| Plaintiff, -against- | |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| -against- | Case No. 2:19-cv-03584 |
| -against- | |
| T | COMPLAINT |
| COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, | ury Trial Demanded |
| Defendant. | |

Plaintiff, BENS BBQ, Inc. d/b/a BOBBIQUE, on behalf of itself and all other similarly situated persons, companies and entities ("Class Members" or "Plaintiffs") complaining of the abovenamed Defendant by its attorneys, EGAN & GOLDEN, LLP respectfully alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This is a civil action by Plaintiffs seeking monetary relief, including economic loss, compensatory damages, disbursements, attorney's fees, declaratory and injunctive relief and costs and fees under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 and the Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 2. Additionally, this action seeks monetary, injunctive, and declaratory relief under state law and the New York State Constitution.
- 3. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant intentionally and purposefully, while acting under color of state law, imposed unlawful and excessive fees and fines through its unconstitutional "false alarm fees" statutory scheme.
 - 4. Said unconstitutional and illegal conduct was known to Defendant and others

who are its government officials, elected officials, authorized decision makers, supervisors, and policy makers, and was accepted and supported as policy, practice and custom.

5. Plaintiffs also seek punitive damages against the Defendant for its malicious, intentional, reckless and callous indifference to Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1985 and the Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(1)-(4). Declaratory relief is authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Pendant jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. Venue is properly laid within the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), as the Plaintiff's claims arose in the Eastern District of New York and Defendant, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, is wholly located within the District.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff, BENS BBQ, Inc. d/b/a BOBBIQUE, is New York corporation with a principal place of business in the County of Suffolk, State of New York.
- 9. Defendant, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, is a municipal corporation organized under and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York.
- 10. During all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendant was acting under color of law, to wit, under color of the United States and New York Constitutions, and statutes, ordinances, laws, rules, regulations, by-laws, policies, customs and usages promulgated and established thereunder.
- 11. During all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendant and separately and in concert, and in conspiracy with others not named as Defendants in the Complaint, engaged in acts and

omissions which constituted a deprivation of the constitutional rights, privileges and immunities of Plaintiffs. While these acts were carried out under color of law, Defendant's actions had no justification, privilege or excuse in law, and were instead gratuitous, malicious, intentional, illegal and improper.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. On or about December 15, 2015, Defendant adopted Article II of Chapter 290 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code.
- 13. Article II of Chapter 290 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code ("Article II") requires any person who "owns, leases, rents, or uses an alarm system or makes an alarm system available for use by his/her or its agents, employees, representatives, tenants or family" within the Suffolk County Police District (the "District") to obtain a permit from the Suffolk County Police Department for the operation of said alarm system.
 - 14. Article II also imposes "false alarm fees" on "Alarm Owners" within the District.
- by causes or events other than the commission or attempted commission of an unlawful act or emergency which the alarm system is designed to detect. This shall include, but not be limited to, mechanical failure, accidental tripping, misoperation, malfunction, misuse or neglect of the alarm system, but shall not include alarms caused by earthquakes, high winds, verifiable utility failures or external causes beyond the control of the alarm owner or alarms caused by smoke, fire or carbon monoxide."
- 16. Article II authorizes the Suffolk County Police Department to subject all "alarm owners" in the District, including those without alarm permits, to fees for "false alarms."

- 17. Article II provides no requirement for service of process or notice of the levying or application of a false alarm fee on an alarm owner other than requiring such notice to be written.
- 18. The Suffolk County Police Department is the sole arbiter of what constitutes a "false alarm."
- 19. There is no hearing or proceeding before a "false alarm fee" is chargeable against an alarm owner.
- 20. Article II provides no process to appeal a false alarm fee charge other than a written notice of appeal to the Suffolk County Police Commissioner in a form as provided by the Suffolk County Police Department.
- 21. Article II does not provide or require criteria, rules, or standards of proof by which the Police Commissioner must abide by when rendering a decision on an appeal of a false alarm fee.
- 22. Article II does not require that the Police Commissioner develop a record before rendering a decision on an appeal.
- 23. The false alarm fees are graduated so that each additional false alarm occurring within a twelve-month period subjects the alarm owner to a higher false alarm fee. The alarm fees are set forth below:

| J | eı | m | 1t | H | lO. | ld | er | - |
|---|----|---|----|---|-----|----|----|---|
| | | | | | | | | |

| Residential Building | | Nonresidential Building | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 st & 2 nd false alarm | Written warning only | 1st & 2nd false alarm | Written warning only |
| 3 rd false alarm | \$100 | 3 rd false alarm | \$100 |
| 4 th false alarm | \$100 | 4th false alarm | \$150 |
| 5 th false alarm | \$100 | 5 th false alarm | \$200 |
| 6 th false alarm | \$250 | 6 th false alarm | \$250 |
| 7 th false alarm | \$300 | 7 th false alarm | \$300 |
| 8 th false alarm | \$350 | 8 th false alarm | \$350 |
| 9th false alarm | \$400 | 9 th false alarm | \$400 |
| 10^{th} and subsequent false alarms | \$500 | 10th and subsequent false alarms | \$500 |

| | Nonresidential Building |
|-------|-------------------------|
| \$100 | 1st false alarm |
| \$100 | 2nd false alarm |
| \$150 | 3rd false alarm |

4th false alarm

5th false alarm

6th false alarm

7th false alarm

8th false alarm

\$100

\$100

\$200

\$200

\$200

\$300

\$300

\$400

Non-Permit Holders

Residential Building

1st false alarm

2nd false alarm

3rd false alarm

4th false alarm

5th false alarm

6th false alarm

7th false alarm

8th false alarm

9th false alarm \$450
10th and subsequent false alarms \$500

10th and subsequent false alarms \$500

\$200

\$200

\$300

\$300

\$400

- 24. The fees collected from the alarm permit registration and false alarm fees are far greater than the sum of the costs of administering the alarm registration program and the costs associated with responding to false alarms.
- 25. When imposing and collecting false alarm fees, the County of Suffolk describes the fees as "fines".
- 26. The alarm fee program is nothing more than a means of generating revenue for the County of Suffolk.
- 27. On July 7, 2018, Plaintiff received a false alarm fee notice from the Suffolk County Police Department. The County demanded payment of \$1,410.00.
- 28. The notice alleged that Plaintiff's alarm had triggered a "false alarm" on September 11, 2016, September 14, 2016, October 17, 2016, and November 19, 2016.
- 29. Plaintiff appealed the false alarm claim in writing to the Police Commissioner as required by Article II. Plaintiff never received a decision on its appeal.
- 30. The County of Suffolk commenced a small claims action against Plaintiff as a means of judgment enforcement. In its Complaint, the County stated:

"The Defendant has been issued *fines* related to false alarms at their address pursuant to Suffolk County Code Chapter 290 Article II Section 290-9. The defendant has failed to pay the *fines* and associated fees."

(emphasis added).

- 31. By denoting the fees as "fines" in its small claims judgment enforcement actions, the County is admitting that the false alarms are criminal violations. As such, those accused of false alarms should be entitled to the procedural due process accorded all defendants in the criminal justice system.
- 32. In Plaintiff's case, the small claims court, as it has done since the enactment of the false alarm fee law, held that it cannot consider arguments as to the underlying events leading to the false alarm, since such appeals may be made only to the Suffolk County Police Commissioner as required under Article II.
- 33. Thus, the small claims court may only consider whether the fines were paid. The small claims court awarded the County \$1,710.00 on the basis that Plaintiff did not pay the false alarm fine. Plaintiff paid that judgment.
 - 34. The entire process can be summarized as follows:
 - a. A person or entity receives a "false alarm" notice from the Suffolk County Police Department demanding payment of the fee to the County.
 - b. The only avenue to challenge the false alarm allegations is a written appeal to the Suffolk County Police Commissioner, who is the chief of the very entity enforcing Article II and demanding payment.
 - c. The Police Commissioner is not required to hold a hearing, develop a record, or apply any specific criteria in making a decision. A one-word denial of the "appeal" is sufficient under Article II. Some appeals are never even reviewed or decided, as was the case in Plaintiff's challenge.
 - d. The County begins judgment enforcement in small claims court when a person or entity fails to pay the fee/fine. The defendant cannot challenge the allegations underlying the County's

claim of a false alarm and the court is restricted to merely determining whether the fee/fine was paid or not.

35. Through Article II, the Defendant has created a system that blatantly circumvents the most basic protections afforded those accused of violating the law.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 36. Plaintiff, and the class it represents, has been and will continue to be subjected to the unlawful enforcement of an unconstitutional scheme implemented by Defendant.
- 37. Plaintiff, on behalf of itself and of the class of similarly situated persons, seeks an order declaring that Article II of Chapter 290 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code and the enforcement thereof is and has been unlawful.
- 38. Plaintiff brings this action on its own behalf and on behalf of all persons similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff seeks certification of a class defined as follows: All persons who have been charged false alarm fees pursuant to Article II of Chapter 290 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code.
- 39. Pursuant to Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical. Upon information and belief there are more than 10,000 persons in the class defined above.
- 40. Under Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, there are questions of law and fact common to the class, including but not limited to:
 - Whether the imposition of the false alarm fee violated the Plaintiff class' right to due process under the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution;

- Whether the imposition of the false alarm fee violated the Plaintiff class's right to be free from excessive fines under the 8th Amendment of the United States Constitution;
- Whether the imposition of the false alarm fee constituted an unlawful taking under the 5th Amendment of the United States Constitution;
- Whether the imposition of the false alarm fee violates New York State Law requiring fees to be related to and proportional to the cost of the service provided.
- 41. Plaintiff's claims are typical, if not identical, of the class it seeks to represent. Plaintiff and the class it seeks to represent were subjected to false alarm fees, which are thinly veiled fines imposed without affording the alleged offenders the due process required under the United States Constitution. The fees are grossly disproportionate to the alleged conduct in violation of the 8th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The fees also violate New York State law requiring anything denoted as a governmental "fee" to bear a relationship to a service provided by government and be proportional in cost.
- 42. Plaintiff has the same interests and has suffered the same type of injuries as the proposed class. Each proposed class member suffered monetary damages as a result of the challenged conduct. Plaintiff's claims arose because of Defendant's policies, customs, and/or practices. Plaintiff's claims are based upon the same legal theories as the claims of the proposed class members.
- 43. Plaintiff's counsel has the resources, experience, and expertise to successfully prosecute this action against Defendant. Counsel knows of no conflicts among any members of the class, or between counsel and any members of the class.

- 44. Should the court certify the class, Plaintiff is capable of providing individual notice to class members, at such last known address by first class mail, as well as notice by publication informing them of the following:
 - i. The pendency of the class action and the issues common to the class;
 - ii. The nature of the action;
 - iii. Their right to "opt-out" of the action within a given time, in which event they will not be bound by a decision rendered in the class action:
 - iv. Their right to "opt-out" to be represented by their own counsel and to enter an appearance in the case; otherwise they will be represented by the named class plaintiff and its counsel; and
 - v. Their right, if they do not "opt-out" to share in any recovery in favor of the class, and conversely to be bound by any judgment on the common issues adverse to the class.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 (Due Process, 14th Amendment)

- 45. Paragraphs "1" through "44" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 46. By reason of Defendant COUNTY OF SUFFOLK's unlawful governmental custom, policy, ordinance, and statute, the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK and employees, officers, and members of the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK and the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK Police Department, imposed improper fees, fines, penalties, and/or costs on Plaintiffs, and deprived Plaintiffs of a process by which to challenge said fees, fines, penalties, and/or costs.

- 47. The graduated scale of "fees" for each additional false alarm and the higher scale for non-permitted alarm system owners shows that the false alarm scheme is a thinly veiled attempt to criminally punish alarm system owners without affording them due process under the criminal justice system. Defendant has itself described the fees as "fines" in its judgment enforcement actions against Plaintiffs.
- 48. Defendant, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, acting under color of law, and through its employees, servants, agents and designees, has deprived Plaintiffs of their rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 49. Defendant, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, is liable for the damages suffered by Plaintiffs as a result of the conduct of its employees, agents and servants.
- 50. Defendant, acting under color of law, has engaged in actions and abuses which have deprived Plaintiffs of liberty, property rights, due process, and the privileges and immunities secured by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1985.
- 51. As a direct result of said acts, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer monetary damages.
- 52. As a result of Defendant's acts, Plaintiffs suffered, and are entitled to, damages sustained to date and continuing equal to all amounts charged to Plaintiffs as false alarm fees, as well as punitive charges, costs and attorneys' fees.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 (Excessive Fine, Eighth Amendment)

- 53. Paragraphs "1" through "52" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 54. The Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution states, "Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted."
- 55. The false alarm fees charged to Plaintiffs constitute excessive fines and are grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the conduct Defendant alleges it is seeking to deter and punish.
- 56. The false alarm fee scheme is a method by which Defendant extracts large payments from its constituents for a de minimis transgression, for the sole purpose of raising revenue.
- 57. As a result of Defendant's acts, Plaintiffs suffered, and are entitled to, damages sustained to date and continuing equal to all amounts charged to Plaintiffs as false alarm fees, as well as punitive charges, costs and attorneys' fees.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 (Takings, Fifth Amendment)

- 58. Paragraphs "1" through "57" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 59. The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits the taking of private property without just compensation.
- 60. The enforcement of the false alarm fee scheme is a method by which Defendant extracts large payments from its constituents for the purpose of raising revenue.
 - 61. Plaintiffs have a vested property right in the funds they expended for false

alarm fees.

- 62. Plaintiffs have a vested property right in the alarm systems they have installed and operate.
- 63. The false alarm fee scheme has had a negative economic impact on Plaintiffs and drastically altered the reasonable investment backed expectations they had when purchasing their alarm systems to protect their homes and businesses.
- 64. The Plaintiffs are not compensated in any manner for payment of the false alarm fee.
- 65. As a result of Defendant's acts, Plaintiffs suffered, and are entitled to, damages sustained to date and continuing equal to all amounts charged to Plaintiffs as false alarm fees, as well as punitive charges, costs and attorneys' fees.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Money Had and Received)

- 66. Paragraphs "1" through "65" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 67. Under New York state law fees imposed by a governmental agency must be proportional to the costs of associated with the service provided.
- 68. The false alarm fee is far greater than the County's cost of responding to false alarms.
- 69. The arbitrary gradation in false alarm fees, with a greater fee for each additional false alarm response, demonstrates that the amount of the fee was calculated not in proportion to the costs of responding to false alarms, but as a criminal penalty motivated solely as a means of generating revenue for Defendant.
 - 70. The County imposed and Plaintiffs paid the false alarm fees. Defendant

received money belonging to Plaintiffs, Defendant benefited from the receipt of this money, and the illegality and unconstitutionality of the false alarm fee scheme warrants Defendant's return of that money.

71. As a result of Defendant's acts, Plaintiffs suffered, and are entitled to, damages sustained to date and continuing equal to all amounts charged to Plaintiffs as false alarm fees, as well as punitive charges, costs and attorneys' fees.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Judgment)

- 72. Paragraphs "1" through "71" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 73. For the reasons set forth herein, Article II of Chapter 290 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code violates the Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, both on its face and as applied to Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendant for the following relief:

- A. On the First Cause of Action: in excess of \$10,000,000.00 in compensatory damages, punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees or such greater or lesser sum to be proven at trial in this matter;
- B. On the Second Cause of Action: in excess of \$10,000,000.00 in compensatory damages, punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees or such greater or lesser sum to be proven at trial in this matter;

C. On the Third Cause of Action: in excess of \$10,000,000.00 in compensatory

damages, punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees or such greater or lesser sum to be proven at

trial in this matter;

D. On the Fourth Cause of Action: in excess of \$10,000,000.00 in compensatory

damages, punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees or such greater or lesser sum to be proven at

trial in this matter;

E. On the Fifth Cause of Action: judgment declaring that Article II of Chapter

290 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code violates the Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth

Amendments to the United States Constitution, both on its face and as applied to Plaintiffs;

F. Attorneys fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;

G. Granting such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Dated: Patchogue, New York

June 18, 2019

EGAN & GOLDEN, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:

Brian T. Egan, Esq. (BTE 1260)

Christopher Bianco, Esq. (CAB 9608)

96 South Ocean Avenue

Patchogue, New York 11772

631.447.8100

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19) Case 2:19-cv-03584 Document 1 Co Filed 26/18/19 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| Passenti | purpose of initiating the civil | docket sheet. (SEE INSTRU | CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE (| OF THIS FO | PRM.) | 1571, 15104 | anea for the ase o | the Clerk of Court for the |
|--|--|---|---|------------|---|--|---|--|
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (BEEFF BY US FLANTIFF CASES) (C) Attainings (From Name, Address, and Talephane Number) (Egen & Goldon, LLP PRICIOGUE, New York, 17172 (C) Attainings (From Name, Address, and Talephane Number) (Egen & Goldon, LLP PRICIOGUE, New York, 17172 (B) South Occord Andrew PRICIOGUE, New York, 17172 (I) U.S. Government (I) Sourcessee (Name Party) (I) U.S. Government (I) Sourcessee (Name Party) (I) U.S. Government (I) Sourcessee (Name Party) (I) Source | I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | | DEFENDAN | NTS | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Para Name Alebras and Talephane Number) Egan & Golden, LLP 90 South Ocean Avenue Patient (Account) 1 Les Abord Concept (Para Name Alebras and Talephane Number) Egan & Golden, LLP 90 South Ocean Avenue Patient (Account) 2 Les Covernment (Account) 3 Teatral (Seation) 4 Devenity (International Concept (Concept) Patient (Account) 4 Devenity (International Concept) (Intern | | OBBIQUE, on behalf | of itself and all othe | ers | COUNTY OF S | SUFFOLK | | |
| Content Cont | (b) County of Residence | | | | | (IN U.S. | PLAINTIFF CASES | ONLY) |
| U.S. Government War of Party Secretary Cases Orbital Secretary Cas | Egan & Golden, LLP 96 South Ocean Avenue | 9 | per) | | | | | |
| 1 U.S. Government | II. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" in | One Box Only) | III. CI | TIZENSHIP OI | F PRINCIP. | AL PARTIES | (Place on "Y" in One Box for Plaint |
| Defendant Challence Citizenship of Parties in Item 11) Calizen or Subject of a Foreign Country Tokes on "X" in One Box Only) | | | t Not a Party) | (| For Diversity Cases Or | nly) PTF DEF | Incorporated or Pr | and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place |
| TV. NATURE OF SUIT (**Place on **X** in One Box Only) CONTRACT 100 Insurance 110 Insurance 110 Marine 110 Insurance 110 Recovery of Orepapanent 110 Recovery of Orepapan | | | hip of Parties in Item III) | Citize | n of Another State | □ 2 | | |
| CONTRACT FERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY O 130 Miler and 130 Mil | | | | | | 3 3 | Foreign Nation | □ 6 □ 6 |
| 10 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY 20 25 Drug Related Seizure 1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act 316 Aprillame Product Liability 317 Aprillame Product Liability 318 Aprillame Product Liability 318 Aprillame Product Liability 318 Aprillame Product Liability 319 Aprillame Product Liability 310 Aprillame Product Liabili | | | | I FO | DEFITURE/BENIST | TV I | UZD UPTOT | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER | □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 344 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other | PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of | TY | LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applicat Other Immigration | 422 Appropriate 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copp 830 Pates 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (FEDER/ 870 Taxes 971 IRS—26 U | eal 28 USC 158 ddrawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS Prights It emark SECURITY (1395ff) It Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI (405(g)) ML TAX SUITS Is (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) —Third Party | □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of |
| Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1985 Brief description of cause: Violation of 5th, 8th, and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY See instructions): JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR OFFICE USE ONLY DOCKET NUMBER AMOUNT: AMOUNT: AMOUNT: AMOUNT: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 10,000,000.00 JURY DEMAND: Yes INDICATE DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER AMOUNT: | X 1 Original □ 2 Rer | moved from \square 3 | | | ned Ano | other District | | ict |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 10,000,000.00 DOCKET NUMBER | VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | Brief description of ca | nise. | | not cite jurisdictional : | statutes unless div | | |
| JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER | COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2. | IS A CLASS ACTION | DE | MAND \$ | C | HECK YES only i | |
| O6/18/2019 OR OFFICE USE ONLY AMOUNT | IF ANY | | JUDGE | | | DOCKE | Γ NUMBER | |
| DECEIDT # AMOUNT APPLICATION A | 06/18/2019 | | SIGNATURE OF ATTO | ORNEY OF | RECORD | | | |
| RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE | | OUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUD | GE |

| exclusive | of interest a | and costs, an | des that e eligible | with certain | n exceptio | ns, actions | s seeking n | BITBA lied 067 oney damage of damages is | es only in a | to be below the threshold amount unless | L6 s a |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Case is El | ligible for A | rbitration | | | | | | | | | |
| I, Christopl | her Bianco ory arbitratio | on for the fo | llowing r | | _, counse | l for | Plaintiff | | , do he | ereby certify that the above captioned ci | vil action is ineligible for |
| | \checkmark | monetary | damage | s sought a | re in exc | ess of \$1 | 50,000, ex | clusive of in | terest and | I costs, | |
| | | the comp | aint see | ks injunctiv | ve relief, | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | ✓ | the matte | r is other | wise inelig | ible for th | ne followir | ng reason | civil righ | ts claim | n based on 28 USC §1343 83.7(d)(1)) | |
| | | <u>D</u> | ISCL | DSURE | STAT | EMEN | T - FED | | | S3.7(a)(1)) CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 | |
| | | I | dentify a | ny parent | corporation | on and an | ny publicly | held corpora | ation that c | owns 10% or more or its stocks: | |
| Please list | all cases that | | | | | | | | | he Front of this Form) ont of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides | that "A civil case is "related |
| to another substantial deemed "re "Presumpt | civil case for I saving of ju elated" to an | r purposes of dicial resou other civil ca bject to the | of this guid rces is like ase merel | deline when, ely to result t y because th | because of from assign he civil cas | of the simila ning both c se: (A) invol | arity of facts cases to the lves identic | s and legal iss same judge a al legal issues | ues or beca and magistra s, or (B) invo | ont of this form. Rule 30.3.1 (a) provides ause the cases arise from the same transate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "olves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) fu shall not be deemed to be "related" unles | actions or events, a A civil case shall not be orther provides that |
| | | | | | NY-E C | <u>OIVISIOI</u> | N OF BI | <u>JSINESS</u> | RULE 5 | 50.1(d)(2) | |
| 1.) | Is the ci | | being | filed in t Yes | he Eas | tern Dis No | trict rem | noved fron | n a New | York State Court located in N | Nassau or Suffolk |
| 2.) | | | | | giving r | ise to th No | ne claim | or claims, | or a sub | bstantial part thereof, occur in | า Nassau or Suffolk |
| | b) Did th District? | | s or on | issions (Yes | giving r | ise to th No | ie claim | or claims, | or a sub | bstantial part thereof, occur in | า the Eastern |
| | c) If this received: | | Debt C | ollection | Practice | Act case | e, specify | the Count | ty in whic | ch the offending communication | ı was |
| | County, or County? | , in an int | erplead Yes | er action, | does th No | e claima | int (or a r | najority of t | the claima | nts, if there is more than one) resants, if there is more than one) r | |
| | (Note: A | corporation | on shall | be consi | dered a | resident | | | | s the most significant contacts). | |
| | | | | | | | | R ADMIS | | | |
| | I am curr | ently adm | | the Easte | | ict of Ne | w York a | nd currently | y a memb | ber in good standing of the bar c | f this court. |
| | | | \checkmark | | Yes | | | | ш | No | |
| | Are you | currently | the su | ubject of | any dis | sciplinar | y action | (s) in this | or any o | other state or federal court? | |
| | | | | | Yes | (If yes, | please | explain | \checkmark | No | |
| | I certify t | the accu | rajcy o <u>f</u> | all inform | mation | provide | d above | | | | |
| ** | Signatu | re: | th 12 | The | | | | | | | |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

| Eastern Dist | rict of New York |
|---|---|
| BENS BBQ, Inc. d/b/a BOBBIQUE, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated |))) |
| Plaintiff(s) |) |
| v. |) Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-03584 |
| COUNTY OF SUFFOLK |))) |
| Defendant(s) |) |
| SUMMONS II | N A CIVIL ACTION |
| To: (Defendant's name and address) County of Suffolk County Attorney's Office H. Lee Dennison Building 100 Veterans Memorial H P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, NY 11788 | |
| A lawsuit has been filed against you. | |
| are the United States or a United States agency, or an office | |
| If you fail to respond, judgment by default will b You also must file your answer or motion with the court. | e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. |
| | DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT |
| Date: | |
| | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk |

Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-03584

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| was ra | This summons for (no ceived by me on (date) | ame of individual and title, if a | ny) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| was re | cerved by the on (aate) | | · | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ I personally serve | ed the summons on the inc | lividual at (place) | | | | | | | | |
| | on (date); I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name); | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | , a person of suitable age and discretion who res | sides there, | | | | | | | |
| | on (date) | , and mailed a | copy to the individual's last known address; or | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ I served the sumn | nons on (name of individual) | | , who is | | | | | | | |
| | designated by law to | o accept service of process | s on behalf of (name of organization) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ I returned the sum | I returned the summons unexecuted because | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Other (specify): | | | | | | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and | \$ for services, for a total of \$ | 0.00 | | | | | | | |
| | I declare under penal | lty of perjury that this info | ormation is true. | | | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Server's signature | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | Printed name and title | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | Server's address | | | | | | | | |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: New York's Suffolk County Hit with Class Action Over Allegedly Unconstitutional False Alarm Fees