1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8 9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON					
10 11	SUNEET S. BATH, DMD PS, d/b/a Impressions Dentistry Family Cosmetics, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,	No.: 2:20-cv-00774 NOTICE OF REMOVA	AL OF DEFENDANT			
12 13	Plaintiff,	TRAVELERS CASUA COMPANY OF AMEF				
14 15 16	v. TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, an insurance company, Defendant.					
17						
18	TO: Clerk of the Court					
19	AND TO: Suneet S. Bath, DMD PS, Plain	tiff				
20	AND TO: Ian S. Birk and Keller Rohrback	x, LLP, and Mark A. Wiln	er and Gordon			
21	Tilden Thomas & Cordell, Attorneys for Plaintiff					
22	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1446, Defendant Travelers Casualty					
23	Insurance Company of America ("Travelers") hereby removes to this Court the state court					
24	action described below.					
25	///					
26						
	NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 1 Bullivant Houser Bailey PC INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3800					

NO.: _____

12

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

On April 17, 2020, Plaintiff Suneet S. Bath d/b/a Impressions Dentistry Family
 Cosmetics filed a Complaint (the "Original Complaint") in the Washington State Superior
 Court in and for Thurston County, in the matter entitled *Suneet S. Bath, DMD PS v. Travelers Casualty Insurance Company of America*, Case No. 20-2-01421-34 (the "State
 Court Action").¹

7 2. On April 22, 2020, Plaintiff served a copy of the Original Complaint on the
8 Office of the Washington Insurance Commissioner (the "OIC"), which accepted service on
9 behalf of Travelers. The OIC subsequently mailed a copy of the Original Complaint to
10 Travelers—in other words, Travelers did not actually receive a copy of the Original
11 Complaint until after April 22, 2020.²

On May 13, 2020, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint (the "Amended
 Complaint") in the Washington State Superior Court in and for Thurston County converting
 the matter to a class action.³ Plaintiff now asserts claims against Travelers individually and
 on behalf of all other similarly situated members of a proposed national class and
 Washington subclasses.

4. This Notice of Removal is timely filed within 30 days of Travelers's receipt of
the Original Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).⁴

19 ///

20

¹ Original Complaint, *Bath v. Travelers Cas. Ins. Co. of Am.*, Thurston Cty Case No. 20-2-01421-34.

 ² See Anderson v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 917 F.3d 1126, 1130 (9th Cir 2019) (holding that "the thirty-day removal clock under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1) does not begin upon service on and receipt by a statutorily designated agent, and began in this case only when [the insurer] actually received [the insured's] complaint").

 ³ Amended Complaint, *Bath v. Travelers Cas. Ins. Co. of Am.*, Thurston County Case No. 20-2-01421-34.

⁴ See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1) (permitting removal within 30 days after the defendant receives service of process); see also Anderson, 917 F.3d at 1130.

1	II. JURISDICTION				
2	5. The State Court Action may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §				
3	1441(b) because there is complete diversity of citizenship between Travelers and Plaintiff,				
4	and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, thus granting this Court original jurisdiction				
5	under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).				
6	6. Complete diversity exists between the class representative and the defendant				
7	because Plaintiff and Travelers are citizens of different states. ⁵				
8	a. When removing a class action under § 1332(a), only the citizenship of				
9	the named parties counts for purposes of determining complete diversity-that is, all				
10	class representatives must be diverse from all defendants. ⁶ The citizenship of				
11	unnamed class members is disregarded during the diversity analysis under § 1332(a). ⁷				
12	b. Plaintiff, the only class representative, is a Washington professional				
13	services corporation with its principal place of business in Washington.				
14	c. Travelers, the only defendant, is a Connecticut corporation with its				
15	principal place of business in Connecticut.				
16	7. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 for at least one named plaintiff,				
17	exclusive of interest and costs.				
18	a. When removing a class action under § 1332(a), the amount in				
19	controversy requirement is met if the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000 for at				
20					
21					
22	⁵ See Kanter v. Warner-Lambert Co., 265 F.3d 853, 857 (9th Cir. 2001) (holding that at the removal				
23	stage, the defendant need only "allege (not prove) diversity').				
24	⁶ Lewis v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc., 627 F.3d 395, 398 (9th Cir. 2010); Serrano v. 180 Connect, Inc., 478 F.3d 1018, 1021 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2007) (citing Snyder v. Harris, 394 U.S. 332, 340 (1969)).				
25					
26	⁷ <i>Gibson v. Chrysler Corp.</i> , 261 F.3d 927, 931 n. 2 (9th Cir. 2001) (citing <i>Supreme Tribe of Ben-Hur v. Cauble</i> , 255 U.S. 356, 366-67 (1921)).				
	NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTYPAGE 3Bullivant Houser Bailey PCINSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3800				

NO.: _____

Case 2:20-cv-00774 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 4 of 10

least one named plaintiff.⁸ The Court then has supplemental jurisdiction under § 1367(a) over claims of putative class members, even if some of those fall below \$75,000.⁹

b. In declaratory relief actions, "the amount in controversy is measured by the value of the object of the litigation."¹⁰ The value of the object of the litigation is determined by "the pecuniary result to either party which the judgment would directly produce."¹¹

c. Where a plaintiff's complaint does not specify the amount in controversy, the defendant may do so in the notice of removal.¹² Further, a notice of removal "need include only a plausible allegation that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold."¹³

d. In the Amended Complaint, Plaintiff seeks two forms of declaratory
 relief: (1) Plaintiff seeks a declaration that insurance policies issued by Travelers
 provide coverage for Plaintiff's alleged past and continued losses of business income
 and extra expenses resulting from the interruption to its business caused by the
 COVID-19 pandemic;¹⁴ and (2) Plaintiff seeks a declaration that Travelers is

21 ¹⁰ Corral v. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc., 878 F.3d 770, 775 (9th Cir. 2017) (quoting Cohn v. Petsmart, Inc., 281 F.3d 837, 840 (9th Cir. 2002)).

23 ¹¹ Corral v. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc., 878 F.3d 770, 775 (9th Cir. 2017) (quoting In re Ford Motor Co./Citibank (S. Dakota), N.A., 264 F.3d 952, 958 (9th Cir. 2001)).

25 1^{13} Id. at 89.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

26 1^{4} Amended Complaint, VII. Prayer at ¶ 1.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 4 INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA NO.:

 ⁸ Lewis, 627 F.3d at 398 (9th Cir. 2010) (citing Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Servs., Inc., 545
 U.S. 546, 558-559 (2005) (internal citation omitted)).

^{20 9} *Exxon Mobil*, 545 U.S. at 558-59.

^{24 &}lt;sup>12</sup> Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens, 574 U.S. 81, 84 (2014).

Case 2:20-cv-00774 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 5 of 10

responsible for timely and fully paying for all such past and continued losses.¹⁵ Plaintiff also seeks pre-judgment interest, and its reasonable attorney fees and costs.¹⁶

In addition, Plaintiff seeks an award of damages.¹⁷ Plaintiff seeks to e. recover contractual damages for benefits allegedly owed under a policy or policies issued by Travelers, including but not limited to alleged policy benefits owed for business interruption, extra expense, extended business interruption, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.¹⁸

8 f. Based upon financial information Plaintiff provided to Travelers in connection with the underwriting of its policy, Travelers understands that Plaintiff 10 seeks to recover more than \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs, for its alleged 11 contractual damages. That is, exclusive of interest and costs, the amount in controversy for Plaintiff alone plainly exceeds \$75,000. Out of respect for Plaintiff's 12 13 potential concerns about confidentiality, Travelers has not set forth Plaintiff's financial information in detail herein; but Travelers will make this financial 14 information available to the Court if requested or in the event that a motion to remand 15 16 is filed. Travelers will submit this information under seal if Plaintiff so requests and 17 the Court allows. Because the amount in controversy for the named plaintiff exceeds the jurisdictional minimum required for removal, the class action as a whole may be 18 properly removed to this Court.¹⁹

20

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

This Court also has jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 8.

21 ¹⁵ *Id.* at \P 2. 22

¹⁶ *Id.* at ¶¶ 4-5. 23

¹⁷ *Id.* at \P 3. 24

¹⁸ See id., IV. Nature of Case at ¶ 23; V. Class Action Allegations at ¶¶ 28(A)-(B), (E)-(F), (I)-(J), and (M)-(N). 25

26 ¹⁹ Exxon Mobil, 545 U.S. at 558-559.

> NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 5 INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA NO.:

Case 2:20-cv-00774 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 6 of 10

("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because this lawsuit is a "class action," as defined by
 CAFA, in which there is minimal diversity of citizenship, and the aggregate amount in
 controversy on the claims of the proposed class members exceeds \$5 million.

- a. CAFA applies "to any class action before or after the entry of a class certification order by the court with respect to that action."²⁰ This case is a "class action" under CAFA because it was brought under a state statute or rule, namely Washington Civil Rule 23 authorizing an action to be brought by one or more representative persons as a class action.²¹
- b. This case satisfies the minimal diversity requirement of CAFA because at least one member of the putative class is a citizen of a state different from at least one defendant.²² The named Plaintiff is a citizen of Washington, where it is incorporated and has its principal place of business.²³ Travelers is a citizen of Connecticut, where it is incorporated and has its principal place of business.²⁴
 - c. This case satisfies CAFA's amount in controversy requirement because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs.²⁵ CAFA provides that "the claims of the individual class members shall be aggregated to determine whether the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of
- 21 ²⁰ 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(8).

24 $||^{23}$ Amended Complaint, III. Parties at ¶ 3.

25 24 *Id.* at ¶ 4.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

26 25 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 6 INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA NO.:

^{22 &}lt;sup>21</sup> See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(1)(B); Amended Complaint, V. Class Action Allegations at ¶ 27.

²³ $\|^{22}$ See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).

\$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs."²⁶ As the Supreme Court has explained, "the statute tells the District Court to determine whether it has jurisdiction by adding up the value of the claim of each person who falls within the definition of [the plaintiff's] proposed class and determine [sic] whether the resulting sum exceeds \$5 million. If so, there is jurisdiction and the court may proceed with the case."²⁷ The Supreme Court has further explained that "no antiremoval presumption attends cases involving CAFA, which Congress enacted to facilitate adjudication of certain class actions in federal court."²⁸ To satisfy the statutory requirements for removal, "a defendant's notice of removal need include only a plausible allegation that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold."²⁹

d. Based on Plaintiffs' allegations, the amount in controversy for the proposed class claims exceeds \$5 million. Plaintiff seeks to certify 16 proposed classes or subclasses, some of which are nationwide in scope and others which are limited to persons and entities in the State of Washington.³⁰ One of the nationwide proposed classes, for example, is defined as "All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA [i.e., Travelers] policy with Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders

- $22 ||^{26} Id.; \S 1332(d)(6).$
- 23 ²⁷ Standard Fire Ins. Co. v. Knowles, 568 U.S. 588, 592 (2013).
- 24 *28 Dart*, 574 U.S. at 89.
- $25 ||^{29} Id.$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26 3^{0} Amended Complaint, V. Class Action Allegations at \P 28.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 7 INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA NO.: Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone: 206.292.8930

Case 2:20-cv-00774 Document 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 8 of 10

issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities and whose Business Income claim was denied by TCICA."³¹ Travelers has received more than 5,000 claims for losses of business income nationwide related to COVID-19. It is likely that the vast majority, if not all, of these alleged business income losses exceed \$10,000. But even assuming a nominal sum of \$1,000 for each loss of business income claim, the amount in controversy as alleged exceeds \$5 million.

e. None of the exceptions to CAFA jurisdiction applies. No defendant is a citizen of the state in which the action was filed, i.e., Washington State. Accordingly, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(3) and (d)(4) do not apply. Section 1332(d)(5)(A) does not apply because no defendant is a State, State official or other governmental entity against which this Court may be foreclosed from ordering relief. Section 1332(d)(5)(B) does not apply because the number of members of all proposed plaintiff classes in the aggregate exceeds 100, as set forth above. Section 1332(d)(9) does not apply because Plaintiffs' claims do not involve securities or the internal affairs or governance of a corporation or other form of business enterprise. Accordingly, this Court has original jurisdiction under CAFA.

19 20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

III. <u>VENUE AND ASSIGNMENT</u>

9. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington because it is the district embracing the place where the State Court Action is pending.³²

10. Assignment is proper to the Tacoma Division of the Western District of

26 3² 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see also Polizzi v. Cowles Magazines, Inc., 345 U.S. 663, 666 (1953).

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 8 INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA NO.:

²⁵ 3^{11} *Id.* at ¶ 28(A).

I			
1	Washington because Plaintiff filed its Complaint in Thurston County, Washington, and		
2	alleges that the causes of action arose in Thurston County, Washington. ³³		
3	IV. <u>PROCEDURAL REMOVAL</u>		
4	11. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) and Local Civil Rule 101, a true and		
5	correct copy of the process, pleadings, and orders, as well as any additional records in the		
6	State Court Action are attached to this Notice.		
7	12. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Travelers will file, in Thurston		
8	County Superior Court, a notice to Plaintiff and to the State Court Clerk of Removal to the		
9	U.S. District Court.		
10	13. By filing this Notice, Travelers does not waive, and expressly reserves, all		
11	rights, defenses, and objections of any nature that Travelers may have against Plaintiff's		
12	claims.		
13	DATED: May 22, 2020.		
14	BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC		
15			
16	By <u>s/Daniel R. Bentson</u> Daniel R. Bentson, WSBA #36825		
17	E-mail: dan.bentson@bullivant.com Owen R. Mooney, WSBA #45779		
18	Email: owen.mooney@bullivant.com		
19	Attorneys for Defendant Travelers Casualty Insurance Company of America		
20	Insurance Company of America		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	³³ See LCR 3(e).		
	NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 9 Bullivant Houser Bailey PC INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3800		

NO.: _____

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I hereby certify that on May 22, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk					
3	of the Court using CM/ECF system, and caused to be served as follows					
4 5 6 7	Ian S. Birkian S. BirkKeller Rohrback LLPvia hand delivery.1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200via first class mail.Seattle, Washington 98101via email.Telephone: 206.623.1900E-mail: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com					
7 8 9 10	Mark A. Wilner Gordon Tilden Thomas & Cordell 600 University St Ste 2915 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 467-6477 E-mail: mwilner@gordontilden.com					
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
12						
13	<u>Monica Tofoleanu</u> Monica Tofoleanu, Legal Assistant					
14	Monica Toroicanu, Legar Assistant					
15	4829-3191-4173.1					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
	NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT TRAVELERS CASUALTY PAGE 10 Bullivant/Houser/Bailey PC INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3800					

NO.: _____

JS 44 (Rev. 09/19)

Case 2:20-cv-00774 Decument 1 Filed 05/22/20 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Suneet S. Bath, DMD PS		DEFENDANTS Travelers Casualty Insurance Company of America							
(b) County of Residence of <i>(E.)</i>	<u>4</u>	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Hartford County, CT</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, . (see attachment)	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known) Daniel R. Bentson Bullivant Houser B Seattle, WA 98104					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box j	for Plaintifj
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			FF DEF 1 □ 1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T		or Defenda PTF X 4	ant) DEF I 4
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated <i>and</i> F of Business In A		□ 5	X 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			EC	νο εριστιό ε /δενί a τ φυ		here for: <u>Nature of</u>			
CONTRACT X 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 556 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	Image: Constraint of the second se	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	 422 Appe 423 With 28 U 820 Copy 830 Pater 835 Pater 835 Pater 835 Pater 840 Tradi 862 Blaci 863 DIW 864 SSIE 865 RSI (FEDER/ 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-26 U 	ISC 157 RTY RIGHTS Arights at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	 375 False Cl 376 Qui Tan 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consum (15 USt) 485 Telepho Protecti 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchang 891 Agricult 895 Freedon Act 895 Arbitrat 899 Adminisi Act/Rev 	n (31 USC)) aapportion t nd Bankin ree tion or Jankin C 1681 or ne Consur on Act at TV ses/Commo ge atutory Ac ses/Commo ge atutory Ac fur an of Inform ion strative Prr iew or Ap Decision tionality of	ced and ions 1692) mer odities/ tions atters nation ocedure peal of
Confinement Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original Proceeding X2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Class action involving insurance cover				-	tutes unless di	iversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complai XNo	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE see attach	ment		DOCKE	ET NUMBER SE	e attachmer	nt	
DATE 05/22/2020		signature of att s/Daniel R. Ber		DF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	DGE		
Print	Save As						Rese	t	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET

SUNEET S. BATH, DMD PS v. TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

I.(c) Attorneys for Plaintiff:

Ian S. Birk Keller Rohrback LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 623-1900

Mark A. Wilner Gordon Tilden Thomas & Cordell LLP One Union Square 600 University Street, Suite 2915 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 467-6477

VIII. Notice of Related Cases:

Case Name	Judge	Case No.
Nguyen v. Travelers Casualty Insurance	Ricardo S. Martinez	W.D. WA
Company of America		2:20-cv-00597-RSM
Fox v. Travelers Casualty Insurance	Ricardo S. Martinez	W.D. WA
Company of America		2:20-cv-00598-RSM
Stans Bar-B-Q LLC v. The Charter Oak	Ricardo S. Martinez	W.D. WA
Fire Insurance Co.		2:20-cv-00613-RSM
Khuzi Hsue, DDS, PS v. Travelers	Ricardo S. Martinez	W.D. WA
Casualty Insurance Company of America		2:20-cv-00622-RSM
Kashner v. Travelers Indemnity Insurance	Ricardo S. Martinez	W.D. WA
Company of America		2:20-cv-00627-RSM

4815-4290-1692.1

	Case 2:20-cv-00774 Document 1-2	2 Filed 05/22/20	Page 1 of 14
1 2		The Hor	orable Christopher Lanese
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON	IN AND FOR THU	RSTON COUNTY
9	SUNEET S. BATH, DMD PS d/b/a Impressions Dentistry Family Cosmetics, individually and on		
10	behalf of others similarly situated,	No. 20-2-01421-	34
11	Plaintiff,	AMENDED CO ACTION	MPLAINT—CLASS
12	v.	nemon	
13	TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE		
14	COMPANY OF AMERICA, an insurance company,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	I. INTRO	DUCTION	
18	Plaintiff Suneet S. Bath DMD PS d/b/a Im		Family Cosmetics ("Bath"
19			•
20	or "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all o	·	
21	national class and the defined Washington subclas	ses (the "Class Men	nbers"), by and through the
22	undersigned attorneys, brings this class action a	gainst Defendant T	CICA Casualty Insurance
23	Company of America ("TCICA" or "Defendant	") and alleges as f	follows based on personal
24	knowledge and information and belief:		
25			
26			
	AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 1		LLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1300 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to RCW 2.08.010 because the case originates in Washington and amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold.

2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant registered to do business in Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts with Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court is proper pursuant to RCW 4.28.185. Moreover, the claims of Plaintiff and all of the Washington subclass members in this case arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with Washington.

III. **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Suneet S. Bath, DMD PS d/b/a Impressions Dentistry Family Cosmetics (Bath) owns and operates a dental business with its principal place of business located at 4538 Martin Way E., Olympia, WA 98516.

4. Defendant TCICA Casualty Insurance Company of America is an insurance carrier domiciled in Connecticut and whose headquarters are located in Hartford, Connecticut. 5. Defendant is authorized to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in all

fifty states and the District of Columbia. Defendant conducted business within Washington by selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders, including Bath.

IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

6. Due to COVID-19 and proclamations and orders by Washington Governor Jay Inslee and/or other civil authorities, Plaintiff was forced to suspend or dramatically limit its dental business.

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 2

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

7. Plaintiff intended to rely on its business insurance to maintain income in case of an insured loss. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.

8. Defendant issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, including a
Businessowners Coverage Policy and related endorsements ("the Policy"), insuring Plaintiff's
property and business practice at all relevant times, including the periods of January 1 to
December 31, 2019, and January 1 to December 31, 2020.

9. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of dental services and other related business activities.

10. Defendant promises to pay Plaintiff for risks of "direct physical loss of or damage to" covered property.

15 11. The Policy includes coverage for risks of both damage to and loss of coveredproperty.

17 12. The Policy expressly defines property damage as including "loss of use" of
18 property.

Defendant's Businessowners Coverage Form provides Plaintiff with Business
 Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage, and Civil
 Authority Coverage.

14. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.

15. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.

25 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 3

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

Case 2:20-cv-00774 Document 1-2 Filed 05/22/20 Page 4 of 14

1	16. In light of this pandemic, on February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee			
2	issued Proclamation 20-5, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the state of			
3	Washington as the result of COVID-19. Thereafter, Governor Inslee issued a series of certain			
4 5	proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether			
6	infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.			
7	17. On March 19, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-24, "Restrictions on			
8	Non Urgent Medical Procedures." The proclamation provides, in part:			
9 10 11	WHEREAS, the health care person protective equipment supply chain in Washington State has been severely disrupted by the significant increased use of such equipment worldwide, such that there are now critical shortages of this equipment for health care workers. To curtail the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic in Washington State and to			
12	protect our health care workers as they provide health care services, it is necessary to immediately prohibit all hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, and dental orthodontic,			
13 14	and endodontic offices in Washington State from providing health care services, procedures and surgeries that require personal protective equipment, which if delayed, are not anticipated to cause harm to the patient within the next three months[.]			
15	18. On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25, "Stay Home—			
16	State Healthy." The proclamation requires that "[a]ll people in Washington State []			
17	immediately cease leaving their home or place of residence except: (1) to conduct or participate			
18	in essential activities, and/or (2) for employment in essential business activities." The			
19	proclamation prohibits "all non-essential businesses in Washington State from conducting			
20	business, within the limitations provided herein."			
21 22	19. Governor Inslee has extended Proclamation until May 31, 2020.			
23	20. By order of Governor Inslee, dentists including Plaintiff were prohibited from			
24	providing services but for urgent and emergency procedures.			
25	21. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.			
26				
	AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 4 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384			

22. Plaintiff's property has sustained direct physical loss and/or damages related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.

23. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the TCICA policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, extended business interruption, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.

24. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its intended purposes.

25. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by the TCICA policy or policies.

26. TCICA denied coverage for Bath's loss on April 1, 2020. Upon information and belief, TCICA has denied and will deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

27. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Washington Civil Rules 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).

28.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The Classes and Subclasses that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined as:

A. **Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class:** All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.

B. *Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 5

premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.

C. **Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class:** All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

D. Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

E. *Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim was denied by TCICA.

F. *Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or

orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim was denied by TCICA.

G. *Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

H. *Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Extra Expense coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

I. *Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class*: All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.

J. *Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass*: All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 7

other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim was denied by TCICA.

K. *Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

L. *Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to COVID-19 related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

M. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim was denied by TCICA.

N. *Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim was denied by TCICA.

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 8

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1300 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

O. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:* All persons and entities in the United States insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

P. *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:* All persons and entities in the State of Washington insured under a TCICA policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense related to the impact of COVID-19 19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.

29. Excluded from the Classes and Subclasses are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definition based on information obtained in discovery.

30. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of CR 23.

31. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class and Subclass contains hundreds of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.

32. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

AMENDED COMPLAINT-CLASS ACTION - 9

A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class and Subclass;

B. Whether TCICA acted in a manner common to the Class and Subclass and wrongfully denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

C. Whether Business Income coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

D. Whether Extra Expense coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

E. Whether Extended Business Income coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;

F. Whether Civil Authority coverage in TCICA's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;

G. Whether TCICA has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 10

I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.

33. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of TCICA. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.

34. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.

35. CR 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or Varying Adjudications and 14 Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the 15 16 interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members 17 of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would 18 risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent 19 standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff could also 20 impair the ability of absent class members to protect their interests. 21 36. CR 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief: Defendant acted or refused to 22

act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.

37. **CR 23(b)(3), Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages

AMENDED COMPLAINT-CLASS ACTION - 11

sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

Count One—Declaratory Judgment

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

38. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

39. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Uniform

Declaratory Judgments Act, RCW 7.24.010 et seq.

40. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage

Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass,

Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington

Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income

Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil

Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.

4 41. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff's and class 6 members losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.

AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 12

Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that TCICA is responsible for 42. timely and fully paying all such claims.

1

2

3	Count Two—Breach of Contract				
4					
5	(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach				
6	of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract				
7	Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)				
8	43. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.				
9	44. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage				
10	44. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Coverage				
11	Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Washington Subclass,				
12	Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of				
13	Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, Civil Authority				
14	Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, and Extra				
15	Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.				
16	45. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to				
17	TCICA in exchange for TCICA's promise to pay Plaintiff and the class for all claims covered				
18					
19	by the Policy.				
20	46. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.				
21	47. Upon information and belief, TCICA has denied, and will continue to deny				
22	coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.				
23	48. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.				
24	49. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by TCICA.				
25					
26					

AMENDED COMPLAINT-CLASS ACTION - 13

1	VII.	PRAYER	
2	1. A declaratory judgment that	he policy or policies cover Plaintiff's losses and	
3	expenses resulting from the interruption of Plaintiff's business related to COVID-19 and/or		
4	orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.		
5	2. A declaratory judgment that t	he defendant is responsible for timely and fully	
6	paying all such losses.		
7 8	3. Damages.		
8 9	C C	est at the highest allowable rate.	
10	5. Reasonable attorney fees and	-	
11			
12		as the Court shall deem appropriate.	
13	DATED this 13th day of May, 2020.		
14		KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.	
15		By: <u>s/ Ian S. Birk</u>	
16		Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431	
17		GORDON TILDEN THOMAS & CORDELL	
18 19		By: <u>s/Mark A. Wilner</u>	
20		Mark A. Wilner WSBA #31550	
20		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
22			
23	4841-0638-3548, v. 3		
24			
25			
26			
	AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION - 14	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database