UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

ROBIN BETZ, Individually and on Behalf of All) Case No.: 17-cv-1672
Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,)
v.	,)
	Jury Trial Demanded
RECEIVABLES PERFORMANCE	
MANAGEMENT, LLC,)
))
Defendant.	

INTRODUCTION

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. (the "FDCPA") and the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Ch. 421-427, Wis. Stats.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and 1367. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendant directed its collection efforts into the District.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Robin Betz is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).
- 4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), in that Defendant sought to collect from him a debt allegedly incurred for personal, family or household purposes.
- 5. Plaintiff is also a "customer" as defined in the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Wis. Stat. § 421.301(17), in that he engaged in a consumer transaction.

- 6. Defendant Receivables Performance Management, LLC ("RPM") is a debt collection agency with its principal place of business located at 20816 44th Ave West Lynnwood, WA 98036.
- 7. RPM is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.
- 8. RPM is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a and Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3).

FACTS

March 25, 2017 Letter

- 9. On or about March 25, 2017, RPM mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff regarding an alleged debt, allegedly owed to "Verizon Wireless" ("Verizon"). A copy of this letter is attached to this complaint as <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 10. The alleged debt identified in Exhibit A is an alleged personal cellular phone service account.
- 11. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by computer.
- 12. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form debt collection letter used by RPM to attempt to collect alleged debts.
- 13. Plaintiff used his cell phone for personal, family or household purposes. Plaintiff did not open or use the cell phone for business purposes.
 - 14. <u>Exhibit A</u> states the following:

Original Creditor:	Verizon Wireless
Amount:	\$1144.06
Fees Due:	0.00
Total Due:	\$1144.06

Exhibit A.

July 15, 2017 Letter

- 15. On or about July 15, 2017, RPM mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff regarding the same alleged debt, allegedly owed to Verizon. A copy of this letter is attached to this complaint as Exhibit B.
- 16. The alleged debt identified in Exhibit B is the same alleged personal cellular phone service account as the alleged debt identified in Exhibit A.
- 17. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit B</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by computer.
- 18. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit B</u> is a form debt collection letter used by RPM to attempt to collect alleged debts.
 - 19. Exhibit B contains the following:

Notice Date:	07-15-17
Creditor Account#:	0001
Creditor:	Verizon Wireless
Original Creditor:	Verizon Wireless
Due Date:	07-28-17
Total Due:	\$1144.06

Exhibit B.

- 20. <u>Exhibit B</u> is dated July 15, 2017.
- 21. Exhibit B also contains the following:

Due to our client's desire to resolve this matter, we are willing to accept 60% of the "total due" shown above as settlement in full. The remaining 40% of your outstanding balance will be forgiven if your payment of \$686.44 is received on or before 07-28-17. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Exhibit B.

22. <u>Exhibit B</u> offers to settle the account for 40% of the "total due" balance of the account "if your payment of \$686.44 is received on or before 07-28-17." Exhibit B.

23. <u>Exhibit B</u> further informs the consumer that RPM is "not obligated to renew this offer." Exhibit B.

FDCPA and WCA Violations

- 24. Exhibit A is confusing and misleading to the unsophisticated consumer.
- 25. Exhibit A threatens to collect "Fees." Although the amount of fees in Exhibit A is \$0.00, the letter implies that there could be fees added to the debt in a future letter. *Tylke v. Diversified Adjustment Serv.*, No. 14-cv-748; 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 153281, *7 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 28, 2014) ("the inclusion of a collection fee, even one showing a balance of zero, could imply the future possibility of one.").
- 26. Upon information and belief, RPM does not actually add fees to consumer collection accounts.
 - 27. Further, RPM could not add any "fees" to Plaintiff's account.
- 28. Plaintiff's phone service contract with Verizon was for services, specifically Verizon phone services, and involved agreements to render services and defer payment, under which finance charges, including but not limited to early termination fees, were or could be imposed, and which obligation was payable in installments. Such agreements are "consumer credit transactions" under the WCA, Wis. Stat. §§ 421-427.
 - 29. Wis. Stat. § 421.301(10) defines a "consumer credit transaction":
 - a consumer transaction between a merchant and a customer in which real or personal property, services or money is acquired on credit and the customer's obligation is payable in installments or for which credit a finance charge is or may be imposed, whether such transaction is pursuant to an open-end credit plan or is a transaction involving other than open-end credit. The term includes consumer credit sales, consumer loans, consumer leases and transactions pursuant to open-end credit plans.
 - 30. Plaintiff's phone contract was for "services," namely cell phone service.
 - 31. Plaintiff's cell phone service contract was payable in installments.

- 32. Under a cell phone service contract, the consumer incurs an obligation to pay the entire amount of the contract monthly, over the contract's term.
- 33. For example, under a cell phone service plan labeled "\$50 per month" with a 2-year term, the consumer is obligated to pay, at a minimum, \$1,200.00. The payments are made in 24 monthly installments of \$50 each.
- 34. Additionally, most cell phone service plans in which the consumer receives a "free" or "reduced price" phone spread the cost of the phone over the length of the contract term.
- 35. Moreover, upon information and belief, Verizon contracts include fees, including but not limited to early termination fees, that are considered finance charges under Wisconsin law.
- 36. The WCA specifically prohibits the attachment of collection fees and other "default charges" on consumer credit transactions, even if the fee is separately negotiated. Wis. Stat. § 422.413(1) provides:

no term of a writing evidencing a consumer credit transaction may provide for any charges as a result of default by the customer other than reasonable expenses incurred in the disposition of collateral and such other charges as are specifically authorized by chs. 421 to 427.

- 37. Neither Wis. Stat. § 422.202, entitled "Additional charges," nor any other section of the WCA, lists collection fees as a permissible fee a creditor may charge in connection with a consumer credit transaction.
- 38. Because cell phone service contracts are consumer credit transactions, <u>Exhibit A</u> falsely states or implies that RPM has a right to add collection fees to consumers' alleged cell phone service debts.
- 39. Even if a provision of any agreement between Plaintiff and Verizon for the provision of cell services would purport to permit Verizon to impose a collection fee, the WCA

prohibits such fees. Wis. Stat. § 421.106(1) ("Except as otherwise provided in chs. 421 to 427, a customer may not waive or agree to forego rights or benefits under chs. 421 to 427."); *See also Lox v. CDA*, *Ltd.*, 689 F.3d. 818 (7th Cir. 2012) (false representation that attorney fees would be added when they could not be, violated 1692e).

- 40. Exhibit B is a misleading and unconscionable means of collecting, or attempting to collect, a debt.
- 41. <u>Exhibit B</u> is dated July 15, 2017, which was a Saturday. Allowing a few days for mailing and processing, a consumer probably would not receive <u>Exhibit B</u> until July 19, 2017, or later.
- 42. Exhibit B requires that the settlement payment be received by July 28, 2017. Again, allowing a few days for mailing and processing, a consumer would need to attempt to send the payment by July 24, 2017, or sooner.
- 43. Because Exhibit B would not be received until July 19, 2017 and the settlement payment would need to be sent by July 24, 2017, Exhibit B gives the consumer less than a week to consider, accept, and mail the settlement offer.
- 44. Upon information and belief, the settlement offer is available at any time. The purpose of imposing this short deadline is to unfairly pressure the consumer into settling an unsecured debt.
- 45. Moreover, Exhibit B is confusing on its face because it is unclear how RPM would process the payment where the consumer attempts to settle the debt by mailing a \$686.44 payment but the payment is not received until July 29, 2017, or some other date.
- 46. On the face of Exhibit B, RPM could process the payment and deduct \$686.44 from the "total due" balance of the account without contacting the consumer to inform him that

the payment is being processed as a partial payment rather than a settlement in full because the settlement offer had expired.

- 47. Moreover, Exhibit B notes that RPM is "not obligated to renew" the settlement offer, which also suggests that RPM may settle the account in full even if the \$686.44 payment is received on or after July 29, 2017.
 - 48. Plaintiff was confused by Exhibits A and B.
 - 49. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused by Exhibits A and B.
 - 50. Plaintiff had to spend time and money investigating Exhibits A and B.
- 51. Plaintiff had to take time to obtain and meet with counsel, including traveling to counsel's office by car and its related expenses, including but not limited to the cost of gasoline and mileage, to advise Plaintiff on the consequences of Exhibits A and B.
- 52. The FDCPA creates substantive rights for consumers; violations cause injury to consumers, and such injuries are concrete and particularized. *Bock v. Pressler & Pressler, LLP*, No. 11-7593, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81058 *21 (D.N.J. May 25, 2017) ("through [s]ection 1692e of the FDCPA, Congress established 'an enforceable right to truthful information concerning' debt collection practices, a decision that 'was undoubtedly influenced by congressional awareness that the intentional provision of misinformation' related to such practices, 'contribute[s] to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy,"); *Quinn v. Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC*, No. 16 C 2021, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107299 *8-13 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 11, 2016) (rejecting challenge to Plaintiff's standing based upon alleged FDCPA statutory violation); *Lane v. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC*, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89258 *9-10 (N.D. Ill. July 11, 2016) ("When a federal statute is violated, and especially when Congress has created a cause of action

for its violation, by definition Congress has created a legally protected interest that it deems important enough for a lawsuit."); *Church v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, No. 15-15708, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414 *7-11 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (same); *see also Mogg v. Jacobs*, No. 15-CV-1142-JPG-DGW, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33229, 2016 WL 1029396, at *5 (S.D. Ill. Mar. 15, 2016) ("Congress does have the power to enact statutes creating legal rights, the invasion of which creates standing, even though no injury would exist without the statute," (quoting *Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC*, 770 F.3d 618, 623 (7th Cir. 2014)). For this reason, and to encourage consumers to bring FDCPA actions, Congress authorized an award of statutory damages for violations. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

- 53. Moreover, Congress has explicitly described the FDCPA as regulating "abusive practices" in debt collection. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(a) 1692(e). Any person who receives a debt collection letter containing a violation of the FDCPA is a victim of abusive practices. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e) ("It is the purpose of this subchapter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses").
- 54. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e generally prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt."
- 55. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) specifically prohibits "the threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken."
- 56. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.

- 57. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f generally prohibits a debt collector from using "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."
- 58. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) specifically prohibits the "collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law."
- 59. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(j) states that a debt collector may not: "Claim, or attempt or threaten to enforce a right with knowledge or reason to know that the right does not exist."
- 60. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(L) also specifically prohibits a debt collector from "[t]hreaten[ing] action against the customer unless like action is taken in regular course or is intended with respect to the particular debt."

COUNT I -- FDCPA

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 62. RPM's threat to collect fees in <u>Exhibit A</u> is a false, deceptive, and/or misleading representation to the unsophisticated consumer recipient.
- 63. RPM has no legal basis for collecting a collection fee on Plaintiff's alleged cell phone service debt.
 - 64. Exhibit A falsely represents that Defendant is lawfully entitled to collect a fee.
- 65. <u>Exhibit A</u> creates a false impression as to its authorization or approval for collecting a fee.
 - 66. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5), and 1692e(10).

COUNT II -- FDCPA

- 67. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 68. RPM's threat to add a collection fee when neither RPM nor Verizon are entitled to such a fee, is an unfair and/or unconscionable method by which to try and collect an alleged debt.
- 69. As these statements are threatening and/or confusing to the unsophisticated consumer recipient so as to falsely imply that the creditor is entitled to receive a collection fee, they are an unfair and/or unconscionable method for attempting to collect a debt.
- 70. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused by the nebulous references in RPM's letter to "Fees" and would have no idea what those charges are, potentially could be, or whether they would be legitimate.
 - 71. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692f, and 1692f(1).

COUNT III – FDCPA

- 72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 73. Exhibit B misleads the unsophisticated consumer by falsely stating that the offer expires, and places undue pressure on the unsophisticated consumer to settle the debt.
 - 74. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(5), and 1692f.

COUNT IV – WCA

75. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

- 76. Exhibit A claims, attempts, or threatens to enforce a right to a collection fee, even though Defendant knew or should have had reason to know that no such right existed at the time the letters were sent.
 - 77. Defendant violated Wis. Stat. §§ 427.104(1)(j) and 427.104(1)(L).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 78. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of two classes.
- 79. Class I ("Collection Fee Class") is defined as (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form of Exhibit A by Defendant that sought to collect alleged debts owed to Verizon, (c) seeking to collect a debt for personal, family or household purposes, (d) which threatens to include collection fees and/or interest on the original debt, (e) and when the original debt had not been reduced to judgment, (f) between November 28, 2016 and November 28, 2017, inclusive, (g) that was not returned by the postal service.
- 80. Class II ("Unconscionable Settlement Class") is defined as (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form of Exhibit B by Defendant that sought to collect alleged debts owed to Verizon, (c) seeking to collect a debt for personal, family or household purposes, (d) which threatens to include collection fees and/or interest on the original debt, (e) and when the original debt had not been reduced to judgment, (f) between November 28, 2016 and November 28, 2017, inclusive, (g) that was not returned by the postal service.
- 81. Each Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. On information and belief, there are more than 50 members of each Class. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of each class, which common questions predominate over any questions

that affect only individual class members. The predominant common question is whether the

Defendant complied with the FDCPA and the WCA.

82. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of each Class. All are

based on the same factual and legal theories.

83. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the members of each

Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse

cases.

84. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute.

Individual cases are not economically feasible.

JURY DEMAND

85. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

RPMYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and

the Class and against Defendant for:

actual damages; (a)

statutory damages; (b)

(c) attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and

such other or further relief as the Court deems proper. (d)

Dated: November 28, 2017

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP

By: /s/ Mark A. Eldridge

John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105)

Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944) Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673)

Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892)

12

3620 East Layton Avenue Cudahy, WI 53110 (414) 482-8000 (414) 482-8001 (fax) jblythin@ademilaw.com meldridge@ademilaw.com jfruchter@ademilaw.com bslatky@ademilaw.com

EXHIBIT A



20816 44th Ave W Lynnwood, WA 98036

You can now pay online at: www.rpmpayments.com

Dear Customer:

Your account, listed above, has been assigned to Receivables Performance Management for payment processing and collection. If you have any questions or need assistance, please call TOLL FREE 844.761.4042.

In the event that your payment is by check, we may present your check electronically. In the ordinary course of business, your check will not be provided to you with your bank statement, but a copy can be retrieved by other means.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such verification or judgment. If you so request in writing within 30 days of receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.

This communication is from a debt collector. The purpose of this notice is to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Account Information				
Date:	03-25-17			
Reference Number:	9786			
Creditor:	Verizon Wireless			
Creditor Account:	0001			
Original Creditor:	Verizon Wireless			
Amount:	\$1144.06			
Fees Due:	0.00			
Total Due:	\$1144.06			



	Secure, Convenient and Easy to Use. You can now pay online at: www.rpmpayments.com
9	Telephone Hours:

Mon - Fri 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM Pacific time, Sat - Sun 7:00 AM to 12:00 PM Pacific time. Tel: 844.761.4042

Send Mail To:
Verizon
PO Box 1548
Lynnwood, WA 98046-1548

\$1144.06

VZW/355374151312	Please tear off and retur	n lower portion with payment in envelope provided	d. 3137/002699/0007
		IF PAYING BY CREDIT CA	ARD, FILL OUT BELOW.
Undeliverable Mail Only: PO Box 2630 Southgate, MI 48195-4630	630	CARD NUMBER VISA Mass	sterCard Discover
	, MI 48195-4630	SIGNATURE	EXP. DATE
		REFERENCE NUMBER PAY	THIS AMOUNT AMOUNT PAID

լիուկիկիկինոյիկնորկների հեկկիկիկուկինորիներ

Betz, Robin 5960 S Quality Ave Cudahy, WI 53110-3129

03-25-17

Verizon PO Box 1548 Lynnwood, WA 98046-1548

Exhibit B



Betz, Robin

5960 S Quality Ave

Cudahy, WI 53110-3129

Due Date: Total Due:	07-28-17 \$1144.06
Original Creditor:	Verizon Wireless
Creditor:	Verizon Wireless
Creditor Account#:	0001
Notice Date:	07-15-17

ACT NOW AND SAVE MONEY SETTLEMENT OFFER: \$686.44

Dear Betz, Robin:

Due to our client's desire to resolve this matter, we are willing to accept 60% of the "total due" shown above as settlement in full. The remaining 40% of your outstanding balance will be forgiven if your payment of \$686.44 is received on or before 07-28-17. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Call us toll free at 844.761.4042 between the hours of Mon - Fri 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM Pacific time, Sat 7:00 AM to 12:00 PM Pacific time, to arrange payment terms. Otherwise, full payment of the settlement offer can be mailed to us at:

Receivables Performance Management LLC PO Box 1548 Lynnwood, WA 98046-1548

This communication is from a debt collector. The purpose of this notice is to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

RECEIVABLES PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT LLC CONTACT INFORMATION

	24 1 1 1	 		111
PAY			TΙĆ	

(1) Single Payment Option:

- Pay \$686.44 no later than 07-28-17.
- Your account will be considered "Settled in Full" after we post your payment.

(2) Financial Hardship Plan:

Receivables Performance Management LLC

PO Box 1548

Lynnwood, WA 98046-1548

Contact one of our Professional Representatives to qualify for our various payment options.

Call Toll-Free 844.761.4042 to discuss payment arrangements. Let us prove how committed we are to working with you!	Pay by mail to: Receivables Performance Management LLC PO Box 1548 Lynnwood, WA 98046-1548	You can now pay online at: www.rpmpayments.com	AM to 12:00 PM Pacific time.
SOX/355417028238 PLEASE I	<u>DETACI</u> I A <u>ND RETURN BOTTOM</u>	1 PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT	32255/028230/0102
		IF PAYING BY CREDIT CARD	, FILL OUT BELOW.
Undeliverable Mail Only:		ZIP CODE	DISC. VER
PO Box 2630 Southgate, MI 48195-4630		CC#	CCV# (ON BACK OF CARD)
		SIGNATURE	EXP. DATE
		Name as it appears on card	REFERENCE NUMBER 9786
07-15-17		CREDITOR	AMOUNT
		Verizon Wireless	PAID \$
 րդՈկիլըՍկիմիրսիրվույեր			

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Place an X in the appropriate	Box: Green I	Bay Division	V	Milwaukee Division	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Robin Betz			Receivables P	Performance Manage	ement, LLC
` '	e of First Listed Plaintiff MEXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ilwaukee ES)	NOTE: IN LANI	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) CONDEMNATION CASES, USINVOLVED.	
Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP,	e, Address, and Telephone Number 3620 E. Layton Ave., Cudahy, WI 53 ne (414) 482-8001-Facsimile		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	-
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only	y)	Torongh Country		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 755 Motor Vehicle ☐ 760 Other Personal Injury	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other T10 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ✓ 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	tate Court A	ppellate Court	Reopened another (speci		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ION 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq Brief description of cau		iling (Do not cite jurisdiction Wisconsin Consumer Act	aa statutes uniess diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	S A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	IUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR			
November 28, 20)17	/s/ Mark E	Eldridge		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

- MAG JUDGE JUDGE - Case 2:17-cv-01672 Filed 11/20/17 Page 1 of 2 Pocument 1-3

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example:

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

)
)
ROBIN F	BETZ)
Plaintif	f(s)	
v.) Civil Action No. 17-cv-1672
)
RECEIVABLES PE MANAGEMI)))
Defenda	nt(s)	
	CLIMB CONG	NA CIVIL A CITION
		IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	RECEIVABLES PERFOR c/o C T CORPORATION 301 S. Bedford St., Suite 1 Madison , WI 53703	
A lawsuit has been fil	ed against you.	
the United States or a United 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must se	States agency, or an officerve on the plaintiff an ans	n you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are the cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. swer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the n must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose
If you fail to respond	, judgment by default will	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answe		-
		STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 17-cv-1672

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

were r	eceived by me on (date)	·		
	☐ I personally served	the summons and the attached complain	nt on the individual at (place):	
			On (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	and the attached complaint at the indiv	idual's residence or usual place of a	abode with (name)
		, a perso	on of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	ne individual's last known address;	or
	☐ I served the summo	ons and the attached complaint on (name	of individual)	
	who is designated by la	aw to accept service of process on beha	If of (name of organization)	
		on	(date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
	Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
		of perjury that this information is true.		
	r decrare under penanty	of perjury that this information is true.		
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			g	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Receivables Performance Management Hit with FDCPA Suit in Wisconsin