### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

L. Timothy Fisher\* Brittany S. Scott\* 1990 N. California Blvd., Suite 940 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Tel: (925) 300-4455 Fax: (925) 407-2700

E-Mail: ltfisher@bursor.com bscott@bursor.com

### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Sarah N. Westcot\* 2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220 Miami, FL 33133

Telephone: (305) 330-5512 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006 Email: swestcot@bursor.com

\*Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

### THOMPSON LAW GROUP, PLLC

Jason S. Thompson, ISB # 8985 350 N. Ninth Street, Suite 500 Boise, ID 83702

Telephone: (208) 342-7880

Facsimile: (208) 947-2424 jason@gtidaholaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

v.

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

BENJAMIN BIRDSALL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

**BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY-**IDAHO, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

Plaintiff Benjamin Birdsall ("Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated against Defendant Brigham Young University-Idaho, Inc. ("BYU" or "Defendant"). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to himself, which are based on personal knowledge.

### NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for the 2020 Winter and Spring Terms at BYU, and who, because of Defendant's response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19") pandemic, lost the benefit of the education for which they paid, and/or the services or which their fees were paid, without having their tuition and fees refunded to them.
- 2. BYU is part of a private research university with a total enrollment of approximately 19,211 students. The university offers 96 undergraduate degrees. BYU also operates an online program at a substantially discounted price, which offers various undergraduate courses.
- 3. BYU operates on academic trimesters. The Winter 2020 Term began on January 8, 2020 and ran through April 9, 2020. The Spring 2020 Term began April 20 and runs through July 22, 2020.
- 4. On March 13, 2020, BYU, through a campus announcement, announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, its campus would be closed and courses would transition to online and remote learning. On March 18, 2020, BYU announced that Spring Term courses would also only be available online or remotely
- 5. BYU has not held any in-person classes since March 24, 2020, at the latest. Classes that have continued have been in an online format, with no in-person instruction.

- 6. As a result of the closure of BYU's facilities, BYU has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to BYU students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.
- 7. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that BYU has not provided. Even if BYU claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 8. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members, BYU's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the 2020 Winter and the Spring 2020 Terms, when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks a return of these amounts on behalf of himself and the Class as defined below.

#### **PARTIES**

9. Plaintiff Benjamin Birdsall is a citizen of Idaho who resides in Rexburg, Idaho. Mr. Birdsall is an undergraduate student at BYU – Idaho pursuing a degree in Software Engineering. The Software Engineering program at BYU relies extensively on in-person instruction, meaningful student presentations, peer collaboration, and access to other university facilities. None of these resources are available to Mr. Birdsall while in-person classes are suspended. Mr. Birdsall paid Defendant approximately \$2,150 in tuition and fees per term for the Winter 2020 and Spring 2020 Terms. BYU has not provided Mr. Birdsall any refund of

tuition or other mandatory fees, despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March 12, 2020.

10. Defendant Brigham Young University – Idaho, Inc. is a private university located in Rexburg, Idaho.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendants, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant maintains its principal place of business in this District.
- 13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is a resident of this District.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

# <u>Plaintiff And Class Members Paid Tuition And Fees For The Winter 2020 And Spring 2020</u> <u>Terms</u>

- 14. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other mandatory fees for the Winter 2020 and Spring 2020 Terms.
- 15. Winter 2020 classes at BYU began on or about January 8, 2020 and ended April 9, 2020. Spring 2020 classes began on or about April 20, 2020 and run through July 22, 2020.
- 16. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Winter 2020 and Spring 2020 Terms, as well as associated fees and costs.
  - 17. Examples of approximate tuition costs at BYU for the Winter 2020 and Spring

2020 Terms are as follows:

• LDS Tuition: \$2,104 per term

• Non-LDS Tuition: \$4,208 per term

18. The tuition and fees described in the paragraphs above are provided by way of example; total damage amounts – which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that were not refunded – will be proven at trial.

### In Response To COVID-19, BYU Closed Its Campus And Cancelled All In-Person Classes

- 19. On March 13, 2020, BYU, through a campus announcement, announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, its campus would be closed and courses would transition to online and remote learning. On March 18, 2020, BYU announced that Spring Term courses would also only be available online or remotely
- 20. BYU has not held any in-person classes since March 12, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.
- 21. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 22. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not choose to attend an online institution of higher learning, but instead chose to attend Defendant's institution and enroll on an in-person basis.
- 23. Defendant markets BYU's on-campus experience as a benefit of enrollment on its website:



### Students take charge of their education by applying the Learning Model.

It's one of the classic images of higher education: a lecture hall full of students listening passively while a professor discourses on the subject at hand. This kind of disconnected, one-way interaction is not what you'll find in a BYU-Idaho classroom. At

this university, learning is defined by active engagement. Students are urged to take charge of their education and be fully involved in their own learning.

This approach, called the Learning Model, is based on three key steps: Prepare, Teach One Another, and Ponder and Prove. Students come to each class prepared to learn by studying assigned readings, completing required homework, and participating in online discussions and pre-class study groups. Through instructor-led discussions in class, students teach each other what they've learned-honing and refining their own understanding in the process. Later, students internalize their learning through review, reflection, and application.

The Learning Model's proactive, engaged approach to education is a defining aspect of the BYU-Idaho experience that provides a pattern for continual learning and personal development long after graduation.

- 24. The online learning options being offered to BYU students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.
- 25. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged to class members for the Winter 2020 and Spring 2020 Terms. The tuition and fees for in-person instruction at BYU are higher than tuition and fees for other online institutions because such costs cover not just the academic instruction, but encompass an entirely different experience which includes but is not limited to:
  - Face to face interaction with professors, mentors, and peers;

- Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study room;
- Student governance and student unions;
- Extra-curricular activities, groups, intramural sports, etc.;
- Student art, cultures, and other activities;
- Social development and independence;
- Hands on learning and experimentation;
- Networking and mentorship opportunities.
- 26. The fact that BYU students paid a higher price for an in-person education than they would have paid for an online education is illustrated clearly by the vast price difference in BYU's in-person, on-campus programs versus BYU's own online program. BYU's in-person tuition per term is approximately \$2,104 or \$4,208, or \$175 to \$350 per credit. However, tuition for BYU's online courses is offered at a discounted rate of \$75 to 128.00 per credit. Thus, the cost of one term of an in-person education at BYU is **more than twice** the cost of a semester of online education at the same university.
- 27. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for himself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Winter 2020 and Spring 2020 Terms when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of himself and the Class, as defined below.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

28. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid BYU Winter and Spring 2020 tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services that BYU failed to provide, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded

from the Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.

- 29. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who reside in Idaho (the "Subclass").
- 30. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.
- 31. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are tens of thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through records in Defendant's possession.
- 32. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
  - (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted;

- (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide;
- (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
- (d) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.
- 33. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class and Subclass in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendant that are unique to Plaintiff.
- 34. **Adequacy of Representation.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.
- 35. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the

benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

- 36. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:
- (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class and Subclass members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
- (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class and Subclass members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
- (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class and Subclass as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class and Subclass as a whole.

# COUNT I Breach Of Contract (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 37. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-36 of this complaint.
- 38. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 39. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.
- 40. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration,
  Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and
  Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid monies due for Winter and
  Spring 2020 tuition. Tuition for Winter and Spring 2020 was intended to cover in-person

educational services from January through July 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class and Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Term.

- 41. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass for their Winter and Spring 2020 tuition and fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.
- 42. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have already paid.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but no be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the prorated portion of any Winter or Spring 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since BYU shut down on March 12, 2020.
- 44. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

## Unjust Enrichment (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs

1-44 of this complaint.

- 46. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 47. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of monies paid for Winter and Spring 2020 tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and promises. Tuition for Winter and Spring 2020 was intended to cover inperson educational services from January through July 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring Term.
  - 48. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.
- 49. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Winter and Spring 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since BYU shut down on March 13, 2020.
- 50. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

# COUNT III Conversion (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 51. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs1-50 of this complaint.
- 52. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
  - 53. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the

in-person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Winter and Spring 2020 tuition and fee payments to Defendant.

- 54. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.
- 55. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the prorated portion of any Winter and Spring 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since BYU shut down on March 13, 2020.
- 56. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.
- 57. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class and Subclass members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that will not be provided.
- 58. Plaintiff, Class, and Subclass members are entitled to the return of pro-rated portion of any Winter and Spring 2020 tuition and fees for education services not provided since BYU shut down on March 13, 2020.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- A. For an order certifying the nationwide Class and the Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as the representative for the Class and Subclass and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel;
- B. For an order declaring the Defendants' conduct violates the statutes referenced herein;

- C. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff, the nationwide Class, and the Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- D. For compensatory, statutory, and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- E. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- F. For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- G. For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- H. For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: June 8, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jason S. Thompson

### THOMPSON LAW GROUP, PLLC

Jason S. Thompson, ISB #8985 350 N. Ninth Street, Suite 500 Boise, ID 83702

Tel: (208) 342-7880 Fax: (208) 947-2424 jason@gtidaholaw.com

### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

L. Timothy Fisher\*
Brittany S. Scott\*
1990 N. California Blvd., Suite 940
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Tel. (925) 300 4455

Tel: (925) 300-4455 Fax: (925) 407-2700

E-Mail: ltfisher@bursor.com bscott@bursor.com

### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Sarah N. Westcot\* 2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220 Miami, FL 33133 Telephone: (305) 330-5512 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006

Email: swestcot@bursor.com

\*Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Idah

	District of	Idaho	
Benjamin Birdsall, individually others similarly sit			
Plaintiff(s)	)		
v.	)	Civil Action No.	
	) 9 - 1 lata - 1 a a		
Bringham Young Universi	ity- Idano, Inc. ) )		
Defendant(s)	)		
	SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION	
	Brigham Young University- Id 200 Kim Rexburg, Idaho 83460-1650	aho, Inc.	
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must set the Federal Rules of Civil Proced whose name and address are:  J 3 6 6 7	vice of this summons on you States agency, or an officer of erve on the plaintiff an answer dure. The answer or motion of asson Thompson Thompson Law Group, PLLC 350 N. Ninth Street, Ste. 500 Boise, ID 83702 208) 342-7880 Fax: (208) 947-2424	r employee of the Unit to the attached complants be served on the pL. Timothy Brittany S. Bursor & F 1990 N. Ca Walnut Cre (925) 300-4	r Fisher Scott isher, P.A. alifornia Blvd, Ste. 940 sek, CA 94596
You also must file your answer o	•	ered against you for th	e rener demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF CO	OURT
Date:	<u> </u>		atums of Cloub on Daniels Cloub
		Sign	ature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Civil Action No.

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (name ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if an	ny)					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	<u> </u>					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)				, who is			
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e		; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.0	<u>0</u> .			
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this info	ormation is true.					
Date:		_						
			Server's signature					
		·-	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Print Save As... Reset

### Case 1:20-cv-00270-CV VD Document 1-2 Filed 06/08/20 Page 1 of 2 VIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	. This form, approved by tocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	he Judicial Conference of TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	the United Sta THIS FORM.)	ates in September 1	974, is requi	ired for the use of	the Clerk of Co	ourt for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Benjamin Birdsall, individ	ually and on behalf of	all other similarly situ	uated Brig	gham Young Ur	niversity- I	daho, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Madison County, Ida  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	A	ttorneys (If Known)					
Jason S. Thompson, Tho 350 N. Ninth Street, Suite									
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	II. CITIZI	ENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box for Pla	intij
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State  **1						
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 6 Susiness In Another State					;	
			Citizen or Subject of a					□6 □6	5
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly)  DRTS	FORFEI	TURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature		escriptions. STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ≱ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	625 Drug of Property   690 Other     710 Fair   Act   720 Labor Rela   740 Rail   751 Fami   Leav   790 Other   791 Emp   Incor	LABOR Labor Standards  r/Management tions way Labor Act ly and Medical re Act r Labor Litigation loyee Retirement me Security Act  MIGRATION ralization Application r Immigration	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U  PROPEI □ 820 Copy □ 830 Pater □ 840 Trade SOCIAL □ 861 HIA □ 862 Blace □ 863 DIW □ 865 RSI (□  FEDER/ □ 870 Taxe or D □ 871 IRS— 26 U	cal 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157  RTY RIGHTS rrights at t - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) (2 Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI 405(g))  AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False CI □ 376 Qui Tan 3729(a) □ 400 State Re □ 410 Antitrat □ 450 Commei □ 460 Deporta □ 470 Rackete Corrupt □ 480 Consum □ 485 Telepho Protecti □ 490 Cable/S: □ 850 Securitic Exchan, □ 890 Other St. □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedon Act □ 896 Arbitrati □ 896 Arbitrati □ 899 Adminis Act/Rev	laims Act in (31 USC in (31 USC in) capportionment int int and Banking ree tion er Influenced and Organizations are Credit one Consumer ion Act at TV es/Commodities/ ge attutory Actions tural Acts mental Matters in of Information ion strative Procedur iew or Appeal of Decision utionality of	re
	moved from 3 te Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court	4 Reinstated Reopened	Anothe (specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	1 -	Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. 1332(c Brief description of ca Breach of contract	ause:							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAI 5,	ND \$ 000,001+		CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:   ✓ Yes □ No			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 06/09/2020		signature of atto /s/ Jason S. Tho		CORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	4OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

**Print** Save As... Reset

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. **Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VJ. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action: Brigham Young University-Idaho Owes Refunds for Terms Disrupted by COVID-19 Pandemic</u>