1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 5 DEBBIE ANN BERG, on behalf of herself and 6 all others similarly situated, NO. 7 Plaintiff, **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 8 VS. **JURY TRIAL DEMAND** 9 EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC 10 Defendant. 11 12 On behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff Debbie Ann Berg ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Berg"), through her attorneys, respectfully alleges as follows: 13 14 NATURE OF THE ACTION I. 1. This is a consumer class action based upon the widespread violations by 15 Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC ("Equifax" or "Defendant") of the Fair Credit 16 Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681, et seq. ("FCRA"). Equifax is regulated as a consumer 17 reporting agency ("CRA") under the FCRA. Equifax deprives consumers of their rights by 18 willfully failing to comply with the FCRA requirements to block the reporting of fraudulent 19 information in credit reports whenever it is presented with an identity theft report, in violation 20 of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-2. Further, Equifax deprives consumers of their rights by willfully failing 21 to comply with the FCRA requirement to provide the notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-22 2(c)(2) after declining requests to block information. 23 TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC

| 1 | 2. Every year, individuals who were the victims of identity theft and requested | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Equifax block inaccurate and fraudulent information related to the identity theft have been | | | | | | |
| 3 | similarly aggrieved by the same violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-2. | | | | | | |
| 4 | 3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief for | | | | | | |
| 5 | herself and a class of similarly situated consumers affected by Equifax's failure to comply with | | | | | | |
| 6 | FCRA section 1681c-2's blocking requirements. | | | | | | |
| 7 | II. <u>PARTIES</u> | | | | | | |
| 8 | 4. Plaintiff Debbie Ann Berg is a "consumer" as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. | | | | | | |
| 9 | 1681a(c), and resides in Puyallup, Washington. | | | | | | |
| 10 | 5. Equifax is a "person," and a "consumer reporting agency," as defined by the | | | | | | |
| 11 | FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681a(b) and 1681a(f), respectively. Equifax prepares and sells | | | | | | |
| 12 | "consumer reports" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d). Equifax regularly conducts | | | | | | |
| 13 | business in the Western District of Washington and has a principal place of business located at | | | | | | |
| 14 | 1550 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. | | | | | | |
| 15 | III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u> | | | | | | |
| 16 | 6. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p | | | | | | |
| 17 | in that all claims are brought under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681, et seq. | | | | | | |
| 18 | 7. Venue lies proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). | | | | | | |
| 19 | 8. Equifax is one of the "big three" credit reporting agencies in the United States. | | | | | | |
| 20 | 9. Equifax sells consumer reports (commonly called "credit reports") about | | | | | | |
| 21 | millions of consumers annually. | | | | | | |
| 22 | 10. Equifax is regulated by the FCRA. | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

IV. STATUTORY FRAMEWORK

- 11. The FCRA is intended "to protect consumers from the transmission of inaccurate information about them, and to establish credit reporting practices that utilize accurate, relevant, and current information in a confidential and responsible manner." *Cortez v. Trans Union, LLC*, 617 F.3d 688, 706 (3d Cir. 2010).
- 12. The FCRA was amended by Congress in 2003 by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act ("FACTA"), Pub L. No. 108-159 (2003), in order to, among other things, "prevent identity theft, improve resolution of consumer disputes, [and] improve the accuracy of consumer records."
- 13. Prior to the 2003 amendments, victims of identity theft were afforded no special protections under the FCRA. As such, consumer reporting agencies, such as Equifax, were under no obligation to treat an identity theft claim any different than a regular dispute.
- 14. The 2003 amendments made it easier for identity theft victims to get information resulting from identity theft removed from their credit reports. By enacting 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-2(a), Congress required CRAs to block the reporting of any information in the file of a consumer that the consumer identifies as resulting from identity theft, not later than four business days after receiving (1) appropriate proof of identity, (2) a copy of an identity theft report, (3) the identification of such information by the consumer, and (4) a statement by the consumer that the information is not information relating to any transaction by the consumer.
- 15. The ability to obtain a "block" of information is especially important to consumers because once a block is in place, the account is permanently removed from the

consumer's credit report and creditors are prohibited from sending the blocked account to collections. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681m(f).

- 16. A regular consumer dispute (where no claim of identity theft is made), on the other hand, can at best result only in the "deletion" of an account, which removes the account from the consumer's credit report but allows the creditor to continue with collections unabated. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681i.
- 17. A CRA may decline to "block" information only if the CRA reasonably determines that the consumer's request is made in error, was based on a material misrepresentation or the consumer obtained goods, services or money as a result of the blocked transaction. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-2(c)(1).
- 18. If a block is declined, the CRA must then notify the consumer in writing of the business name and address of any furnisher of information that it contacted upon receiving the identity theft report and that the consumer has a right to add a statement to the file disputing the accuracy or completeness of the disputed information. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681c-2(c)(2) and 1681i(a)(5)(B).
- 19. In the absence of such a determination of material misrepresentation or error, a CRA cannot decline a request to block without first requesting additional information from the consumer for the purpose of determining the validity of the alleged identity theft. *See* 12 C.F.R. § 1022.3(i)(1)(iii)(A).
- 20. "[I]f a CRA receives a police report containing detailed information as well as the signature, badge number, or other identifying information for the officer taking the report, it is not reasonable for the CRA to request additional information without 'an identifiable concern,' such as an indication that the report was fraudulent." *Osada v. Experian Info.*

| | 1 | |
|---|---|--|
| | 2 | |
| | 3 | |
| | 4 | |
| | 5 | |
| | 6 | |
| | 7 | |
| | 8 | |
| | 9 | |
| 1 | 0 | |
| 1 | 1 | |
| 1 | 2 | |
| 1 | 3 | |
| 1 | 4 | |
| 1 | 5 | |
| 1 | 6 | |
| 1 | 7 | |
| 1 | 8 | |
| 1 | 9 | |
| 2 | 0 | |
| 2 | 1 | |

23

Solutions, Inc., No. 11-C-2856, 2012 WL 1050067, at *3 (N.D. III. Mar. 28, 2012) (citing 16 C.F.R. § 603.3(c)(1) (renumbered at 12 C.F.R. § 1022.3(i)(3)(i)).

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Factual Allegations Regarding Defendant's Practices

- 21. Despite the FCRA's requirements, Equifax regularly declines to block the reporting of fraudulent information by wrongfully rejecting valid identity theft reports outright and relying instead on the same verification procedures it uses for common disputes.
- 22. In violation of the FCRA, Equifax willfully and negligently fails to provide the notice required by section 1681c-2(c)(2) after declining to block information that, pursuant to section 1681c-2(c)(1), a consumer has alleged is the result of identity theft.
- 23. In further violation of the FCRA, Equifax willfully and negligently declines to block the reporting of information alleged by consumers to result from identity theft in violation of section 1681c-2(a) despite its receipt of all required documentation from the consumers.
- 24. The plain language of section 1681c-2 is clear and Equifax is on notice of its requirements, both from guidance from the Federal Trade Commission and the prior decision in *Osada, supra*.
- 25. According to standardized policies and procedures, Equifax willfully treats valid identity theft claims as regular credit disputes.
- 26. For any identity theft claims Equifax deems to be lacking in information, Equifax willfully, and according to standardized policies and procedures, declines to block the reporting of the fraudulent information outright rather than requesting additional information or documentation directly from the consumer as required by 12 C.F.R. § 1022.3(i).

B. <u>Factual Allegations Regarding Plaintiff's Experience</u>

- 27. Ms. Berg is a victim of identity theft. Ms. Berg reported the identity theft to the Sheriff of Pierce County, Washington on or about December 15, 2014.
- 28. In or around December 2014, a person used Ms. Berg's personal information without her knowledge to open an account with BMW Financial Services.
- 29. Defendant has been reporting derogatory and inaccurate statements and information relating to Plaintiff and her credit history to third parties from at least November 2015.
- 30. Ms. Berg disputed the information on her Equifax consumer report. She identified herself, advised Equifax that the BMW account was not hers and that it was fraudulent, furnished Equifax with a copy of the police report she filed concerning the identity theft and requested that Equifax block the fraudulent information.
- 31. In response to her dispute, Equifax sent Ms. Berg a form letter dated June 16, 2016. In the letter, Equifax stated:

Dear Debbie Ann Berg:

Enclosed is a copy of your Equifax credit file. Please review it for any unauthorized accounts or inquiries. If unauthorized information is reporting on your Equifax credit file, you may start an investigation immediately on-line at www.investigate.equifax.com. Using the Internet to initiate an online investigation request will expedite the resolution of your concerns. You may also start an investigation by completing and returning the enclosed Research Request Form or by calling the toll free telephone number on the credit file. Please advise us of any documents that may help us in the reinvestigation, such as an identity theft report or letters from credit grantors.

Please note, when you provide documents, including a letter, to Equifax as part of your dispute, the documents may be submitted to one or more companies whose information are the subject of your dispute.

You should contact the credit grantors that are reporting information you believe is fraudulent. Ask them to explain their fraud investigation process, what steps would be taken and how long the process normally takes. Additionally request that they send you a letter or documentation stating the results of the investigation. Upon receipt, forward a copy of that letter to us.

If your ID information, such as driver's license or social security card, was lost or stolen, contact the appropriate issuing agency.

Results Of Your Investigation (For your security, the last 4 digits of your credit account number(s) have been replaced by *)

>>>We have reviewed your concerns and our conclusions are:

Please be advised that Equifax, at this time, will not block the information subject to the submitted identity Theft and/or police report you provided as part of your dispute. We are contacting each creditor directly to verify The account/s on your behalf. We will also forward a copy of the documents you have provided to each creditor for their Review.

- 32. Equifax enclosed a copy of Ms. Berg's credit file with the June 16, 2016 letter declining to block the fraudulent information outright.
- 33. The June 16, 2016 letter did not explain why Equifax believed the identity theft report to be invalid nor did it request any specific additional information from Plaintiff.
- 34. The June 16, 2016 letter did not contain any notice of the business name and address of any furnisher of information that Equifax contacted upon receiving Plaintiff's identity theft report nor did Equifax provide such notice to Ms. Berg within five (5) business days thereafter.
- 35. The June 16, 2016 letter did not contain a notice that Ms. Berg had a right to add a statement to her file disputing the accuracy or completeness of the disputed information nor did Equifax provide such notice to Plaintiff within five (5) business days thereafter.

judgment to whom Equifax did not also send a letter within five business days containing both the business name and address of any furnisher of information that Equifax contacted upon receiving the consumer's identity theft report and a notice that the consumer has the right to add a statement to the consumer's file disputing the accuracy or completeness of the disputed information.

Excluded from the Classes are Defendant and its officers, directors, agents, employees, counsel and its subsidiaries and affiliates; the presiding Judge and Magistrate Judge and their immediate family members; and, all persons who make a timely election to be excluded from the Classes.

- 40. **Numerosity. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1)**. The Class members are so numerous that joinder of all is impractical. Upon information and belief, Equifax receives and processes thousands of requests to block fraudulent information along with identity theft reports each year, and Equifax maintains the names and addresses of the persons making those requests.
- 41. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2). Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class, and predominate over the questions affecting only individual members. The common legal and factual questions include, among others:
- a. Whether Equifax's failure to block information alleged to result from identity theft was lawful;
- b. Whether Equifax provided the notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-2(c)(2) after refusing to block the reporting of information;
- c. Whether, in the absence of a determination of fraud or mistake, Equifax must request additional information it believes to be lacking from a person making a claim of identity theft before refusing to block; and

- d. Whether Equifax must specify the particular information it believes to be lacking when it requests additional information from a person making a claim of identity theft.
- 42. **Typicality. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3)**. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of each Class member. Plaintiff has the same claims for statutory and punitive damages that she seeks for absent class members.
- 43. **Adequacy. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4)**. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Classes. Her interests are aligned with, and are not antagonistic to, the interests of the members of the Classes she seeks to represent, she has retained counsel competent and experienced in such litigation, and she intends to prosecute this action vigorously. Plaintiff and her counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of members of the Classes.
- 44. **Predominance and Superiority. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3)**. Questions of law and fact common to the Class members predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The statutory and punitive damages sought by each member are such that individual prosecution would prove burdensome and expensive given the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by Equifax's conduct. It would be virtually impossible for the Class members individually to redress effectively the wrongs done to them. Even if the Class members themselves could afford such individual litigation, it would be an unnecessary burden on the courts. Furthermore, individualized litigation presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments and increases the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system presented by the complex legal and factual issues raised by Equifax's conduct. By contrast, the class action device will result in substantial benefits to the litigants

and the Court by allowing the Court to resolve numerous individual claims based upon a single 1 set of proof in a unified proceeding. 2 **CAUSES OF ACTION** VII. 3 **COUNT I** 4 **Failure to Block Fraudulent Information** 5 Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-2(a) 6 45. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as 7 alleged above. 8 46. Equifax willfully and negligently failed to comply with section 1681c-2(a) of 9 the FCRA by failing to block information alleged by consumers to result from identity theft 10 despite receiving all information required by Section 1681c-2(a). 11 47. Plaintiff and Class Members seek actual damages, statutory damages, punitive 12 damages, attorney fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and o. 13 **COUNT II** 14 Failure to Provide Required Notice Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681c-2(c)(2) 15 48. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as 16 alleged above. 17 49. Equifax willfully and negligently failed to comply with section 1681c-2(c)(2) of 18 the FCRA by failing to provide consumers with notice of the business name and address of any 19 furnisher of information that Equifax contacted upon receiving the consumer's identity theft 20 report and notice that the consumer has the right to add a statement to the consumer's file 21 disputing the accuracy or completeness of the disputed information. 22 23

50. Plaintiff and Class Members seek actual damages, statutory damages, punitive 1 damages, attorney fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and o. 2 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 3 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Classes pray for relief as follows: 4 An order certifying the case as a class action on behalf of the proposed Classes A. 5 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and appointing Plaintiff and the undersigned counsel 6 of record to represent same; 7 В. An award of actual, statutory and punitive damages for Plaintiff and the Classes; 8 C. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law; 9 An award of attorneys' fees and costs; and D. 10 E. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. 11 JURY DEMAND 12 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury. 13 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 30th day of April, 2018. 14 TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC 15 By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 16 Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com 17 By: /s/ Erika L. Nusser, WSBA #40854 18 Erika L. Nusser, WSBA #40854 Email: enusser@terrellmarshall.com 19 By: /s/ Benjamin M. Drachler, WSBA #51021 20 Benjamin M. Drachler, WSBA #51021 Email: bdrachler@terrellmarshall.com 21 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103 22 Telephone: (206) 816-6603 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450 23

| 1 2 3 4 | James A. Francis, pro hac vice forthcoming Email: jfrancis@consumerlawfirm.com David A. Searles, pro hac vice forthcoming Email: dsearles@consumerlawfirm.com Joseph Gentilcore, pro hac vice forthcoming FRANCIS & MAILMAN, P.C. |
|------------------|---|
| 5 | Land Title Building, Suite 1902 100 South Broad Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19110 |
| 6 | Telephone: (215) 735-8600 Facsimile: (215) 940-8000 |
| 7 8 | Roger Zamparo, Jr., pro hac vice forthcoming Email: roger@zamparo.com |
| 9 | ZAMPARO LAW GROUP, P.C. 2300 Barrington Road, Suite 140 Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60169 |
| 10 | Telephone: (224) 875-3202 |
| 11 | Robert S. Sola, pro hac vice forthcoming Email: rssola@msn.com |
| 12 | ROBERT S. SOLA, P.C. 8835 SW Canyon Lane, Suite 130 |
| 13 | Portland, Oregon 97225 Telephone: (503) 295-6880 |
| 14 15 | Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | |

Case 3:18-cv-05339 Pecture ^{1}S Filed $^{04/30/18}$ Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| purpose of initiating the civil do | ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT | | | | , 1, 15 requ | | and offering of countries and |
|---|--|---|------------------|---|--|---|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | | DEFENDANTS | | | |
| DEBBIE ANN BERG | | | | EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC | | | ; |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pierce County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Erika L. Nusser, WSBA #40854 Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC 936 N. 34th Street, Suite 300, Seattle, WA 98103; 206-816-6603 | | | | County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known) | (IN U.S. P. | LAINTIFF CASES O ON CASES, USE TI | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in O | ne Box Only) | III. CI | TIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPA | L PARTIES | Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | ■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N | Not a Party) | | (For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State | rf Def 1 □ 1 | Incorporated or Pri | |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) | | Citize | en of Another State | 2 🗖 2 | Incorporated and P of Business In A | |
| | | | | en or Subject of a reign Country | 3 🗖 3 | Foreign Nation | □ 6 □ 6 |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | FC | DEFITUDE/DENALTY | | | of Suit Code Descriptions. |
| □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 755 Motor Vehicle □ 155 Motor Vehicle □ 155 Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities □ Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other □ 448 Education | PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement | RTY | DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions | 422 Appe 423 With 28 U | RTY RIGHTS rights at 1 Abbreviated Drug Application emark .SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI | OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC |
| | moved from 3 | Remanded from Appellate Court | J 4 Rein Reop | 1 | r District | G 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | N 15 U.S.C. § 1681 Brief description of ca Fair Credit Repor | use: ting Act Violations | | Oo not cite jurisdictional stat | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. | | | D | EMAND \$ | D \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ▼ Yes □ No | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | (See instructions): | JUDGE | | | DOCKE | ET NUMBER | |
| DATE 04/30/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | signature of att | | | | | |
| | 10UNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUD | GE |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence** (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- **VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

United States District Court

for the

Western District of Washington

| DEBBIE ANN BERG, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, |))) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Plaintiff(s) |) | | | | |
| V. | Civil Action No. | | | | |
| |) CIVIL TICKION TVO. | | | | |
| EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC |))) | | | | |
| Defendant(s) |) | | | | |
| SUMMONS II | N A CIVIL ACTION | | | | |
| To: (Defendant's name and address) EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC c/o Registered Agent Corporation Service Company 300 Deschutes Way SW, Suite 304 Tumwater, Washington 98501 | | | | | |
| A lawsuit has been filed against you. | | | | | |
| Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. G. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Erika L. Nusser, WSBA #40854 Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC 936 N. 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103 Telephone: 206-816-6603 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19110 Telephone: (215) 735-8600 | | | | | |
| If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. | | | | | |
| | CLERK OF COURT | | | | |
| Date | | | | | |
| Date: | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk | | | | |

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | | ne of individual and title, if any | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| was rec | ceived by me on (date) | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ I personally served | the summons on the indiv | vidual at (place) | | | | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | | | | |
| ☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name) | | | | | | | | |
| , a person of suitable age and discretion who resid | | | | | | | | |
| | on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization) | | | | | | | |
| | • | accept service of process of | | ; or | | | | |
| | | nons unexecuted because | | | | | | |
| | Other (specify): | | | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0.00 | | | | |
| | I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true. | | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Server's signature | | | | | |
| | | _ | Printed name and title | | | | | |
| | | | Server's address | | | | | |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action: Equifax Allows Reporting of Fraudulent Info in Credit Reports</u>