

FILED
02-24-2026
Anna Maria Hodges
Clerk of Circuit Court
2025CV002444

DATE SIGNED: February 23, 2026

Electronically signed by Hon. Michael J. Hanrahan
Circuit Court Judge

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

MILWAUKEE COUNTY

| | |
|--|------------------------------|
| <p>DEBBIE DEEDER WHITAKER, JACQUELINE MORGAN, JAVEN MINELLA- FARLEY, ALAN LUNA, LINDA CHECK, and DAVID FISCHBACH, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>BELL AMBULANCE, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p> | <p>Case No. 2025CV002444</p> |
|--|------------------------------|

ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Before the Court is Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the “Motion”), the terms of which are set forth in a Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) between Plaintiffs Debbie Deeder Whitaker, Jacqueline Morgan, Javen Minella-Farley, Alan Luna, Linda Check, and David Fischbach (“Plaintiffs”) and Bell Ambulance, Inc., (“Defendant”) (together, the “Parties”) with accompanying exhibits and Plaintiffs’ Memorandum

of Law in Support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.¹ This class action case (the “Action”) arises from a cybersecurity incident that Defendant discovered on February 13, 2025 (the “Data Incident”).

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and attached exhibits and for good cause appearing, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the Motion and **ORDERS** as follows:

1. **Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only**. The Settlement Agreement provides for a Settlement Class defined as follows:

All living individuals residing in the United States whose Private Information was accessed in the Data Incident.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are all persons who are: (a) directors, officers, agents and/or representatives of Defendant, with the exception of current employees and/or former employees whose Private Information was accessed in the Data Incident; (b) the Judge assigned to the Action, that Judge’s immediate family, and Court staff; and (c) any Settlement Class Member who timely and validly opted out of the Settlement.

Pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 803.08(9), the Court finds that giving notice is justified. The Court finds that it will likely be able to approve the proposed Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court also finds that it will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for the purposes of settlement because the Settlement meets all of the requirements of Wis. Stat. § 803.08(1) and Wis. Stat. § 803.08(2)(c). Specifically, the Court finds for settlement purposes that: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members would be impracticable; (b) there are issues of law and fact that are common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Class

¹ All defined terms in this Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Preliminary Approval Order”) have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise indicated.

Representatives are typical of and arise from the same operative facts and the Class Representatives seek similar relief as the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (d) the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class as the Class Representatives have no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with the Settlement Class and have retained experienced and competent counsel to prosecute this Action on behalf of the Settlement Class; (e) questions of law or fact common to Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members; and (f) a class action and class settlement is superior to other methods available for a fair and efficient resolution of this Action.

2. **Class Representatives and Class Counsel.** The Court finds that Plaintiffs are adequate and should be preliminarily appointed as the Settlement Class Representatives. Additionally, the Court finds that Alex Phillips of Strauss Borrelli PLLC, Ken Grunfeld of Kopelowitz Ostrow Ferguson Weiselberg Gilbert, and Bart D. Cohen of Bailey Glasser, LLP are adequate and have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class and should be preliminarily appointed as Class Counsel.

3. **Preliminary Settlement Approval.** Upon preliminary review, the Court finds the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class and accordingly is preliminarily approved. In making this determination, the Court has considered the monetary and non-monetary benefits provided to the Settlement Class through the Settlement, the specific risks faced by the Settlement Class in prevailing on their claims, the good faith and arms' length negotiations between the Parties, the absence of any collusion in the Settlement, the effectiveness of the proposed method for distributing relief to the Settlement Class, the proposed manner of allocating benefits to Settlement Class Members, the equitable treatment of the Settlement Class Members under the Settlement, and all of the other

factors required by Wis. Stat. § 803.08 and Wisconsin precedent—including but not limited to (1) the strength of the case for plaintiffs on the merits, balanced against the extent of settlement offer; (2) the complexity, length, and expense of further litigation; (3) the amount of opposition to the settlement; (4) the reaction of members of the class to the settlement; (5) the opinion of competent counsel; and (6) stage of the proceedings and the amount of discovery completed.

4. **Jurisdiction**. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction over the parties before it. Additionally, venue is proper in this County.

5. **Final Approval Hearing**. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held on July 14, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., where the Court will determine, among other things, whether: (a) the Settlement Class should be finally certified for settlement purposes; (b) the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and finally approved; (c) this action should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (d) Settlement Class Members (who have not timely and validly excluded themselves from the Settlement) should be bound by the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement; (e) the application of Class Counsel for an award of Attorney Fees, Costs, and Expenses should be approved; and (f) the application of the Settlement Class Representatives for Service Awards should be approved.

6. **Settlement Administrator**. The Court appoints Simpluris as the Settlement Administrator, with responsibility for class notice and settlement administration. The Settlement Administrator is directed to perform all tasks the Settlement Agreement requires. The Settlement Administrator's fees will be paid pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

7. **Notice**. The proposed notice program set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Notices and Claim Form attached to the Settlement Agreement are hereby approved. Non-material

modifications to these Exhibits may be made by the Settlement Administrator in consultation and agreement with the Parties, but without further order of the Court.

8. **Findings Concerning Notice.** The Court finds that the proposed form, content, and method of giving Notice to the Settlement Class as described in the Settlement Agreement and the exhibits: (a) will constitute the best practicable notice to the Settlement Class; (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the proposed Settlement, and their rights under the proposed Settlement, including, but not limited to, their rights to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and other rights under the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members and other persons entitled to receive notice; (d) meet all applicable requirements of Wisconsin law; and (e) and meet the requirements of the Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution and the Wisconsin Constitution. The Court further finds that the Notice provided for in the Settlement Agreement is written in plain language, uses simple terminology, and is designed to be readily understandable by Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Administrator is directed to carry out the Notice program in conformance with the Settlement Agreement.

9. **Exclusion from Class.** Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded (i.e., “opt-out”) from the Settlement Class must individually sign and timely submit an opt-out request in the manner provided in the Settlement Agreement. The written request must clearly manifest a person’s intent to be excluded from the Settlement Class, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and must be submitted individually, i.e., one request is required for every Settlement Class Member seeking exclusion. To be effective, such requests for exclusion must be postmarked no later than the Opt-Out Deadline. If a Final Approval Order and Judgment is entered,

all Persons falling within the definition of the Settlement Class who do not timely and validly request to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall be bound by the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order and Judgment. All Persons who submit valid and timely requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall not receive any cash benefits of and/or be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

10. **Objections and Appearances.** A Settlement Class Member desiring to object to the Settlement Agreement may submit a timely written objection by the Objection Deadline in the manner provided in the Settlement Agreement. The Notice shall advise Settlement Class Members of the deadline for submission of any objections—the “Objection Deadline.” Any such objections to the Settlement Agreement must be written and must include all the information required by the Settlement Agreement. To be timely, written notice of an objection must be filed with the Court, and sent by U.S. Mail to Class Counsel, Defendant’s Counsel, and the Settlement Administrator. by the Objection Deadline. Any Settlement Class Member who fails to comply with the requirements for objecting shall waive and forfeit any and all rights he or she may have to appear separately and/or to object to the Settlement Agreement, shall be bound by all the terms of the Settlement Agreement and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Action, and shall be precluded from seeking any review of the Settlement Agreement and/or Final Approval Order and Judgment by appeal or other means. The provisions stated in the Settlement Agreement shall be the exclusive means for any challenge to the Settlement Agreement. Any challenge to the Settlement Agreement, the final order approving this Settlement Agreement, or the Final Order and Judgment to be entered upon final approval shall be pursuant to appeal under the Wisconsin Rules of Appellate Procedure and not through a collateral attack.

11. **Claims Process.** Class Counsel and Defendant have created a process for Settlement Class Members to claim benefits under the Settlement. The Court preliminarily approves this process and directs the Settlement Administrator to make the Claim Form or its substantial equivalent available to Settlement Class Members in the manner specified in the Notice. The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for effectuating the claims process. Settlement Class Members who qualify for and wish to submit a Claim Form shall do so in accordance with the requirement and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form. If the Final Order and Judgment is entered, all Settlement Class Members who qualify for any benefit under the Settlement but fail to submit a claim in accordance with the requirements and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form shall be forever barred from receiving any such benefit, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions in the Final Order and Judgment, including the releases contained therein.

12. **Termination of Settlement.** This Preliminary Approval Order shall become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing before the Court entered this Preliminary Approval Order and before they entered the Settlement Agreement, if: (a) the Court does not enter this Preliminary Approval Order; (b) Settlement is not finally approved by the Court or is terminated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement; or (c) there is no Effective Date. In such event, (i) the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action prior to execution of the Settlement Agreement and shall jointly request that all scheduled Action deadlines be reasonably extended by the Court so as to avoid prejudice to any Party or their counsel; (ii) the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and shall not be used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and (iii) any judgment or

order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*.

13. **Use of Order**. This Preliminary Approval Order shall be of no force or effect if the Final Order and Judgment is not entered or there is no Effective Date and shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, liability, or propriety of certifying any class. Nor shall this Preliminary Approval Order be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against the Class Representatives or any other Settlement Class Member that his or her claims lack merit or that the relief requested is inappropriate, improper, unavailable, or as a waiver by any Party of any defense or claims they may have in this Action or in any other lawsuit.

14. **Continuance of Hearing**. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing and related deadlines without further written notice to the Settlement Class. If the Court alters any of those dates or times, the revised dates and times shall be posted on the Settlement Website maintained by the Settlement Administrator. The Court may approve the Settlement, with such modifications as may be agreed upon by the Parties, if appropriate, without further notice to the Settlement Class.

15. **Stay of Litigation**. All proceedings in the Action, other than those related to approval of the Settlement Agreement, are hereby stayed. Further, any actions brought by Settlement Class Members concerning the Released Claims are hereby enjoined and stayed pending Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement.

16. **Schedule and Deadlines**. The Court orders the following schedule of dates for the specified actions/further proceedings

| Event | Deadline |
|--|---|
| Defendant provides class list to the Settlement Administrator | Within thirty (30) days of the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order |
| Notice Date | Within sixty (60) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order |
| Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval and Attorney Fees, Costs, and Service Awards | Forty-five (45) days before the initial scheduled Final Approval Hearing |
| Objection Deadline | Thirty (30) days before the initial scheduled Final Approval Hearing |
| Opt-Out Deadline | Thirty (30) days before the initial scheduled Final Approval Hearing |
| Claims Deadline | Fifteen (15) days before the initial scheduled Final Approval Hearing |
| Final Approval Hearing | No earlier than one hundred and twenty (120) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order |