	Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1	Filec	1 09/11/17	Page 1 of 14		
1	JOSEPH W. COTCHETT (SBN 36324)					
2	(jcotchett@cpmlegal.com) MARK C. MOLUMPHY (SBN 168009)					
3	(mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com) ALEXANDRA P. SUMMER (SBN 266485)					
4	(asummer@cpmlegal.com) GWENDOLYN R. GIBLIN (SBN 181973)					
5	(ggiblin@cpmlegal.com) TORIANA S. HOLMES (SBN 282600)					
6	(tholmes@cpmlegal.com) COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP					
7	San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200					
8	Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000					
9	Facsimile: (650) 697-0577					
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
11	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DIS	STRICT CO	OURT		
	11 Image: For the northern district of california 12 Image: San Francisco division 13 Image: San Francisco division					
13						
15	MATTHEW BELDEN, Individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated	Case No.				
16	California citizens,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:				
17	Plaintiffs,	1.	NEGLI	GENCE;		
18	v.	2.		TION OF CALIFORNIA CODE § 1798.80, et seq.;		
19	EQUIFAX INC., a Georgia Corporation,	3.		TION OF CALIFORNIA'S		
20 21	Defendant.	5.		R COMPETITION LAW;		
22		4.	UNJUS	T ENRICHMENT.		
23						
24			DEMAN	D FOR JURY TRIAL		
25						
26						
27						
28						
Law Offices Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT					

	Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 2 of 14
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	Page
3	I. INTRODUCTION
4	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE
5	III. INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT2
6	IV. PARTIES
7	V. FACTUAL BACKGROUND
8	A. Equifax Is In The Business Of Collecting Consumers' Private Information3
9	B. Equifax Maintains a Porous Cybersecurity Infrastructure and Lax Investigative
10	Remedial Measures
11	C. Equifax's Officers Delay Disclosing the Hack In Order to Trade Stock Based on
12	Their Non-Public Knowledge
13	VI. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS
14	COUNT ONE NEGLIGENCE
15	
16	COUNT TWO VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1798.80, <i>ET SEQ</i>
17	COUNT THREE
18	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, <i>ET SEQ</i>
19	
20	COUNT FOUR UNJUST ENRICHMENT
21	PRAYER FOR RELIEF11
22	
23	JURY DEMAND12
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 Law Offices	
Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT i

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 3 of 14

1

I.

INTRODUCTION

This class action arises from one of the most pervasive data breaches in history.
 Preying on the lax online security barriers of Defendant Equifax Inc. ("Equifax"), hackers stole
 personal information from *143 million* Equifax user accounts, including the Equifax records of
 Plaintiff Matthew Belden ("Plaintiff").

2. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of a Class of *California citizens* whose personal information was stolen due to Equifax's failure to create and implement
the proper security mechanisms to safeguard its customers' personal information.
Approximately *17 million* California citizens' information has been compromised by Equifax.

10 3. Equifax, one of the three major consumer credit reporting agencies, was hacked and data of these consumers was stolen as a result of Equifax's conduct (the "Hack"). The Hack 11 12 occurred during mid-May through July 2017 and Equifax discovered the Hack on July 29, 2017. 13 However, Equifax waited more than a month from the end of the Hack — until September 7, 2017 — to advise affected users that their private, personal information had been compromised. 14 15 It was not until September 7, 2017 that Equifax disclosed *for the first time* that a website application vulnerability allowed hackers to breach past and current users' personal information, 16 17 including names, Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses, and in some instances 18 *driver's license numbers*. In addition, credit card numbers for approximately 209,000 U.S. 19 users, and certain dispute documents with personal identifying information for approximately 20 182,000 U.S. users, were accessed. Equifax concealed the data breach, while at least three 21 executive officers profited from selling thousands of shares of Equifax stock in the days 22 following discovery of the breach.

23 24

25

26

27

28

4. The Hack is one of the largest ever and is the third major cybersecurity threat for Equifax since 2015. Despite a panoply of recent cyber-attacks and industry-wide warnings that Equifax must take active steps to improve its cyber security and data breach detection protocol, Equifax failed on multiple fronts to properly secure the personal information of its users.
Equifax failed to create and implement proper security protocols to prevent and detect unauthorized breaches of its information security systems. Likewise, Equifax failed to

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 4 of 14

implement standard internet technology safeguards, amongst other failures.

2 5. As a direct result of Equifax's porous cybersecurity, Plaintiff, individually and on 3 behalf of the Class of California citizens, has been damaged. This class action lawsuit follows.

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

II.

1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because: (a) this matter was brought as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23; (b) the class (as defined below) has more than 100 members; (c) the amount at issue exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs; and (d) at least one proposed Class member is a citizen of a state different from Equifax.

9 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Equifax because Equifax transacts 10 substantial business in this judicial district.

8. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because, inter alia, Equifax regularly conducts substantial business in this district and is therefore subject to personal jurisdiction, and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the Complaint arose in this district.

15 9. This action is not subject to arbitration. Equifax states on its website: "NO WAIVER OF RIGHTS FOR THIS CYBERSECURITY INCIDENT - In response to consumer inquiries, we have made it clear that the arbitration clause and class action waiver included in the Equifax and TrustedID Premier terms of use does not apply to this cybersecurity incident." (See 19 https://www.equifaxsecurity2017.com/)

20 21

22

23

24

III.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

10. Assignment to the San Francisco Division is appropriate under Local Civil Rule 3-2 because the actions that gave rise to the claims in this Complaint arose, in large part, in San Francisco County.

IV. **PARTIES**

25 Plaintiff Matthew Belden is a natural person, California citizen, and resident of 11. 26 Laguna Beach, California. Plaintiff Belden is one of the approximately 143 million Equifax 27 users — including an estimated 17 million California citizens — whose personal information 28

Law Offices COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 5 of 14

was compromised because Equifax did not take reasonable steps to secure such information.

2 12. Defendant Equifax is a Georgia incorporated company headquartered at 1550
3 Peach Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia. Equifax is a member of the S&P 500®, and its common
4 stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol EFX.

5

6

7

V.

1

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. <u>Equifax Is In The Business Of Collecting Consumers' Private</u> <u>Information</u>

8
9
13. Equifax's website reveals how problematic the Hack is when the Company's
business is collecting users' private information: "Your credit history is a lot like a fingerprint:
Everyone's credit history is unique, and no one's looks exactly the same." The credit reports
Equifax produce are used by mortgage lenders, banks, credit card companies, retailers, and
others who extend credit to users. Equifax is one of three major credit bureaus in the United
States used for this purpose.

14
 14. Equifax compiles all data about a particular consumer to provide a thorough credit
 report about the individual. Equifax can also provide data analysis so users or lenders can better
 understand a particular user's history.

16

17

B.

Equifax Maintains a Porous Cybersecurity Infrastructure and Lax Investigative Remedial Measures

18 15. The hackers gained access to certain files in the company's system from mid19 May to July and exploited a weak point in the website software.

16. To date, Equifax has provided only a vague description of how the Hack occurred,
attributing it to "criminals" who "exploited a U.S. website application vulnerability." However,
as additional information becomes available, it is increasingly apparent that Equifax is pointing
fingers at "criminals" to deflect attention from its own reckless conduct that permitted the Hack.
The Hack was possible due to a *known* vulnerability in Equifax's web server software.

25

27

28

17. Equifax uses Apache Struts software.¹ Apache Struts is a free, open-source MVC

26

¹ AnnaMaria Andriotis, Robert McMillan, and Christina Rexrode, "Equifax Comes Under Attach For Data Breach," *The Wall Street Journal* (Sept. 9-10) at B1-B2.

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 6 of 14

(model-view-controller) framework for creating Java web applications.² In early March 2017, 1 2 security researchers publicly disclosed a bug in the Apache Struts software.

3 18. The vulnerability allowed remote users to access and gain significant control of 4 web servers using the Apache Struts software. On or about March 9, 2017, the Apache Software 5 Foundation issued Security Bulletin S2-045 titled "Possible Remote Code Execution when 6 performing file upload based on Jakarta Multipart parser" (the "Security Bulletin").

7 19. The Security Bulletin identified the vulnerability as "Critical" — the highest 8 security rating. It indicated that the affected software included Struts versions 2.3.5 through 9 2.3.31 and versions 2.5 through 2.5.10. The fix for the problem was to "upgrade to Struts 2.3.32 10 or Struts 2.5.10.1." Complete details on how to upgrade to those versions was readily available, free of charge, on the Apache Foundation Software Foundation website at 11 12 https://struts.apache.org/docs/s2-045.html.

13 20. Rather than immediately taking steps to protect against the vulnerability, it appears that Equifax continued to operate without updating to the latest version of the Apache 14 15 Struts software. Equifax's decision not to immediately address the known and highly-publicized 16 vulnerability irresponsibly left open a back door for hackers steal users' confidential information.

17 21. Pamela Dixon, executive director of the World Privacy Forum, said of the breach, 18 "This is about as bad as it gets.... If you have a credit report, chances are you may be in this 19 breach. The chances are much better than 50 percent."

20 22. The hackers gained access to certain files in the company's system from mid-May 21 to July and exploited a weak point in the website software. In addition to the social security 22 numbers and driver's license numbers, other information compromised was names, date of birth 23 and addresses. Credit card numbers for 209,000 consumers were stolen, while documents with 24 personal information used in disputes for 182,000 people were also taken. Experts are saying the 25 severity of the Equifax attack is potentially worse than any in history because the hackers were 26 able to siphon more personal information — the keys that unlock consumers' medical histories,

- 27
- 28

Law Offices COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP

² Apache Struts website, https://struts.apache.org/

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 7 of 14

bank accounts and employee accounts.

2 23. Cybersecurity professionals have previously criticized Equifax for not improving
3 its security practices. Last year, identity thieves successfully made off with critical W-2 tax and
4 salary data from an Equifax website. And earlier this year, thieves again stole W-2 tax data from
5 an Equifax subsidiary, TALX, which provides online payroll, tax and human resources services
6 to some of the nation's largest corporations.

7 24. Equifax also houses much of the data that is supposed to be a backstop against
8 security breaches. The company offers a service that provides companies with the questions and
9 answers needed for their account recovery in the event customers lose access to their accounts.
10 Patrick Harding, chief technology officer at Ping Identity, said, "If that information is breached,
11 you've lost your backstop..."

12 25. Furthermore, Equifax's Privacy Policy affirmatively represents that it is
13 "committed to protecting the security of [users'] information through procedures and technology
14 designed for this purpose," and promises that "Before we provide [users] access to [their] credit
15 file disclosure, we verify [their] identity." Personal information is information about users that is
16 personally identifiable, even including users' name, address, email address, or phone number,
17 and that is not otherwise publicly available.

18 26. Notwithstanding Equifax's lip service to cybersecurity and privacy, Equifax has
19 in reality implemented ineffective cybersecurity measures and demonstrated a reticence to taking
20 appropriate investigative and remedial action when the Hack was brought to its attention.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

С.

1

<u>Equifax's Officers Delay Disclosing the Hack In Order to Trade</u> <u>Stock Based on Their Non-Public Knowledge</u>

27. In the days following discovery of the breach, and well before making any public disclosure, at least three Equifax executives profited by trading on the undisclosed information.

28. Equifax has stated that it discovered the Hack on July 29, 2017. Three days later,
Equifax CFO John Gamble sold 6,500 shares, the President of Equifax's U.S. Information
Solutions business unit sold 4,000 shares, and the President of another business unit Rodolpho
Ploder sold 1,719 shares. The stock was sold for approximately \$146 per share, reaping gross

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 8 of 14

proceeds of approximately \$1,784,000 for these three executives.

VI. <u>CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS</u>

1

2

29. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3), Plaintiff
brings this action individually and on behalf of a class defined as follows: *All California citizens whose personal information was compromised by the Hack disclosed by Equifax on September*7, 2017.

7 30. Plaintiff is a member of the proposed Class of California citizens he seeks to
8 represent.

9 31. This action is brought and may properly be maintained as a class action pursuant
10 to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). This action satisfies the procedural requirements set forth in FED. R.
11 CIV. P. 23.

12 32. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class Members. Plaintiff and all
13 Class Members were damaged by the same wrongful practices of Defendant.

14 33. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class
15 of California citizens. The interests of Plaintiff are coincident with, and not antagonistic to,
16 those of the Class of California citizens.

17 34. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action18 litigation.

19 35. Members of the Class of California citizens are so numerous that joinder is
20 impracticable. Plaintiff believes that there are millions of California citizens in the Class.

36. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate over
questions that may affect only individual Class Members, because Defendant has acted on
grounds generally applicable to the entire Class. Thus, determining damages with respect to the
Class of California citizens as a whole is appropriate.

37. There are substantial questions of law and fact common to the Class consisting of
California citizens. The questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

28 Law Offices

27

 a. Whether Defendant failed to employ reasonable and industry-standard measures to secure and safeguard its users' personal information;

COTCHETT, PITRE & CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

	Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 9 of 14
1	b. Whether Defendant properly implemented and maintained security measures to
2	protect its users' personal information;
3	c. Whether Defendant's cybersecurity failures harmed the personal information of
4	California citizens whose information was accessed by criminals or third parties
5	who sought to gain financially from its improper use;
6	d. Whether Defendant negligently failed to properly secure and protect the personal
7	information of California citizens;
8	e. Whether Plaintiff and other members of the Class of California citizens are
9	entitled to injunctive relief; and
10	f. Whether Plaintiff and other members of the Class of California citizens are
11	entitled to damages and the measure of such damages.
12	38. Class action treatment is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication
13	of the controversy. Such treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated individuals to
14	prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the
15	unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, or expense that numerous individual actions would
16	engender. Plaintiff knows of no special difficulty maintaining this action that would preclude its
17	maintenance as a class action on behalf of California citizens.
18	<u>COUNT ONE</u>
19	NEGLIGENCE
20	(Plaintiff individually and All Class Members)
21	39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set
22	forth herein.
23	40. Equifax had an affirmative duty to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding and
24	protecting the personal information of its users. By maintaining their personal information in a
25	database that was accessible through the Internet, Equifax owed Plaintiff and Class Members a
26	duty of care to employ reasonable Internet security measures to protect this information.
27	41. Equifax, with reckless disregard for the safety and security of users' personal
28	information it was entrusted with, breached the duty of care owed to Plaintiff and the Class by
Law Offices TCHETT, PITRE &	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 7

COTCHETT, PITR MCCARTHY, LLP

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 10 of 14

failing to implement reasonable security measures to protect its users' sensitive personal
 information. In failing to employ these basic and well-known Internet security measures,
 Equifax departed from the reasonable standard of care and violated its duty to protect the
 personal information of Plaintiff and all Class Members. Equifax further breached its duty of
 care by allowing the breach to continue undetected and unimpeded for a period of time after the
 hackers first gained access to Defendant's systems.

7 42. The unauthorized access to the personal information of Plaintiff and all Class
8 Members was reasonably foreseeable to Equifax.

9 43. Neither Plaintiff nor other Class Members contributed to the security breach or
10 Equifax's employment of insufficient and below-industry security measures to safeguard
11 personal information.

12 44. It was foreseeable that Equifax's failure to exercise reasonable care in protecting
13 personal information of its users would result in Plaintiff and the other Class Members suffering
14 damages related to the loss of their personal information.

As a direct and proximate result of Equifax's reckless conduct, Plaintiff and Class 15 45. Members were damaged. Plaintiff and Class members suffered injury through the public 16 17 disclosure of their personal information, the unauthorized access to accounts containing 18 additional personal information, and through the heightened risk of unauthorized persons stealing 19 additional personal information. Plaintiff and Class Members have also incurred the cost of 20 taking measures to identify and safeguard accounts put at risk by disclosure of the personal 21 information stolen from Equifax. 22 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for relief as set forth below.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1798.80, *ET SEQ*. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members)

26 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set
27 forth herein.

47. California Civil Code § 1798.80 et seq. (the "Customer Records Act") requires

Law Offices Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP

23

24

25

28

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 11 of 14

	1	
1	any person co	onducting business in California and owning computerized data to disclose data
2	breaches to a	ffected users if the breach exposed unencrypted personal information.
3	48.	The Customer Records Act also requires that the notice be made in the most
4	expedient tim	ne possible without any unreasonable delay.
5	49.	Equifax failed to notify users of the Hack in an expedient fashion.
6	50.	The Hack qualifies as a "breach of security system" of Equifax within the
7	meaning of C	Civil Code § 1798.82(g).
8	51.	Equifax is liable to Plaintiff and the Class Members for \$500.00 pursuant to Civil
9	Code § 1798.	.84(c), or up to \$3,000.00 per class member if Equifax's actions are deemed willful,
10	intentional, a	nd/or reckless.
11	52.	Equifax is also liable for Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant
12	to Civil Code	e § 1798.84(g).
13	WHER	EFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for relief as set forth below.
14		COUNT THREE
15	VIOLATI	ON OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, <i>ET</i>
15 16	VIOLATI	ON OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, <i>ET</i> <i>SEQ</i> .
	VIOLATI	
16	VIOLATI 53.	SEQ.
16 17 18		<i>SEQ</i> . (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members)
16 17 18	53.	<i>SEQ</i> . (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members)
16 17 18 19	53. forth herein. 54.	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set
16 17 18 19 20	53. forth herein. 54.	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") is designed to protect consumers
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	53. forth herein. 54. from illegal, 55.	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") is designed to protect consumers fraudulent, and unfair business practices.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	53. forth herein. 54. from illegal, 55. personal info	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") is designed to protect consumers fraudulent, and unfair business practices. Equifax's practice of representing that it adequately protected users' financial and
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	53. forth herein. 54. from illegal, 55. personal info order to cut c	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") is designed to protect consumers fraudulent, and unfair business practices. Equifax's practice of representing that it adequately protected users' financial and rmation, while Equifax in fact employed lax and ineffective security measures in
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	53. forth herein. 54. from illegal, 55. personal info order to cut c Equifax cont	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") is designed to protect consumers fraudulent, and unfair business practices. Equifax's practice of representing that it adequately protected users' financial and rmation, while Equifax in fact employed lax and ineffective security measures in osts, is a deceptive business practice within the meaning of the UCL. In fact,
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	53. forth herein. 54. from illegal, 55. personal info order to cut c Equifax cont	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") is designed to protect consumers fraudulent, and unfair business practices. Equifax's practice of representing that it adequately protected users' financial and rmation, while Equifax in fact employed lax and ineffective security measures in osts, is a deceptive business practice within the meaning of the UCL. In fact, inues to employ lax and ineffective security measures as to the non-public, financial
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	53. forth herein. 54. from illegal, 55. personal info order to cut c Equifax cont and personal	SEQ. (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") is designed to protect consumers fraudulent, and unfair business practices. Equifax's practice of representing that it adequately protected users' financial and rmation, while Equifax in fact employed lax and ineffective security measures in osts, is a deceptive business practice within the meaning of the UCL. In fact, inues to employ lax and ineffective security measures as to the non-public, financial

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 12 of 14

1	also a deceptive business practice within the meaning of the UCL, because users reasonably	
2	expect to be notified if their non-public, financial and personal information is compromised.	
3	57. Equifax's practices are unfair because they allowed Equifax to profit while	
4	simultaneously exposing Equifax users, such as Plaintiff, to harm in the form of an increased risk	k
5	of having their personal information stolen, which in fact occurred: the Hack. Such harm was	
6	not foreseeable to Equifax's users, who expected Equifax to employ industry-standard security	
7	measures, including cybersecurity firewalls to prevent a hack and investigative tools to timely	
8	discover one, and to promptly disclose any data breach.	
9	58. Equifax's deceptive business practices induced Plaintiff and the Class to use	
10	Equifax's services and provide personal information to Equifax.	
11	59. As a direct result of Equifax's deceptive business practices, Plaintiff and the Clas	s
12	have been and are being damaged.	
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for relief as set forth below.	
14	<u>COUNT FOUR</u>	
15	UNJUST ENRICHMENT	
15 16	UNJUST ENRICHMENT (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members)	
		. ,
16	(Plaintiff individually and All Class Members)	
16 17	(Plaintiff individually and All Class Members)60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set	
16 17 18	(Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.	
16 17 18 19	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the 	
16 17 18 19 20	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the adequacy of its data security practices, Plaintiff and Class Members were induced to provide 	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the adequacy of its data security practices, Plaintiff and Class Members were induced to provide Equifax with their non-public, financial and personal information. 	;
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the adequacy of its data security practices, Plaintiff and Class Members were induced to provide Equifax with their non-public, financial and personal information. 62. Equifax derived substantial revenues due to Plaintiff and the Class Members 	;
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the adequacy of its data security practices, Plaintiff and Class Members were induced to provide Equifax with their non-public, financial and personal information. 62. Equifax derived substantial revenues due to Plaintiff and the Class Members using Equifax's services, which maintained their non-public, financial and personal information. 	;
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the adequacy of its data security practices, Plaintiff and Class Members were induced to provide Equifax with their non-public, financial and personal information. 62. Equifax derived substantial revenues due to Plaintiff and the Class Members using Equifax's services, which maintained their non-public, financial and personal information. 	;
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the adequacy of its data security practices, Plaintiff and Class Members were induced to provide Equifax with their non-public, financial and personal information. 62. Equifax derived substantial revenues due to Plaintiff and the Class Members using Equifax's services, which maintained their non-public, financial and personal information. 63. In addition, Equifax saved on the substantial cost of providing adequate data 	;
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 (Plaintiff individually and All Class Members) 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 61. As a result of Equifax's misleading representations and omissions concerning the adequacy of its data security practices, Plaintiff and Class Members were induced to provide Equifax with their non-public, financial and personal information. 62. Equifax derived substantial revenues due to Plaintiff and the Class Members using Equifax's services, which maintained their non-public, financial and personal information. 63. In addition, Equifax saved on the substantial cost of providing adequate data security to Plaintiff and the Class. Equifax's cost savings came at the direct expense of the 	;

	Case	5:17-cv-05260-BLF Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 13 of 14
1	64.	Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged and continue to be damaged by
2	Equifax's a	actions, and Equifax has been unjustly enriched thereby.
3	65.	Plaintiff and the Class are therefore entitled to damages as a result of Equifax's
4	unjust enrie	chment, including the disgorgement of all revenue received and costs saved by
5	Equifax as	a result of the Hack.
6	WH	IEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for relief as set forth below.
7		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
8	WH	IEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class of California citizens,
9	respectfull	y requests that the Court:
10	A.	Determine that this action may be maintained as a class action pursuant to Federal
11		Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3);
12	B.	Direct that reasonable notice of this action, as provided by Federal Rule of Civil
13		Procedure 23(c)(2), be given to the Class;
14	C.	Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative;
15	D.	Appoint Plaintiff's counsel as Class Counsel;
16	E.	Enter judgment against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff and the Class;
17	F.	Adjudge and decree that the acts alleged herein by Plaintiff and the Class against
18		Defendant constitute negligence, violation of California Civil Code § 1798.80, et
19		seq., violation of California's Unfair Competition Law, and unjust enrichment;
20	G.	Award all compensatory and statutory damages to Plaintiff and the Class in an
21		amount to be determined at trial;
22	H.	Award restitution, including the disgorgement of all revenue received and costs
23		saved by Equifax as a result of the Hack, payable to Plaintiff and the Class;
24	I.	Award punitive damages, including treble and/or exemplary damages, in an
25		appropriate amount;
26	J.	Enter an injunction permanently barring continuation of the conduct complained of
27		herein, and mandating that Defendant and any successors in interest, be required to
28		
s		

Law Offices MCCARTHY, LLP

	Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF	Document 1 Filed 09/11/17 Page 14 of 14
1	adopt and implemen	t appropriate systems, controls, policies and procedures to
2	protect the non-publ	ic, financial and personal information of Plaintiff and the Class;
3	K. Award Plaintiff and	the Class the costs incurred in this action together with
4	reasonable attorneys	' fees and expenses, including any necessary expert fees as well
5	as pre-judgment and	post-judgment interest; and
6	L. Grant such other and	l further relief as is necessary to correct for the effects of
7	Defendant's unlawfu	al conduct and as the Court deems just and proper.
8	Dated: September 11, 2017	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
9		
10		/s/ Mark C. Molumphy MARK C. MOLUMPHY
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12		
13		JURY DEMAND
14	Plaintiff respectfully dem	nands trial by jury on all issues so triable.
15	Dated: September 11, 2017	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
16		
17		<u>/s/ Mark C. Molumphy</u> MARK C. MOLUMPHY
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27 28		
Law Offices Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	12

Case 5:17-cv-05260-BLF Pocument 1 5 Filed 09/11/17 Page 1 of 1 JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 06/17)

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

) PLAINTIFFS tthew Belden, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated Ca zens,	lifornia	DEFENDAN EQUIFAX IN		leorgi	a Corporation		
(b)	County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Reside (IN U.S. PLAINTIF	FF CASES ON	LY)			
840 N	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) hett Pitre & McCarthy, LLP (650) 697-6000 Malcolm Road, Ste. 200, ngame, CA 94010		NOTE: IN LAN THE TR Attorneys (If Know	RACT OF LA	NATION ND INVO	CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF DEVED.	÷	
II.	BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCI	PAL PA	ARTIES (Place an "X" in One Bo and One Box for Defend		aintiff
1	U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	PTF × 1	DEF	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	PTF 4	DEF 4
2	U.S. Government Defendant × 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	2	2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	× 5
	(indicate Cutzensnip of 1 arties in them in)	Citize	en or Subject of a gn Country	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6

EQDEEITUDE/DENALTV

DANKDUDTCV

OTHED STATUTES

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TODIE

CONTRACT	ТО	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	RTS PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury – Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS HABEAS CORPUS 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty OTHER 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee- Conditions of	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of Stat Statutes	
VII. REQUESTED I COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY (See instr	 Removed from 3 II State Court State Court a te the U.S. Civil Statute under ief description of cause: Iegligence; Violation of C N ✓ CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER RULE 23, Fee EE(S), UDGE 	Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court which vou are filing (Do not cide cal Civil Code sec. 1798.8 CLASS ACTION L. R. Civ. P.	Another District te iurisdictional statutes unless di 0, et seq.; Unfair Compet	(specify) Litigation–Trans	richment	
IA. DIVISIONAL A (Place an "X" in One Box O	(ANCISCO/OAKLAND	SAN JOSI	E EUREKA-	MCKINLEYVILLE	
DATE 09/11/2017	SIGNAT	URE OF ATTORNEY (OF RECORD /s/ M	Iark C. Molumphy		