UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

JOSSETTE BAUER, Individually and) Case No.: 19-cv-2
on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,)
v.	j
) Jury Trial Demanded
MESSERLI & KRAMER, P.A. and	
JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC,)
Defendants.)

INTRODUCTION

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (the "FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, and 1337. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendants directed their collection efforts into the District.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Jossette Bauer is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).
- 4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), in that Defendants sought to collect from Plaintiff debts allegedly incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, namely medical debts.
- 5. Each Plaintiff is also a "customer" as defined in the WCA, Wis. Stat. § 421.301(17), in that the alleged debt allegedly arose from a consumer transaction that included agreements to defer payment.

- 6. Defendant Messerli & Kramer, PA ("Messerli") is a law firm with its principal place of business located at 100 S. 5th Street, 1400 Fifth Street Towers, Minneapolis, MN 55402-5540.
- 7. Messerli is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.
- 8. Messerli is engaged in the business of collecting debts owed to others and incurred for personal, family or household purposes.
 - 9. Messerli is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.
- 10. Defendant Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC ("Jefferson") is a foreign limited liability company and debt collection agency with its principal place of business located at 16 McLeland Road, St. Cloud, Minnesota 56303.
- 11. Jefferson is engaged in the business of collecting debts, both owed to others and acquired after default, and incurred for personal, family or household purposes.
- 12. The FDCPA defines a "debt" as "any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment."
- 13. The FDCPA defines a "debt collector" as "any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, *or* who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) (emphasis added); *see*, *e.g.*, *Tepper v. Amos Fin.*, *LLC*, 898 F.3d 364, 371 (3d Cir. 2018) ("In sum, Amos may be one tough gazookus when it attempts to collect the defaulted debts it has purchased, but when its conduct

crosses the lines prescribed by the FDCPA, it opens itself up to the Act's penalties."); *Kurtzman v. Nationstar Mortg. LLC*, No. 16 17236, 2017 U.S. App. LEXIS 19750, at *6-7 (11th Cir. Oct. 10, 2017); *Skinner v. LVNV Funding LLC*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2812, at *7-8 (N.D. Ill. Jan 8, 2018); *Mitchell v. LVNV Funding LLC*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 206440, at *7-12 (N.D. Ind. Dec. 15, 2017); *Torres v. LVNV Funding LLC*. 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49885, at *13-15 (N.D. Ill Mar. 27, 2018); *Hordgev. First Nat'l Collection Bureau, Inc.*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 132435, at *12-13 (S.D. Tex. Aug. 7, 2018); *Meola v. Asset Recovery Solutions*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 139101, at *13-18 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 15, 2018).

- 14. The primary purpose of Jefferson's business, and Jefferson's principal purpose, is the collection of consumer debts. *See, eg. Mitchell v. LVNV Funding, LLC*, No. 2:12-CV-523-TLS, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 206440 *16 (N.D. Ind. Dec. 15, 2017) ("'[t]here is no business purpose in purchasing charged off debts if the ultimate goal is not to collect them,' and that '[d]ebt buyers don't buy debts to use them as wallpaper, but to turn them into money'" (citing Pl.'s Reply Br.)); *Torres*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49885, at *12-15 ("Because [the debt buyer's] principal (indeed, only) business purpose is to purchase debts and then collect on them, they clearly qualify as debt-collectors under the first definition.").
 - 15. Jefferson's website contains an "About Jefferson Capital" webpage, which states:
 - Founded in 2002, Jefferson Capital is one of the nation's leading purchasers and servicers of consumer charged off and bankruptcy receivables including both secured and unsecured assets. Jefferson Capital's growing client base includes Fortune 500 creditors, banks, telecommunications providers, credit card issuers, private student loan originators, and auto finance companies. Jefferson Capital is headquartered in St. Cloud, Minnesota with additional operations in Minneapolis, Minnesota, Denver, Colorado and Basingstoke, United Kingdom.

http://www.jeffersoncapitalinternational.com/us/about-jefferson-capital.html (accessed December 31, 2018).

- 16. In addition to telephone and mail-based debt collection activities, Jefferson is a frequent litigant in Wisconsin courts. A general search on Wisconsin Circuit Court Access ("CCAP") for Jefferson returns the error message: "Your request could not be processed. Your search has returned more than 5000 rows. Please try again."
- 17. CCAP shows that Jefferson *filed* 52 civil and small claims actions in Milwaukee County in November 2018 alone. Upon information and belief, virtually all or actually all of those cases are collection actions against Wisconsin consumers.
 - 18. Jefferson is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.
- 19. A company meeting the definition of a "debt collector" (here, Jefferson) is vicariously liable for the actions of a second company collecting debts on its behalf. *Janetos v. Fulton Friedman & Gullace, LLP*, 825 F.3d 317, 325-26 (7th Cir. 2016) (assignees who are "debt collectors" are responsible for the actions of those collecting on their behalf); *citing Pollice*, 225 F.3d at 404-05.

FACTS

- 20. On or about January 11, 2018, Messerli mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff Bauer regarding this alleged debt owed to "Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC," with and "Original Creditor" listed as "Comenity Bank." A copy of this letter is attached to this complaint as <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 21. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt reference in <u>Exhibit A</u> was incurred by use of a Meijer-branded credit card, used only for personal, family, and household purposes.

- 22. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff Bauer inserted by computer.
- 23. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form debt collection letter used by Messerli to attempt to collect alleged debts.
- 24. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is the first written communication Messerli mailed to Plaintiff regarding the alleged debt referenced in Exhibit A.
- 25. Exhibit A contains the statutory validation notice that the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, requires the debt collector mail the alleged debtor along with, or within five days of, the initial communication:

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Unless you notify us within 30 days after receipt of this letter that the validity of this debt, or any portion of it, is disputed, we will assume that the debt is valid. If you notify us in writing within thirty days after receipt of this notice that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you and mail a copy of such verification or judgment to you. Also, upon your written request within 30 days after receipt of this letter, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.

- 26. Exhibit A also indicates that the balance of the alleged debt is \$1,192.11.
- 27. Almost a year earlier, however, on or about January 26, 2017, another debt collector, Diversified Consultants, Inc. ("Diversified"), had mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff regarding this same alleged debt. A copy of this letter is attached to this complaint as Exhibit B.
- 28. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit B</u> is also a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff Bauer inserted by computer
- 29. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit B</u> is also a form debt collection letter used by Diversified to attempt to collect alleged debts.
- 30. Unlike <u>Exhibit A</u>, which indicates that the balance of the alleged debt is \$1,192.11, Exhibit B indicates that the "Current Balance" is \$1,124.06.

- 31. Additionally, on or about April 14, 2017, Diversified mailed another debt collection letter to Plaintiff, again regarding this same alleged debt. A copy of this letter is attached to this complaint as Exhibit C.
- 32. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit C</u> is another form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff Bauer inserted by computer
- 33. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit C</u> is another form debt collection letter used by Diversified to attempt to collect alleged debts.
- 34. Like <u>Exhibit B</u>, and unlike <u>Exhibit A</u>, <u>Exhibit C</u> indicates that the "Current Balance" is \$1,124.06 rather than \$1,192.11.
- 35. Exhibits A, B, and C are all collecting the same alleged debt, each letter referenced debt purportedly owed to Jefferson with account number ending in 3728.
- 36. Despite collecting the same alleged debt, <u>Exhibit A</u>, sent almost a year later than Exhibits B and C, indicates that the balance of the alleged debt is \$68.05 greater.
- 37. Upon information and belief, the differing amounts of the alleged debt letter is due to the addition of interest; the alleged debt at issue had been closed and charged-off for some time at the time the initial letter had been sent.
- 38. <u>Exhibit A</u> fails to disclose that Plaintiff's alleged debt may be subject to the accrual of interest, and <u>Exhibit A</u> does not itemize the additional interest.
- 39. From the face of Exhibit A, the unsophisticated consumer would have no idea why the amount of the debt had changed.
- 40. From the face of Exhibit A, the unsophisticated consumer would be unable to tell that interest on the debt was accruing.

- 41. When the amount of the debt varies day to day, the debt collector should avoid confusion by including explanatory language in the letter. *See Miller v. McCalla, Raymer, Padrick, Cobb, Nichols, & Clark, L.L.C.*, 214 F.3d 872, 876 (7th Cir. 2000) ("As of the date of this letter, you owe \$ [the exact amount due]. Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your check, in which event we will inform you before depositing the check for collection. For further information, write the undersigned or call 1-800-[phone number]."); *see also Chuway v. Nat'l Action Fin. Servs.*, 362 F.3d 944, 949 (7th Cir. 2004); *Fields v. Wilber Law Firm, P.C.*, 383 F.3d 562, 565-66 (7th Cir. 2004).
 - 42. No such explanatory language was used in Exhibits A-C.
- 43. In *Chuway v. Nat'l Action Fin. Servs., Inc.*, 362 F.3d 944, 949 (7th Cir. 2004), the Seventh Circuit made clear that the debt collector must use the safe harbor language in *Miller* or equivalent language, in cases where the debt collector is attempting to collect the listed balance plus the interest running on it or other charges. *See also Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC*, 817 F.3d 72 (2d Cir. 2016) (a collection notice violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by stating the "current balance" without providing notice that the amount is increasing due to accruing interest or other charges.); *Boucher v. Fin. Sys. of Green Bay*, No. 17-2308, 2018 U.S. App. LEXIS 1094 **12-14 (7th Cir. Jan. 17, 2018) (*Miller*, including its "accuracy requirement," applies to claims brought under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e).
- 44. A court in this district recently held in a virtually identical scenario that when a debt collector is, in fact, collecting interest, the collector must use the *Miller* safe harbor or equivalent language, or risk misleading and confusing the unsophisticated consumer. *Spuhler v. State*

Collection Servs., No. 16-CV-1149, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 210895 at *17-20 (E.D. Wis. Dec. 22, 2017) ("State Collection's letter undeniably does not contain any form of Miller's safe harbor language. Because State Collection's letter failed to inform the Spuhlers that interest was running on the amount owed, I find there is a triable issue of fact as to whether the collection letter is confusing or unclear on its face."); see also Synder v. Gordon, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120659, at *8-9 (W.D. Wash. Aug. 24, 2012); Michaelek v. ARS Nat'l Sys., Inc., 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at *4 (M.D. Penn. Dec. 13, 2011); Dragon v. I.C. Sys., 483 F. Supp. 2d 198, 202-03 (D. Conn. 2007); Lukawski v. Client Servs., Inc., 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124075, at *10-14 (M.D. Penn. Aug. 29, 2013).

- 45. Messerli's failure to include explanatory safe harbor language in <u>Exhibit A</u> is material because the unsophisticated consumer may pay the amount listed on the letters, but the payment would not actually resolve the debt. The unsophisticated consumer would have no way of knowing if the debt was resolved because <u>Exhibit A</u> does not explain that the debt Messerli is collecting is subject to the accrual of interest.
- 46. Messerli's failure to include explanatory safe harbor language in Exhibit A is also material because whether the account is bearing interest would undoubtedly be a factor in the unsophisticated consumer's prioritization of the debt. See Martin v. Trott Law, P.C., 265 F. Supp. 3d 731, 748 (E.D. Mich. July 12, 2017) ("An inherent danger posed by harassing or deceptive collection practices is that consumers will be pressed into making uninformed decisions about debt prioritization, which affects their daily lives.") (quoting Gillie v. Law Office of Eric A. Jones, LLC, 785 F.3d 1091, 1097 (6th Cir. 2015), rev'd on other grounds, Sheriff v. Gillie, 136 S. Ct. 1594 (2016)); Lox v. CDA, Ltd., 689 F.3d 818, 827 (7th Cir. 2012) ("Whether or not this fact would have led Lox to alter his course of action, it would have undoubtedly been a factor in his decision-

making process[.]"). The consumer may prioritize debts that are accruing interest over debts that are not.

- 47. Further, even assuming the creditor previously disclosed that the account would bear interest, the unsophisticated consumer is not expected to reference the creditor's documents to ameliorate any potential confusion. *Fields v. Wilber Law Firm, P.C.*, 383 F.3d 562, 566 (7th Cir. 2004) ("an unsophisticated consumer may have lost the bill and forgotten the amount of the debt completely"); *Lukawski*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124075, at *10, 11 (rejecting an argument that interest had been disclosed in a letter sent six weeks prior to the offending communication) ("the letter in question is deceptive in spite of the prior letter with the interest disclosure. . . . These arguments, requesting that the letters be read together to place notice on Michalek of increasing balances, were made and rejected by the Court.") (citing *Michalek*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at *18-19).
 - 48. Plaintiff was confused and misled by Exhibit A.
 - 49. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused and misled by Exhibit A.

The FDCPA

50. The FDCPA creates substantive rights for consumers; violations cause injury to consumers, and such injuries are concrete and particularized. *Derosia v. Credit Corp. Solutions*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50016, *12, 2018 WL 1513043 (E.D. Wis. March 27, 2018); *Pogorzelski v. Patenaude & Felix APC*, No. 16-C-1330, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89678 *9 (E.D. Wis. June 12, 2017) ("A plaintiff who receives misinformation from a debt collector has suffered the type of injury the FDCPA was intended to protect against."); *Spuhler v. State Collection Servs.*, No. 16-CV-1149, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177631 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 26, 2017) ("As in Pogorzelski, the Spuhlers' allegations that the debt collection letters sent by State Collection contained false

representations of the character, amount, or legal status of a debt in violation of their rights under the FDCPA sufficiently pleads a concrete injury-in-fact for purposes of standing."); Lorang v. Ditech Fin. LLC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169286, at *6 (W.D. Wis. Oct. 13, 2017) ("the weight of authority in this circuit is that a misrepresentation about a debt is a sufficient injury for standing because a primary purpose of the FDCPA is to protect consumers from receiving false and misleading information."); Qualls v. T-H Prof'l & Med. Collections, Ltd., 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113037, at *8 (C.D. Ill. July 20, 2017) ("Courts in this Circuit, both before and after Spokeo, have rejected similar challenges to standing in FDCPA cases.") (citing "Hayes v. Convergent Healthcare Recoveries, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 139743 (C.D. Ill. 2016)); Long v. Fenton & McGarvey Law Firm P.S.C., 223 F. Supp. 3d 773, 777 (S.D. Ind. Dec. 9, 2016) ("While courts have found that violations of other statutes . . . do not create concrete injuries in fact, violations of the FDCPA are distinguishable from these other statutes and have been repeatedly found to establish concrete injuries."); Bock v. Pressler & Pressler, LLP, No. 11-7593, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81058 *21 (D.N.J. May 25, 2017) ("through [s]ection 1692e of the FDCPA, Congress established 'an enforceable right to truthful information concerning' debt collection practices, a decision that 'was undoubtedly influenced by congressional awareness that the intentional provision of misinformation' related to such practices, 'contribute[s] to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy,"); Quinn v. Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 16 C 2021, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107299 *8-13 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 11, 2016) (rejecting challenge to Plaintiff's standing based upon alleged FDCPA statutory violation); Lane v. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89258 *9-10 (N.D. Ill. July 11, 2016) ("When a federal statute is violated, and especially when Congress has created a cause of action for its violation, by definition Congress has created a

legally protected interest that it deems important enough for a lawsuit."); *Church v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, No. 15-15708, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414 *7-11 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (same); *see also Mogg v. Jacobs*, No. 15-CV-1142-JPG-DGW, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33229, 2016 WL 1029396, at *5 (S.D. Ill. Mar. 15, 2016) ("Congress does have the power to enact statutes creating legal rights, the invasion of which creates standing, even though no injury would exist without the statute," (quoting *Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC*, 770 F.3d 618, 623 (7th Cir. 2014)). For this reason, and to encourage consumers to bring FDCPA actions, Congress authorized an award of statutory damages for violations. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

- 51. Moreover, Congress has explicitly described the FDCPA as regulating "abusive practices" in debt collection. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(a) 1692(e). Any person who receives a debt collection letter containing a violation of the FDCPA is a victim of abusive practices. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e) ("It is the purpose of this subchapter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses").
- 52. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e generally prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt."
- 53. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(a) specifically prohibits "The false representation of— the character, amount, or legal status of any debt.
- 54. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) specifically prohibits the "The threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken."
- 55. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

- 56. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f generally prohibits "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."
 - 57. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g states, in part:

a) Notice of debt; contents

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing—

- (1) the amount of the debt;
- (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
- 58. The Seventh Circuit has held that initial collection letters must clearly state the amount of the debt. *Miller*, 214 F.3d at 876; *Chuway*, 362 F.3d at 949.
- 59. Failure to disclose that the account was accruing interest is ambiguous as to the amount and character of the debt. *See Spuhler*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 210895, at *19-20 (triable issue of fact as to whether the collection letter is misleading under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f).
- 60. Because there is a triable issue as to whether failure to disclose that the account was accruing interest is misleading as to the amount of the debt, it is necessarily confusing and ambiguous, and therefore violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) as a matter of law. *See Pantoja v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.*, *LLC*, 852 F.3d 679, 687 (7th Cir. 2017) ("When assessing whether a dunning letter violates the FDCPA, whether an unsophisticated consumer would find certain debt-collection language misleading is often a question of fact. . . . Where the FDCPA requires clarity, however, ambiguity itself can prove a violation.").

COUNT I - FDCPA

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 62. By failing to disclose that the balance of the allege debts referenced therein are accruing interest, Exhibit A is confusing and misleading to the unsophisticated consumer as to the amount and character of the debt.
- 63. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(a), 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692g(a)(1).

COUNT II – FDCPA

- 64. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 65. By listing the account number assigned by the original creditor as the current account number, Exhibit B is confusing, false, deceptive, and misleading as to the identity of the current creditor as well as character and legal status of the debt.
- 66. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(a), 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692g(a)(2).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

67. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a class of: (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin (b) who were sent a collection letters by Defendant Messerli in the form of Exhibit A to the complaint in this action, (c) seeking to collect a debt for personal, family, or household purposes, (d) on behalf of Jefferson Capital, (e) where the account at issue was bearing interest, (f) and where such letter was mailed between January 1, 2018 and January 1, 2019, inclusive, (g) and such letter was not returned by the postal service.

68. The Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. On information and belief,

there are more than 50 members of the Class.

69. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the class, which

common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members. The

predominant common question is whether Exhibit B violates the FDCPA and/or the WCA.

70. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. All are based on

the same factual and legal theories.

71. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class members.

Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.

72. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute.

Individual cases are not economically feasible.

JURY DEMAND

73. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and

the Class and against Defendant for:

actual damages; (a)

(b) statutory damages;

injunctive relief; (c)

attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and (d)

such other or further relief as the Court deems proper. (e)

Dated: January 1, 2019

14

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP

By: /s/ John D. Blythin

John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105)
Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944)
Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673)
Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892)
3620 East Layton Avenue
Cudahy, WI 53110
(414) 482-8000
(414) 482-8001 (fax)
jblythin@ademilaw.com
meldridge@ademilaw.com
jfruchter@ademilaw.com
bslatky@ademilaw.com

EXHIBIT A

MESSERLI & KRAMER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Messerli & Kramer P.A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 3033 Campus Drive Suite 250 Plymouth, Minnesota 55441-2662

main (763) 548-7900 fax 763-548-7922 toll free 844-417-6626 Date: January 11, 2018

Our Client: Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC

Original Creditor: Comenity Bank Consumer: Josette P Bauer

Balance: \$1,192.11

Our File Number: 2472

Account Number: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX3728



Dear Josette P Bauer:

This office represents Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC as successor in interest to Comenity Bank regarding the collection of the above balance.

Very truly yours,

MESSERLI & KRAMER PA

IMPORTANT NOTICE CONCERNING YOUR RIGHTS

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Unless you notify us within 30 days after receipt of this letter that the validity of this debt, or any portion of it, is disputed, we will assume that the debt is valid. If you notify us in writing within thirty days after receipt of this notice that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you and mail a copy of such verification or judgment to you. Also, upon your written request within 30 days after receipt of this letter, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.

To receive proper credit on your account return this portion with your payment in the enclosed envelope.

PO Box 101928 Dept. 5033 Birmingham, AL 35210



00103

Balance: \$1,192.11 Our File Number: 2472 HC 0200

||այես|||ԿլիորհԱկ||Կիիիիիսկելըադկերգրիրհեկե

70441-12A*1 Josette P Bauer 1635 Rawson Ave South Milwaukee WI 53172-1845 հունբը-վախիբիկիկիկիկիկիկուհինիի-րավուտին

Messerli & Kramer PA 3033 Campus Drive, Ste. 250 Plymouth MN 55441

Exhibit B

PO BOX 1391 SOUTHGATE, MI 48195-0391



Diversified Consultants, Inc. 855-864-0233

www.dcicollect.com Hours of Operation: Monday – Thursday 8AM to 11PM EST Friday 8AM to 10PM EST Saturday 9AM to 4PM EST

01/26/17

	Ipilpoplitopilpoplicopillopilpoplopilopilopilopilopilopilopi	Current Creditor: Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC Current Account Number: 8029 Debt Description: MEIJER Original Account Number: XXXXXXXXXXXXXX3728 Agency Reference Number: 7550 Current Balance: \$1,124.06
	This Notice is to inform you that Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC is the new lnc. will service your account on the new owner's behalf.	v owner of the above-referenced account. Diversified Consultants,
	Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that y will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 da or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after received address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.	ys from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, n a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or
	Calls to or from this company may be monitored or recorded.	
	This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used	for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.
	Diversified Consultants, Inc. PO Box 551268 Jacksonville, FL 32255-1268 855-864-0233	
DCI\00119\237	243819776	29827\0027323\0094
	Credit card, please complete the information below:	Ment Amount Due: \$1,124.06
Check One: Card Numbe		Amount Submitted:
Expiration D		\$
Cardholder Cardholder	Name:Billing Address:	

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

PO BOX 551268

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32255-1268

Current Creditor: Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC

Current Account Number: 802

Debt Description: MEIJER

Original Account Number: XXXXXXXXXXXXX3728

Agency Reference Number: 7550

Current Balance: \$1,124.06

Exhibit C



	վարերենկիուկ կնալիկոյալեներինուկոյելն
	Josette P Bauer
	1635 Rawson Ave
-	South Milwaukee, WI 53172-1845

04/14/17

Current Creditor: Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC

Current Account Number: 8029

Debt Description: MEIJER

Original Account Number: XXXXXXXXXXXX3728

Agency Reference Number:

Current Balance: \$1,124.06

This notice is to inform you that Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC is the new owner of the above-referenced account. Diversified Consultants, Inc. will service your account on the new owner's behalf.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Calls to or from this company may be monitored or recorded.

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

Diversified Consultants, Inc. PO Box 551268 Jacksonville, FL 32255-1268 855-974-6115



Toll Free: 855-974-6115



Hours of Operation:

Monday - Thursday: 8 AM - 11 PM EST

Friday:

9 AM - 4 PM EST

Saturday:

8 AM - 10 PM EST

Pay Your Bill Online at: www.dcicollect.com

28948\0024835\0091

0011

DCI\00119\237271106179	Dotach and Datum with Dayment
To pay by credit card, please comp	Detach and Return with Payment plete the information below:

Check One: ☐ VISA MasterCard ☐ AMEX ☐ Check Card Number: Last 3 digits on Expiration Date: back of card Signature of Cardholder: Cardholder Name:

Amount Due: \$1,124.06

Amount Submitted:

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32255-1268

PO BOX 551268

\$					
4			•)	

···!/{//pd···////pq||//|/////pd///ppq||poq||q/g|||||||||||||||

Current Creditor: Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC

Current Account Number:

Cardholder Billing Address:

Debt Description: MEIJER

Original Account Number: XXXXXXXXXXXXX3728

Agency Reference Number:

Current Balance: \$1,124.06

2091

Case 2:19-cv-00002 Filed 01/01/19 Page 2 of 2 Document 1-3

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Place an X in the appropriate	Box: Green Bay Division	☑ Milwaukee Division
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS
JOSSETTE E	BAUER	MESSERLI & KRAMER, P.A., et al.
•	e of First Listed Plaintiff Milwaukee EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)
Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP,	3620 E. Layton Ave., Cudahy, WI 53110 ne (414) 482-8001-Facsimile	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 Business In This State
U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 368 Asbestos Person Liability 1340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property Damag Product Liability 385 Property Damag 385 Property Damag	G20 Other Food & Drug G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 28 USC 157 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and G50 Airline Regs. 830 Patent 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations G60 Occupational Safety/Health G90 Other G80 Exchange G80 Excha
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD
January 1, 2019	s/ John D.	Blythin
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

— AMOUNT Case 2:19-cv-00002 Filed 01/01/19 Page 1 of 2 Document 1-4

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example:

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

)
)
JOSSETTE 1		_)
Plaintiff	(s))
v.) Civil Action No. 19-cv-2
)
MESSERLI & KRA	MER. P.A. and)
JEFFERSON CAPITA)
Defendar	nt(s)	·
	SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	MESSERLI & KRAMER c/o Joseph Dressel	, P.A.
	100 S 5th Str 1400 Fifth S	treet Towers
	Minneapolis, MN 55402	
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.	
the United States or a United 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must se	States agency, or an offi rve on the plaintiff an an	on you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. aswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the on must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose
If you fail to respond,	judgment by default wil	l be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answe		•
		STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 19-cv-2

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

were r	eceived by me on (date)	·		
	☐ I personally served	the summons and the attached complain	nt on the individual at (place):	
			On (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	and the attached complaint at the indiv	idual's residence or usual place of a	abode with (name)
		, a perso	on of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	ne individual's last known address;	or
	☐ I served the summo	ons and the attached complaint on (name	of individual)	
	who is designated by la	w to accept service of process on beha	If of (name of organization)	
		on	(date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
	Other (specify):			
	My food one \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
				0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is true.		
Date:				
2			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

)
)
JOSSETTE :	BAUER)
Plaintifj	f(s)	
V.) Civil Action No. 19-cv-2
)
MESSERLI & KRA))
JEFFERSON CAPITA		_)
Defendar	nt(s))
	SUMMON	S IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	JEFFERSON CAPITAL c/o CORPORATION SE 8040 EXCELSIOR DRI MADISON, WI 53717	RVICE COMPANY
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.	
the United States or a United 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must se	States agency, or an of rve on the plaintiff an a	
If you fail to respond. You also must file your answe		ill be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 19-cv-2

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

ceived by me on (date)	·		
☐ I personally served t	the summons and the attached con	aplaint on the individual at (place):	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons a	and the attached complaint at the i	ndividual's residence or usual place of	abode with
	, a ŗ	erson of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides t
on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address;	or
☐ I served the summon	ns and the attached complaint on (name of individual)	
who is designated by la	w to accept service of process on l	schalf of (name of organization)	
	w to accept service of process on t	Chan of (name of organization)	
,	w to accept service of process on t	on (date)	; or
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because	on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify):	ons unexecuted because	on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	ons unexecuted because	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$ I declare under penalty of	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$ rue.	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$ I declare under penalty of	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$ rue. Server's signature	; or

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Debt Collection Class Action Filed Against Jefferson Capital Systems, Messerli & Kramer, P.A.</u>