UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_

SAMUEL BENCHEMHOUN on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

#### PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

#### Introduction

 Plaintiff Samuel Benchemhoun seeks redress for the illegal practices of Portfolio Recovery Associates, L.L.C., concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA").

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Norfolk, Virginia.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

#### Allegations Particular to Samuel Benchemhoun

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about August 30, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter seeking to collect a balance allegedly incurred for personal purposes.
- 11. The Plaintiff had previously contacted the Defendant, and attempted to dispute the alleged debt over the phone.
- 12. The Defendant informed the Plaintiff that it would mail correspondence to the Plaintiff's address and that the Plaintiff would be required to send back a dispute in writing.
- 13. The Defendant statements were in violation in that one does not have to dispute a debt in writing. See *Hooks v. Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, LLC*, 717 F.3d 282, 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 10754, 2013 WL 2321409 (2d Cir. N.Y. 2013) (Requiring a consumer to dispute a debt in writing violates the FDCPA.)
- 14. The Defendant further stated that the written dispute should have a reason.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>Semper v. JBC Legal Group.</u> 2005 WL 2172377 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 6, 2005). (Collector's must communicate that a debt is disputed. The FDCPA does not give debt collectors the authority to determine unilaterally whether a dispute has merit.), <u>Hoffman v. Partners in Collections, Inc.</u>, 1993 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12702 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 13, 1993). (The court held that the FDCPA did not require that the consumer notify the agency of his basis for disputing the debt, or that any stated reason for the dispute had to be one that would relieve the consumer of any part of the liability for the debt. The complaint alleged that the consumer notified the collection agency that the debt was disputed and that the agency did not cease collection of the debt until it obtained verification of the debt. The complaint was sufficient to allege a violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b). The court also held that the complaint sufficiently alleged a violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) by stating that the agency reported the disputed debt to credit agencies without disclosing that it had been disputed. The court noted that " There is no requirement that any

#### Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 3 of 9 PageID #: 3

- 15. The FDCPA does not require the consumer to provide any reason at all in order to dispute a debt.<sup>2</sup>
- 16. The said letter stated in part: "We have completed our investigation of your dispute concerning this account. PRA, LLC has obtained and reviewed the attached documents related to this account which establish its validity."
- 17. As soon as the consumer disputes the debt in within thirty days, a debt collector cannot assume that the dispute is invalid nor can it assume that the debt itself is valid.
- 18. The Defendant knew the disputed nature of the said debt.
- 19. Defendant was well aware, from information it had received, that the debt had been disputed, yet the Defendant failed to communicate the disputed status of the debt.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees intentionally denied the Plaintiff the dispute rights afforded to a consumer under the FDCPA.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employee wrongfully stated to the Plaintiff that he could only dispute a debt in writing.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employee wrongfully stated to the Plaintiff that he must have a reason to dispute a debt.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees failed to communicate that a disputed debt is disputed.
- 24. The acts and omissions of the Defendant and its employees done in connection with

dispute be "valid" for this statute to apply; only that there be a dispute." Failure to communicate a dispute whether or not valid will violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) for failure to communicate that a disputed debt is disputed.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sambor v. Omnia Credit Servs., 183 F. Supp. 2d 1234 (D. Haw. 2002), <u>Mendez v. M.R.S. Assoc.</u>, 2004 WL 1745779 \*2 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 3, 2004). (A consumer is entitled to dispute the validity of a debt for a good reason, a bad reason, or no reason at all), <u>Whitten v. ARS National Servs. Inc.</u>, 2002 WL 1050320 \*4 (N.D. 111 May 23, 2002). (Imposing a requirement that a consumer have a `valid' reason to dispute the debt is inconsistent with FDCPA), <u>Castro v. ARS National Servs., Inc.</u>, 2000 WL 264310 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2000), <u>Frey v. Satter, Beyer & Spires.</u>, 1999 WL 301650 (N.D. Ill. May 3, 1999), <u>DeSantis v. Computer Credit, Inc.</u>, 269 f.3d 159 (2nd Cir. 2001), <u>Mejia v. Marauder Corporation.</u>, 2007 WL 806486 (N.D. Cal. 2007). (Unlawful to suggest that proof of payment required for dispute.)

#### Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 4 of 9 PageID #: 4

efforts to collect a debt from the Plaintiff were done intentionally and willfully.

- 25. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant and its employees, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages and injury, including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress, acute embarrassment and suffering for which Plaintiff should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.
- 26. The initial letter that Plaintiff received from the Defendant, stated that unless the debtor disputed the debt within thirty days the collector would assume that the debt is valid.
- 27. However, if the consumer disputes the debt within the thirty days prescribed, the debtor overcomes the assumption of validity and the debt collector cannot assume that the debt is valid and the collector must report the dispute whenever communicating about the debt.
- Since the Defendant knew that this debt was disputed by the Plaintiff, the Defendant's August 30, 2017 verification of debt was clearly erroneous.
- 29. Additionally, the letter sent along with the verification of debt was deceptive, since the letter attached should have just stated "attached is verification of the debt".
- 30. Instead, in addition, the letter falsely and misleadingly stated, "PRA, LLC has obtained and reviewed the attached documents related to this account which establish its validity".
- 31. Since the Plaintiff disputed the debt within thirty days of the initial notice, he overcame the assumption of validity and the debt collector was not allowed assume, (and certainly could not state) that the debt is valid.
- 32. In fact the FDCPA imposes a duty to report the disputed status of the debt whenever communicating about the debt.

-4-

#### Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 5 of 9 PageID #: 5

- Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 34. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 35. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 36. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 37. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 38. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 39. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. Defendant's false representations misled Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 40. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 41. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment for which he should be compensated in an amount to be

#### Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 6 of 9 PageID #: 6

established by a jury at trial.

#### AS AND FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 42. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through forty one (41) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 43. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 44. The class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about August 30, 2017; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt purportedly owed to Citibank; and (b) the collection letter was returned by the postal service as undelivered; (c) and the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(8) and 1692e(10), violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 45. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
  - A. Based on the fact that a form collection letter is at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
  - B. There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether the Defendant violated the FDCPA.

- C. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received such collection letters (*i.e.* the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of Defendant.
- D. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
- E. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection-abuse claims. The Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.
- 46. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k). The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.
- 47. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the Plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 48. Collection attempts, such as those made by the Defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

#### Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 49. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 50. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- A. Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- B. Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- C. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Woodmere, New York August 29, 2018

> /s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508) Attorney At Law Attorney for the Plaintiff 735 Central Avenue Woodmere, New York 11598 Telephone: (516) 668-6945 Email: fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein (AF-9508)

Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1 DEPT 922 9473670917082 PO BOX 4115 CONCORD CA 94524	Filed 08/30/18 Page 9 of 9 PageID #: 9
	Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC
ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED	

Re: Account Number: 8855 Seller: CITIBANK N.A. Original Creditor: CITIBANK N.A.

Total Balance as of the Date of this Letter: \$9,458.94

Dear SAMUEL Y BENCHEMHOUN:

In response to your dispute related to the above account, Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC ("PRA, LLC") has enclosed additional documentation for your review.

We have completed our investigation of your dispute concerning this account.

PRA, LLC has obtained and reviewed the attached documents related to this account, which establish its validity.

If you wish to discuss this account, please call 1-800-772-1413. Our hours of operation are 8 AM to 11 PM Mon.-Fri., 8 AM to 8 PM Sat., 11 AM to 10 PM Sun. (Eastern).

Sincerely yours,

August 30, 2017

Latilya Q James Disputes Department

www.portfoliorecovery.com

This communication is from a debt collector but is not an attempt to collect a debt. NOTICE: SEE ADDITIONAL PAGE(S) FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 CENTRAL AVENUE

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

WOODMERE NY 11598 fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

SAMUEL BENCHEMHOUN	)))))
<i>Plaintiff(s)</i>	)
v.	)
PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.	))))
Defendant(s)	)

Civil Action No.

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY **80 STATE STREET** ALBANY, NEW YORK, 12207-2543

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

#### Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 11

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	e of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	□ I personally served t	he summons on the individual a	at (place)					
	on (date) ; or							
	□ I left the summons a	usual place of abode with (name)						
		, a perso	n of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,				
	on (date)      , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or         I served the summons on (name of individual)							
	designated by law to a	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)	; or				
	$\Box$ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; c	or			
	<b>Other</b> ( <i>specify</i> ):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:								
Dute.			Server's signature		_			
			Printed name and title					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. 15 USC 1692 Fair Debt Collection Reading Adam J. Fishbein 735 Central Accase 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1-2 Filed 08/30/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 12 Woodmere, NY 11598 516 668 6945 fishbein adam of mail Com ER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SAMUEL BENCHEMHOUS				DEFENDANTS PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
I       U.S. Government         Plaintiff       X 3         Federal Question         (U.S. Government Not a Party)				(For Diversity Cases Only)     and One Box for Defendant)       PTF     DEF     PTF     DEF       Citizen of This State     1     1     Incorporated or Principal Place     1     4       of Business In This State			
2       U.S. Government Defendant       Image: 4       Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			zen of Another State				
			For	reign Country	<b>3 G</b> 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	lyNature of Suit Code E	Description	18 DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT      110 Insurance      120 Marine      130 Miller Act      140 Negotiable Instrument      150 Recovery of Overpayment     & Enforcement of Judgment      151 Medicare Act      152 Recovery of Overpayment     of Veteran's Benefits      160 Stockholders' Suits      190 Other Contract      195 Contract Product Liability      196 Franchise      REAL PROPERTY      210 Land Condemnation      220 Foreclosure      230 Rent Lease & Ejectment      245 Tort Product Liability      290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	Y         □         62           □         69           □         71           □         72           □         71           □         72           □         72           □         73           ○         79           □         46	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applicatior 5 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY            422 Appeal 28 USC 158             423 Withdrawal         28 USC 157          PROPERTY RIGHTS             820 Copyrights             830 Patent             830 Patent             830 Patent             840 Trademark             SOCIAL SECURITY             861 HIA (1395ff)             862 Black Lung (923)             863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))                 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff         or Defendant)             871 IRS—Third Party         26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES         375 False Claims Act         376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))         400 State Reapportionment         410 Antitrust         430 Banks and Banking         450 Commerce         460 Deportation         470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations         X480 Consumer Credit         490 Cable/Sat TV         850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange         890 Other Statutory Actions         891 Agricultural Acts         895 Freedom of Information Act         896 Arbitration         899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision         950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	-	Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court		stated or D 5 Transf bened Anothe	er District Litigation	n - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	<b>DN</b> Brief description of ca	-	re filing (I	(specify Do not cite jurisdictional sta	y) Transfer	Direct File	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: P: X Yes □No	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 08/30/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNEY (	DF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

#### Adam J. Fishhbein Kings ▼ Case 1:18-cv-04951 Document 1-2 Filed 08/30/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 13 **CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY**

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

\_, counsel for\_ I. is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

\_\_\_\_\_, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action

Plaintiff

~
~

None

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason class action

#### **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

#### **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge. Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)	Is the civil action be County?		led in the East Yes	stern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk No					
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Su County?  Yes  No								
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eas District? Yes No								
	c) If this is a Fair De received:	bt Coll	lection Practice	Act case, specify the Coun	ty in whi	ch the offending communication	was		
Suffolk (	If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).								
	BAR ADMISSION								
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.								
		~	Yes			No			
	Are you currently the	he sub	ject of any dis	ciplinary action (s) in this	s or any o	other state or federal court?			
			Yes	(If yes, please explain	V	No			
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.								
	Signature:								
	Print		Save As			Reset	Last Modified: 11/27/2017		

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Consumer Accuses Portfolio Recovery Associates of Misleading Debt Collection Practices</u>