14. Individual Plaintiff Megan Gordon is a registered voter of DeKalb County. She, like other voters who would be similarly situated, cannot vote in-person because of the COVID pandemic. Even absent the pandemic, she and other voters must still choose between paying a poll tax or voting in-person, which is nearly impossible for many who are elderly, disabled, or out of town, while being materially burdensome for others. She does not want to use her own postage stamps to mail in absentee ballots or applications because she believes that no one should have to pay money to exercise their right to vote.

15. Defendant Brad Raffensperger, who is the Secretary of State and the chief elections official of the State, is responsible for enacting elections statutes

and routinely issues guidance to the county election officials of all 159 counties on various elections procedures and requirements. County election officials follow the Secretary of State's guidance.

16. The Defendant DeKalb County Board of Voter Registration & Elections, and the similarly situated 159 county boards of registrars or absentee ballot clerks,<sup>1</sup> require voters to affix postage on absentee ballots and applications, consistent with the Secretary of State's guidance.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### **The COVID Pandemic**

17. At stake is the sacred, constitutional right to vote. "No right is more precious in a free country than that of having a voice in the election of those who make the laws under which, as good citizens, we must live." *Wesberry v. Sanders*, 376 U.S. 1, 17 (1964).

18. As discussed above, there is an ongoing pandemic that has made it unacceptable for most voters to cast a ballot in person this year. Voting in-person is

---

<sup>1</sup> Many counties combine their board of registrars and board of elections, which technically have separate responsibilities, into one entity called the "Board of Registration & Elections" (or some combination of the terms), responsible for both entities' duties. For the sake of simplicity, Plaintiffs use the statutory phrase "board of registrars" or "county registrars." This term will also be used to include "absentee ballot clerk." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-380.1.

simply not an option. Instead, people can only realistically vote if they do so by mail. But doing so requires the payment of an unconstitutional poll tax.

19. COVID-19 is a highly contagious virus that spreads mainly from person-to-person through close contact with one another and through respiratory droplets when an infected person coughs or sneezes. Medical experts are learning more every day about the ease with which the COVID-19 spreads, including even just through breathing or talking. Studies on the pattern of illness indicate that people infected with the virus may be contagious even if they do not have any symptoms. COVID-19 can result in severe disease, including hospitalization, admission to an intensive care unit, and death. Top scientists in the Trump Administration recently estimated that COVID-19 could kill between 100,000 and 240,000 Americans and that this number would be much higher if Americans do not follow the strict social distancing guidelines.

20. Although certain individuals may be more vulnerable to the effects of COVID-19, all evidence indicates COVID-19 is a highly virulent pathogen that threatens to infect any member of the public. Between February 1 and March 16, 2020, there were reported cases of COVID-19 in all age ranges in the United States. Georgia, too, has reported cases in all age ranges, with 60% under the age of 60. The World Health Organization has warned that they “are seeing more and



more younger individuals who are experiencing severe disease” due to COVID-19. Similarly, the CDC has noted that “clinicians who care for adults should be aware that COVID-19 can result in severe disease among persons of all ages.” As of March 16, 20 percent of those hospitalized due to COVID-19 in the United States were between the ages of 20 and 44.

21. The CDC has issued national guidance telling the public to avoid public gatherings until at least May 15, 2020. Guidance from the Trump Administration, which was recently extended until April 30, 2020, advises against unnecessary travel and gatherings of over 10 people.

22. In Georgia, Governor Kemp declared a public health State of emergency on March 16, 2020, and issued a statewide shelter in place order on April 2, 2020. This Court has recently extended its order that no jurors or grand jurors be summoned and all jury trials and grand jury proceedings be continued for an additional 30 days until May 15, 2020.

23. The number of confirmed COVID-19 cases in Georgia is growing exponentially. Over the last week, the number of reported COVID-19 cases has nearly doubled. As of the date of filing, there are at least 9,156 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in Georgia; just six days ago, on April 1, there were only 4,638 reported cases. This number is expected to continue to grow.

### **Postage Stamp Requirement**

24. Georgia allows a voter to cast an absentee ballot through the mail. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-385. Notwithstanding the “absentee” moniker, any registered voter may vote absentee regardless of whether they have an excuse for not being present on Election Day. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-380.

25. To vote by absentee ballot, a voter must first submit an absentee ballot application via mail, fax, e-mail, or in-person. See O.C.G.A. § 21-2-381.

26. If the application is sent by mail, the voter is responsible for affixing postage. There do not appear to be any statutes or regulations that require government officials to charge voters postage on absentee ballot applications.

27. After the absentee ballot application is received by election officials and approved, voters are mailed the absentee ballot itself. Absentee ballots are mailed on or after 49 days before Election Day. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-384(a). The absentee ballot also comes with two envelopes: one for the absentee ballot itself, and the larger one which requires voters to sign an oath on the outside of that envelope. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-384(b)-(c). The smaller envelope containing the absentee ballot goes into the larger one, and the larger one is what voters mail in to cast an absentee ballot by mail. *Id.*

28. Voters are required to affix their own postage when mailing in the absentee ballot, according to guidance issued by the Secretary of State.<sup>2</sup> There do not appear to be any statutes or regulations that require government officials to charge voters postage on absentee ballots.

29. There is nothing especially mysterious or difficult about providing a postage prepaid envelopes or mailings to voters. Defendants have already done it because they are already required to do so for list maintenance purposes. See, e.g., O.C.G.A. § 21-2-233(b) (requiring officials to send certain voters a “postage prepaid, preaddressed return form” allowing voters to update their address); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-234(c) (“The confirmation notice shall be a postage prepaid, preaddressed return card”). And other states including Kansas, Iowa, and West Virginia, have been able to provide postage prepaid envelopes for mail-in absentee voters. See K.S.A. § 25-433; I.C.A. § 53.8; W. Va. Code § 3-3-5.

### **Burdens on Marginalized Voters**

30. Requiring voters to pay money to vote is unconstitutional in and of itself regardless of whether or not a voter can afford it.

---

2

[https://sos.ga.gov/admin/files/Absentee\\_Voting\\_A\\_Guide\\_for\\_Registered\\_Voters\\_2020.pdf](https://sos.ga.gov/admin/files/Absentee_Voting_A_Guide_for_Registered_Voters_2020.pdf)

31. But the postage requirement is still a significant problem for voters living on the margins.

32. Many voters, especially lower-income voters, do not have postage stamps because they do not use them, or cannot afford to buy a book of stamps just for elections.

33. Many voters do not have Internet access or credit cards to purchase stamps online, and they do not want to needlessly expose themselves to the COVID-19 virus to buy stamps at a post office.

34. Many cannot even travel to a post office or other public place because they do not have cars, and there are no ride-sharing programs or public transportation in large parts of rural Georgia.

35. Making matters worse, voters do not even know how much postage is needed. 55 cents may not be enough because ballots can sometimes be long and therefore heavy, and hardly anyone has stamp scales. Voters must therefore use extra and potentially unnecessary postage just to make sure their vote is counted.

36. Furthermore, many voters like the elderly, those with physical disabilities, and out-of-town voters can only vote by mail, so it is extraordinarily difficult if not impossible for them to vote in person if they want to avoid paying

for a postage stamp. Even for those who are able to vote in-person, taking time off work or childcare to travel to a polling place can still be challenging.

**Plaintiff Class Action Allegations**

37. Certifying a plaintiff class action is unnecessary because Defendants' unconstitutional practices can be enjoined without it.

38. Nonetheless, to the extent the Court deems it necessary to join all voters as parties to this suit, Plaintiffs seek certification of a class of all registered voters, with Plaintiff Megan Gordon as the class representative, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(1) or (b)(2).

39. Joinder is impracticable because there are millions of registered voters. Gordon is an adequate and typical representative because she is a registered voter like everyone else in the class, and that is the only salient fact that is necessary to resolve Plaintiffs' claim. All registered voters are subject to the same poll tax requirement that they spend money on postage to vote absentee by mail and can only avoid if they vote in person, which is at least materially burdensome for all voters. The class encompasses all registered voters because poll taxes are unconstitutional regardless of their amount, whether or not a voter can afford it, or whether a voter ends up paying for it. The class includes all voters regardless of how easy or difficult it is for them to vote in-person, because voting in-person is a

material burden on any voter, and poll taxes remains an unconstitutional abridgment of the right to vote if alternative methods of voting are materially burdensome.

40. Thus, the common question of law is whether requiring registered voters to buy postage to vote by mail is unconstitutional. Because the putative plaintiff class shares the common fact that all are registered voters, answering that single legal question resolves this case in one stroke.

41. The plaintiff class should be certified (if necessary) because “prosecuting separate actions by or against individual class members would create a risk of . . . inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party opposing the class.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A). Filing millions of separate, identical lawsuits could result in incompatible standards of conduct throughout of Georgia, where a person’s voting rights differs depending on where they live and who they are. Such an outcome unacceptable in our democracy.

42. The plaintiff class may also be certified (if necessary) because Defendants have imposed the same postage requirement for mail-in voting on all registered voters, so “final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2). It would be

improper to exempt some voters but not others from paying the poll tax since poll taxes are unconstitutional in all circumstances. Plaintiffs have not found any case striking down a poll tax only with respect to certain voters.

**Defendant Class Action Allegations**

43. Certifying a defendant class action is unnecessary because an order enjoining the Secretary of State will have the effect of enjoining all 159 county boards. The Secretary of State's Office routinely issues guidance to county registrars statewide, who follow the Office's guidelines.

44. Nonetheless, to the extent the Court deems it necessary to join all the county boards of registrars as parties to this suit, Plaintiffs seek certification of a defendant class of all 159 Georgia county boards of registrars, with the DeKalb County Board of Voter Registration & Elections as the defendant class representative, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(1) or (b)(3).

45. Joinder would be impracticable because there are 159 county boards of registrars. All county boards of registrars will share a common set of defenses available in this challenge to the constitutionality of requiring voters to pay postage when voting by mail. Because the county boards of registrars are simply following the Secretary of State's guidance, and because poll taxes are never justified on the

basis of cost savings or administrative burdens, their defenses will not vary based on individual facts. For the same reasons, the claims of proposed class representative DeKalb County Board of Voter Registration & Elections will be typical of those of the other county boards of registrars. The DeKalb County Board of Voter Registration & Elections will also be an adequate representative of the class. There is no conflict between DeKalb County's board of registrars and other counties' boards, all of which operate independently. As the board of registrars in charge of one of the largest counties in Georgia, they will also have greater resources and experience necessary to litigate this matter.

46. The defendant class should be certified because “prosecuting separate actions by or against individual class members would create a risk of . . . inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party opposing the class.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A). Filing 159 separate, identical lawsuits for each county could result in incompatible standards of conduct throughout of Georgia, where a person's voting rights differs depending on where they live. Such an outcome is unacceptable in our democracy.



## CAUSES OF ACTION

### COUNT ONE

*Requiring voters to pay for their own postage is an unconstitutional poll tax in violation of the Twenty-Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments*

47. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs.

48. The Twenty-Fourth Amendment provides: “The right of citizens of the United States to vote in any primary or other election for President or Vice President, for electors for President or Vice President, or for Senator or Representative in Congress, shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any State by reason of failure to pay any poll tax or other tax.”

49. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment provides: “No state shall make or enforce any law which shall . . . deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

50. Both amendments prohibit the government from imposing a poll tax. *See Harman v. Forssenius*, 380 U.S. 528 (1965); *Harper v. Va. State Bd. of Elect.*, 383 U.S. 663 (1966).

51. Requiring voters to spend money on their own postage to submit an absentee ballot by mail imposes an unconstitutional poll tax in violation of these Amendments. This poll tax constitutes an “abridgment” of the right to vote because

while voters can avoid the poll tax by voting in-person, voting in-person is still at least “material requirement” for all voters. *Harman*, 380 U.S. at 541.

52. For the same reasons, requiring voters to spend money on postage to mail in absentee ballot applications is also an unconstitutional poll tax.

### **COUNT TWO**

*Requiring voters to pay for their own postage imposes an unjustifiable burden on the right to vote in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments*

53. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs.

54. The First and Fourteenth Amendments protect the fundamental right to vote. *See Anderson v. Celebrezze*, 460 U.S. 780, 789 (1983); *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U.S. 428, 434 (1992). Pursuant to the *Anderson-Burdick* line of cases, the government cannot infringe on the right to vote without adequate justification, and the greater the character and magnitude of the infringement, the stronger the justification must be. Even a slight burden must be adequately justified.

55. Here, requiring voters to spend money in order to vote by mail is at least a “slight” burden on all voters (and a severe one for some voters). Even if the burden is “slight,” the government has no legitimate interest in forcing voters to pay for postage because wealth has nothing to do with a voter’s qualifications.

56. To the extent that any administrative burden on the State ever justifies making voters to pay to vote, the burden is minimal because the State already knows how to provide prepaid postage returnable envelopes as it is required in other contexts. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-233(b); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-234(c).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand the following:

- a) That this Court issue a judgment declaring that the requirement that voters affix their own postage to mail-in absentee ballots and mail-in absentee ballot applications is unconstitutional;
- b) That this Court issue a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from telling voters that they must affix their own postage for absentee ballots and absentee ballot applications and requiring Defendants to provide prepaid postage returnable envelopes for absentee ballots;
- c) That Plaintiffs be awarded attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988;
- d) That all costs of this action be taxed against Defendants; and
- e) That the Court award any additional or alternative relief as may be deemed appropriate under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

this April 8, 2020

**Sean Young**

Attorney Bar Number: 790399  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION OF  
GEORGIA, INC.  
P.O. Box 77208  
Atlanta, GA 30357  
Telephone: (678) 981-5295  
Email: syoung@acluga.org

Sophia Lin Lakin\*

Dale E. Ho\*

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
Telephone: 212-519-7836  
Email: slakin@aclu.org  
dho@aclu.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

\*Pro hac vice application forthcoming

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 8, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system. The Complaint will be served on the above-named Defendants.

Date: April 8, 2020

**Sean Young**

Attorney Bar Number: 790399

Attorney for Plaintiffs

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF GEORGIA, INC.

P.O. Box 77208

Atlanta, GA 30357

Telephone: (678) 981-5295

Email: [syoung@acluga.org](mailto:syoung@acluga.org)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)

Black Voters Matter Fund and Megan Gordon, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

DEFENDANT(S)

Brad Raffensperger, in his official capacity as the Secretary of State of Georgia, and DeKalb County Board of Registration & Elections and all others similarly situated

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Fulton (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT Fulton (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS)

Sean J. Young
ACLU of Georgia Foundation, Inc.
1100 Spring St. NW Suite 600
Atlanta, GA 30309
syoung@acluga.org

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAINTIFF
2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT
3 FEDERAL QUESTION (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY)
4 DIVERSITY (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)

- PLF DEF PLF DEF
1 1 CITIZEN OF THIS STATE 4 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE
2 2 CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE
3 3 CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY 6 6 FOREIGN NATION

IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
2 REMOVED FROM STATE COURT
3 REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT
4 REINSTATED OR REOPENED
5 TRANSFERRED FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT (Specify District)
6 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION - TRANSFER
7 APPEAL TO DISTRICT JUDGE FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGE JUDGMENT
8 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE

V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY)

42 U.S.C. 1983, Twenty-Fourth, Fourteenth, and First Amendments
Claim One: Requiring voters to pay postage to vote is a poll tax in violation of Twenty-Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments
Claim Two: Requiring voters to pay postage to vote is an unjustified burden in violation of First and Fourteenth Amends.

(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)

- 1. Unusually large number of parties.
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.
3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex.
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.
5. Extended discovery period is needed.
6. Problems locating or preserving evidence.
7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government.
8. Multiple use of experts.
9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries.
10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.

CONTINUED ON REVERSE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ APPLYING IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP)
JUDGE MAG. JUDGE (Referral) NATURE OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT
152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (Excl. Veterans)
153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS

CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 110 INSURANCE
120 MARINE
130 MILLER ACT
140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT
151 MEDICARE ACT
160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS
190 OTHER CONTRACT
195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY
196 FRANCHISE

REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 210 LAND CONDEMNATION
220 FORECLOSURE
230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT
240 TORTS TO LAND
245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY
290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY

TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 310 AIRPLANE
315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY
320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER
330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY
340 MARINE
345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY
350 MOTOR VEHICLE
355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY
360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY
362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE
365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY
367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY
368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY

TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 370 OTHER FRAUD
371 TRUTH IN LENDING
380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE
385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY

BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 422 APPEAL 28 USC 158
423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157

CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS
441 VOTING
442 EMPLOYMENT
443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS
445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment
446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other
448 EDUCATION

IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION
465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS

PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 463 HABEAS CORPUS- Alien Detainee
510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE
530 HABEAS CORPUS
535 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY
540 MANDAMUS & OTHER
550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se
555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se
560 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT

PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel
555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel

FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 21 USC 881
690 OTHER

LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS
740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT
751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT
790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION
791 EMPL. RET. INC. SECURITY ACT

PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 820 COPYRIGHTS
840 TRADEMARK

PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 830 PATENT
835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS (ANDA) - a/k/a Hatch-Waxman cases

SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 861 HIA (1395f)
862 BLACK LUNG (923)
863 DIWC (405(g))
863 DIWW (405(g))
864 SSID TITLE XVI
865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609

OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT
376 Qui Tam 31 USC 3729(a)
400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT
430 BANKS AND BANKING
450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC.
460 DEPORTATION
470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS
480 CONSUMER CREDIT
490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV
890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS
893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS
895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT / REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION
950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES

OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 410 ANTITRUST
850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE

OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

- 896 ARBITRATION (Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify)

\* PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

[X] CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$

JURY DEMAND [ ] YES [X] NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)

VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY

JUDGE DOCKET NO.

CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX)

- 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.
5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS.
6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):

[ ] 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. This case [ ] IS [ ] IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.

[Handwritten Signature]

4/8/20

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Georgia Class Action Claims Postage for Mail-In Ballots During COVID-19 Pandemic Amounts to Unconstitutional Poll Tax](#)

---