# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS BENTON DIVISION

Rachael Barnett, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

3:22-cv-02178

Plaintiff,

- against -

**Class Action Complaint** 

Schwan's Consumer Brands, Inc.,

Defendant

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations about Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Schwan's Consumer Brands, Inc. ("Defendant") manufactures, markets, and sells frozen apple pie promoted as containing a "Flaky Crust Made With Real Butter" under the Mrs. Smith's brand ("Product").





2. The front label contains pictures of two pats of fresh butter, one and a half apples, and a blue ribbon seal, emblematic of state fairs of lore.

#### I. CONSUMERS VALUE BUTTER

- 3. Since the dawn of recorded history, humans have consumed butter, made from cream and salt, on a farm.
- 4. For the past 150 years, butter has competed against yellow-colored blends of vegetable oils.
  - 5. These oils include canola oil, cottonseed oil, palm oil, and soybean oil.
- 6. According to the USDA, the last ten years have seen significant changes in consumer demand for butter and vegetable oils.
- 7. While per capita butter consumption reached its highest level in 50 years, six pounds per person per year, vegetable oil alternatives are at their lowest level since the 1940s, at three pounds.
  - 8. There are several reasons for these changes.
- 9. First, according to consumer research firm Mintel, consumers are choosing butter over vegetable oils due to its "natural appeal" and a desire for minimally processed foods.
- 10. Butter is made by churning cow's milk, and contains only the natural ingredients of cream and salt.
- 11. In contrast, vegetable oils are considered ultra-processed foods ("UPF"), undergoing hydrogenation and interesterification, in the presence of chemical catalysts such as nickel and cadmium.
- 12. Second, consumers are more aware of the healthier profile of butter compared to vegetable oils.
- 13. Butter contains heart healthier fats, while vegetable oils contain harmful trans fats, a result of hydrogenation and interesterification.
  - 14. Butter also has calcium, protein, and vitamins A and D while vegetable oils have no

comparable nutritional value because of the intense processing needed to render them palatable.

- 15. Third, consumers prefer the taste of butter to vegetable oil substitutes.
- 16. Butter is known for its creamy, smooth taste, and melts at mouth temperature.
- 17. While vegetable oils like are in theory heavily refined to provide a neutral taste, they are highly susceptible to oxidation and reversion back to their original "beany" and waxy taste.

### II. "MADE WITH REAL BUTTER" MISLEADING

- 18. All baked goods contain shortening ingredients, which refers to a solid type of fat which imparts a "short" or tenderizing effect.
  - 19. Consumers generally consider a pie's crust as their favorite part of a pie.
- 20. The most important attribute of a pie crust is its flakiness, with numerous layers of dough and sturdy enough to not become chewy or mealy from the fruit fillings.
- 21. Pie crusts are typically comprised of flour, salt, water, and shortening, which refers to a solid type of fat.
- 22. The amount and type of fat used is significant because it has the greatest impact on flavor and texture.
- 23. The fat ingredients tenderize pie crust by coating flour proteins in the dough and physically interfering with the development of gluten.
- 24. The fats used contribute to whether a crust is flaky, because the solid pieces of fat melt, creating air pockets and steam, separating the dough.
  - 25. Butter is known for producing a flaky pie crust in part because of its water content.
- 26. When butter is cut into dough, it creates tiny beads or flakes, preventing excess water from absorbing into the flour.
  - 27. When a pie is heated, the butter melts, creating air pockets and releasing its water

content.

- 28. Because one part of water creates 1,600 parts of steam, the steam separates and expands the surrounding dough, resulting in the ideal flaky crust.
- 29. Though the front label prominently states the crust is "MADE WITH REAL BUTTER," consumers are misled because its primary shortening ingredient is "Palm Oil," shown in the fine print of the side panel in the ingredient list as part of an ingredient called "Shortening Butter Blend."



CRUST: WHEAT FLOUR, SHORTENING BUTTER BLEND (PALM OIL, BUTTER [CREAM, SALT]), PALM OIL, WATER, SOYBEAN OIL, SALT, DEXTROSE, YEAST, MONO- AND DIGLYCERIDES, APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE.

**CRUST: WHEAT** FLOUR, **SHORTENING** BUTTER BLEND (PALM OIL, BUTTER [CREAM, SALT]), PALM OIL, WATER, **SOYBEAN** OIL, DEXTROSE, SALT, YEAST, MONO-**AND** DIGLYCERIDES, APPLE JUICE CONCENTRATE.

- 30. Contrary to what consumers read, the second most predominant shortening ingredient is not "Butter," even though this is listed after "Palm Oil."
- 31. The amount of butter is de minimis or negligible, in absolute and relative amounts to the shortening ingredients used.
- 32. The ingredient list fails to list the ingredients by their common or usual name and by descending order of predominance by weight, contrary to federal and identical state requirements. 21 C.F.R. § 101.4(a)(1).

- 33. Though exceptions exist for listing multi-component ingredients, they are not applicable.
- 34. The first exception is where an ingredient contains two or more component ingredients and has a common or usual name or a standard of identity. 21 C.F.R. § 101.4(b)(2).
- 35. No established common or usual name or standard of identity exists for "Shortening Butter Blend."
- 36. The second exception is relevant where a shortening ingredient is entirely from one source, such as vegetable, animal, or marine. 21 C.F.R. § 101.4(b)(14).
- 37. Since "Shortening Butter Blend" is a combination of vegetable and animal fats, it is not permitted to be listed as its own ingredient.
- 38. If "Butter" were listed in order of predominance by weight as required, it would not be the fourth ingredient, but closer to the least predominant ingredient.
- 39. In fact, the Product contains more soybean oil, water, and salt than butter, even though butter is ahead of these ingredients.
- 40. This conclusion is based in part on analysis of the Nutrition Facts and ingredient list, based on the amount of nutrients and vitamins commonly found in butter.
- 41. By replacing butter with the vegetable oil shortenings of palm oil and soybean oil, the Product's crust lacks the nutritional, structural (i.e., flaky crust), organoleptic, and sensory attributes that consumers expect from a label indicating it is "Made With Real Butter."
- 42. Butter is more costly than vegetable oil shortenings of palm oil and soybean oil, and the expectation of a greater amount of this ingredient was misleading.

#### III. CONCLUSION

- 43. Defendant makes other representations and omissions which are false and misleading.
- 44. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.
- 45. As a result of the false and misleading representations, the Product is sold at a premium price, approximately no less than \$6.49 for one pie, excluding tax and sales, higher than similar products, represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than it would be sold for absent the misleading representations and omissions.

### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 46. Jurisdiction is based on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
- 47. The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, including any statutory and punitive damages, exclusive of interest and costs.
  - 48. Plaintiff is a citizen of Illinois.
- 49. Defendant is a Georgia corporation with a principal place of business in Bloomington, Hennepin County, Minnesota.
- 50. The class of persons Plaintiff seeks to represent includes persons who are citizens of different states from which Defendant is a citizen.
- 51. The members of the class Plaintiff seeks to represent are more than 100, because the Product has been sold at thousands of locations including grocery stores, warehouse club stores, drug stores, big box stores, and online, in the States covered by the classes Plaintiff seeks to represent.
  - 52. Venue is in this District, with assignment to the Benton Division, because a

substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to these claims occurred in Williamson County, including Plaintiff's purchase, transactions, consumption and/or use of the Product and exposure to, awareness and/or experiences of and with the issues described here.

#### **Parties**

- 53. Plaintiff Rachael Barnett is a citizen of Herrin, Williamson County, Illinois.
- 54. Defendant Schwan's Consumer Brands, Inc., is a Georgia corporation with a principal place of business in Bloomington, Hennepin County, Minnesota.
  - 55. Defendant manufactures and markets Mrs. Smith's frozen pies.
- 56. Founded over a century ago in rural Pennsylvania by homemaker Amanda Smith, Mrs. Smith's is one of the largest frozen pie brands in the United States.
- 57. Originally sold door-to-door by her immediate family and at local lunch counters, the success of her homemade pies resulted in rapid expansion.
- 58. Like Mrs. Smith's, Schwan's began selling homemade, frozen foods as a local delivery company in rural Minnesota.
- 59. The success of Schwan's offerings resulted in numerous brands that would become staples of American kitchens.
- 60. Plaintiff is like the majority of consumers who prefer butter to vegetable shortening ingredients when consuming baked goods, for the reasons indicated above.
- 61. Plaintiff relied on the front label statement that the Product's crust was "Made With Real Butter" to expect butter would be either the predominant shortening ingredient in the crust or at least be present in a non-de minimis amount.
- 62. Plaintiff saw the two pats of butter and pictures of apples to expect these ingredients were included beyond de minimis amounts.

7

Plaintiff purchased the Product on one or more occasions within the statutes of

limitations for each cause of action alleged, at stores including Kroger, 1609 S Park Ave, Herrin,

IL 62948, between November 2021 and December 2021, and/or among other times.

Plaintiff relied on the words, terms coloring, descriptions, layout, placement, 64.

packaging, tags, and/or images on the Product, on the labeling, statements, omissions, claims,

statements, and instructions, made by Defendant or at its directions, in digital, print and/or social

media, which accompanied the Product and separately, through in-store, digital, audio, and print

marketing.

65. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price.

Plaintiff chose between Defendant's Product and products represented similarly, but 66.

which did not misrepresent their attributes and/or components.

67. Plaintiff paid more for the Product than she would have paid absent the false and

misleading statements and omissions.

Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when she can do so

with the assurance its representations are consistent with its abilities, attributes, and/or

composition.

69. Plaintiff is unable to rely on the labeling and representations not only of this Product,

but other similar products promoting their butter content, because she is unsure whether those

representations are truthful.

Class Allegations

70. Plaintiff seeks certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 of the following classes:

> Illinois Class: All persons in Illinois who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each

cause of action alleged; and

Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class: All persons in

8

the States of Utah, Virginia, Wyoming, Arkansas, Ohio, Nevada, North Carolina, and Alabama who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged.

- 71. Common questions of issues, law, and fact predominate and include whether Defendant's representations were and are misleading and if Plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.
- 72. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair, misleading, and deceptive representations, omissions, and actions.
- 73. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because her interests do not conflict with other members.
- 74. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on Defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.
- 75. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.
- 76. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.
  - 77. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

# Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act ("ICFA"), 815 ILCS 505/1, et seq.

- 78. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 79. Plaintiff read and relied on the labeling indicated above and believed the Product's crust contained a non-de minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings.

# <u>Violation of State Consumer Fraud Acts</u> (Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class)

- 80. The Consumer Fraud Acts of the States in the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class are similar to the consumer protection statute invoked by Plaintiff and prohibit the use of unfair or deceptive business practices in the conduct of commerce.
- 81. The members of the Consumer Fraud Multi-State Class reserve their rights to assert their consumer protection claims under the Consumer Fraud Acts of the States they represent and/or the consumer protection statute invoked by Plaintiff.

Breaches of Express Warranty,
Implied Warranty of Merchantability/Fitness for a Particular Purpose and
Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

- 82. The Product was manufactured, identified, marketed, and sold by Defendant and expressly and impliedly warranted to Plaintiff that its crust contained a non-de minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings.
- 83. Defendant directly marketed the Product to Plaintiff through its advertisements and marketing, through various forms of media, on the packaging, in print circulars, direct mail, product descriptions distributed to resellers, and targeted digital advertising.
- 84. Defendant knew the product attributes that potential customers like Plaintiff were seeking and developed its marketing and labeling to directly meet those needs and desires.
- 85. Defendant's representations about the Product were conveyed in writing and promised it would be defect-free, and Plaintiff understood this meant that its crust contained a non-de minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings.
- 86. Defendant's representations affirmed and promised the Product's crust contained a non-de minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings.
  - 87. Defendant described the Product so Plaintiff believed its crust contained a non-de

minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings, which became part of the basis of the bargain that it would conform to its affirmations and promises.

- 88. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions of the Product.
- 89. This duty is based on Defendant's outsized role in the market for this type of Product, custodian of the Mrs. Smith's brand.
  - 90. Plaintiff recently became aware of Defendant's breach of the Product's warranties.
- 91. Plaintiff provides or will provide notice to Defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers, and their employees that it breached the Product's express and implied warranties.
- 92. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these issues due to complaints by third-parties, including regulators, non-profit organizations, competitors, and/or consumers, to its main offices, and by consumers through online forums.
- 93. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to Defendant's actions.
- 94. The Product was not merchantable because it was not fit to pass in the trade as advertised, not fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was intended and did not conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the packaging, container or label, because it was marketed as if its crust contained a non-de minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings.
- 95. The Product was not merchantable because Defendant had reason to know the particular purpose for which the Product was bought by Plaintiff, because she expected its crust contained a non-de minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings.

## Negligent Misrepresentation

- 96. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.
- 97. This duty was non-delegable, based on Defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience in this area, an industry leader in pre-made desserts.
- 98. The representations and omissions went beyond the specific representations on the packaging, as they incorporated the extra-labeling promises and commitments to quality, transparency and putting customers first that it has been known for.
- 99. These promises were outside of the standard representations that other companies may make in a standard arms-length, retail context.
- 100. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in Defendant.
- 101. Plaintiff reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, her purchase of the Product.

#### Fraud

- 102. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product, that its crust contained a non-de minimis and/or predominant amount of butter relative to vegetable oil shortenings.
- 103. The records Defendant is required to maintain, and/or the information inconspicuously disclosed to consumers, provided it with actual and constructive knowledge of this falsity and deception, through statements and omissions.

#### <u>Unjust Enrichment</u>

104. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of Plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

# Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

# WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying Plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Injunctive relief to correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and representations;
- 3. Awarding monetary, statutory and/or punitive damages, restitution, and interest;
- 4. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for Plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 18, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Spencer Sheehan

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 412 Great Neck NY 11021 (516) 268-7080 spencer@spencersheehan.com

# $_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~04/21)}$ Case 3:22-cv-02178 Document Coving Sp/18/22 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| purpose of initiating the civil de  | ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC                               | CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O                      | F THIS F  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·               |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
|---|---|--|-----------|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Rachael Barnett, individually and on behalf of all ot         |   |  |           | hers   DEFENDANTS<br>Schwan's Consumer Brands, Inc. |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| similarly situated  | •   |  |           |   |  |                         | ,                                       |  |                          |                     |
| <b>(b)</b> County of Residence of   | of First Listed Plaintiff<br>XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA | Williamson                                 |           | County of Resid                                     | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| (12)  | TODI I IIV O.B. I LEIIIVIII I OI                        | 1023)                                      |           | NOTE: IN LAN  | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  N LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A   |   |  | - NIV     | Attorneys (If Kno                                   | wn)  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| Sheehan & Associates, P. 11021 (516) 268-7080                                   | C., 60 Cutteriiiii Ku                                   | Sie 412 Gleat Neci                         | KINI      |   |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI  | ICTION (Place an "X" in                                 | One Box Only)                              | III. C    | <u> </u><br> TIZENSHIP OI                           | F PRI  | NCIPA                   | L PARTIES                               | (Place an "X" in                                       | One Box fo               | or Plainti <u>f</u> |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government   | 1 U.S. Government                                       |  |           | (For Diversity Cases O                              | nly)<br><b>PTF</b>   | DEF                     | C                                       | und One Box for I                                      | Defendant) <b>PTF</b>    | DEF                 |
|   |   |  | Citi      | zen of This State                                   | <b>1</b>   |                         | Incorporated or Pri<br>of Business In T |  | □ 4                      | □ 4                 |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                   |  | Citi      | zen of Another State                                | □ 2  | □ 2                     | Incorporated and F<br>of Business In A  |  | □ 5                      | <b>☑</b> 5          |
|   |   |  |           | zen or Subject of a foreign Country                 | □ 3  | □ 3                     | Foreign Nation                          |  | □ 6                      | □ 6                 |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT  |   |  |           |   |  |                         |   | uit Code Descriptions .                                |                          |                     |
| CONTRACT  □ 110 Insurance   | PERSONAL INJURY   | ORTS PERSONAL INJUR                        |           | FORFEITURE/PENALT<br>525 Drug Related Seizure       |  |                         | eal 28 USC 158                          | ☐ 375 False O  | Claims A at              |                     |
| ☐ 120 Marine  | □ 310 Airplane □ 365 Person                             |  |           |   |  | 1 423 With              | ndrawal                                 | □ 376 Qui Ta   | am (31 USO               |                     |
| ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument                                    | ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability                        | -  |           |   |  |                         | USC 157                                 | 3729(a))  □ 400 State Reapportionment                  |                          |                     |
| ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment   | ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &                                  | Pharmaceutical                             |           |   |  |                         | ERTY RIGHTS                             | ☐ 410 Antitru  | ıst                      |                     |
| & Enforcement of Judgment  ☐ 151 Medicare Act                                   | Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'                       | Personal Injury<br>Product Liability       |           |   |  | 820 Cop                 |   | <ul><li>□ 430 Banks</li><li>□ 450 Comm</li></ul>       |                          | ng                  |
| ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted<br>Student Loans                                    | Liability  ☐ 340 Marine                                 | ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal<br>Injury Product  | 1         |   |  |                         | nt<br>nt-Abbreviated                    | <ul> <li>□ 460 Deport</li> <li>□ 470 Racket</li> </ul> |                          | nood ond            |
| (Excludes Veterans)   | ☐ 345 Marine Product                                    | Liability                                  | ┕         |   |  | Nev<br>l 840 Trac       | Drug Application                        |  | t Organiza               |                     |
| ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits                             | Liability  ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle                          | PERSONAL PROPER  ☑ 370 Other Fraud         |           | LABOR<br>10 Fair Labor Standards                    |  | 1 880 Defe              | end Trade Secrets                       | ☐ 480 Consum<br>(15 US                                 | mer Credit<br>SC 1681 or |                     |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits   | ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle                                     | ☐ 371 Truth in Lending                     |           | Act   |  | Act                     | of 2016                                 | ☐ 485 Teleph   | one Consu                |                     |
| <ul><li>□ 190 Other Contract</li><li>□ 195 Contract Product Liability</li></ul> | Product Liability  ☐ 360 Other Personal                 | ☐ 380 Other Personal<br>Property Damage    | □7        | 220 Labor/Management<br>Relations                   | _  |                         | L SECURITY                              | Protec 490 Cable/                                      | tion Act<br>Sat TV       |                     |
| ☐ 196 Franchise   | Injury  ☐ 362 Personal Injury -                         | ☐ 385 Property Damage<br>Product Liability |           | 40 Railway Labor Act<br>51 Family and Medical       |  | l 861 HIA<br>l 862 Blac | :k Lung (923)                           | ☐ 850 Securit<br>Exchai                                |                          | odities/            |
|   | Medical Malpractice                                     | •  |           | Leave Act   |  |                         | /C/DIWW (405(g))<br>D Title XVI         | □ 890 Other 3  | Statutory A              |                     |
| REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation  | CIVIL RIGHTS  ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights                  | PRISONER PETITIO                           |           | '90 Other Labor Litigation '91 Employee Retirement  | n r  | 865 RSI                 |   | <ul><li>□ 891 Agricu</li><li>□ 893 Enviro</li></ul>    |                          |                     |
| ☐ 220 Foreclosure   | ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting                   | ☐ 463 Alien Detainee                       |           | Income Security Act                                 | -  | FEDER                   | AL TAX S UITS                           | □ 895 Freedo   |                          |                     |
| ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐                                | ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/                         | ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate<br>Sentence        | ÷         |   |  |                         | es (U.S. Plaintiff                      | Act  ☐ 896 Arbitra                                     | ation                    |                     |
| ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability  | Accommodations  | ☐ 530 General                              |           | or Defend   |  |                         | ☐ 899 Administrative Proce              |  |                          |                     |
| ☐ 290 All Other Real Property   | ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-<br>Employment               | ☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:                 | □ 4       | IMMIGRATION<br>62 Naturalization Applic             | ation  | 26 U                    | JSC 7609                                | Act/Review or App<br>Agency Decision                   |                          |                     |
|   | ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-                             | ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth                       |           | 65 Other Immigration                                |  |                         |   | ☐ 950 Constitution  State S                            | -                        | of                  |
|   | Other  448 Education                                    | ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition  |           | Actions   |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
|   |   | ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -<br>Conditions of    |           |   |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| V ODICIN (V)  |   | Confinement                                |           |   |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| - 6   | moved from   3  te Court                                | Remanded from Appellate Court              |           | nstated or □ 5 Tra                                  |  |                         | □ 6 Multidistri                         |  | Multidist                |                     |
| Troceeding Sta  |   |  |           | (spe  | other D<br>ecify)  |                         | Litigation<br>Transfer                  | -  | Litigation Direct F      |                     |
|   | 28 II S C 8 1332  | atute under which you a                    | re filing | (Do not cite jurisdictiona                          | al statute   | es unless di            | iversity):                              |  |                          |                     |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION   | Brief description of c                                  | ause:                                      |           |   |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  | ☑ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2                            | S IS A <b>CLASS ACTIO</b><br>23, F.R.Cv.P. | N I       | DEMAND \$   |  |                         | HECK YES only i URY DEMAND:             |  | omplain<br>□ No          |                     |
| VIII. RELATED CASE  | E(S)  |  |           |   |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| IF ANY  | (See instructions):                                     | JUDGE                                      |           |   |  | DOCK                    | ET NUMBER                               |  |                          |                     |
| DATE  |   | SIGNATURE OF AT                            | TORNEY    | OF RECORD   |  | _ DOCK                  | LI HOMBER                               |  |                          |                     |
| September   | 18, 2022  |  |           | /5  | s/Spenc  | er Sheeha               | ın                                      |  |                          |                     |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY   |   |  |           |   |  |                         |   |  |                          |                     |
| RECEIPT # AI  | MOUNT   | APPLYING IFP                               |           | IUDO  | ŧΕ   |                         | MAG III                                 | DGE  |                          |                     |

# United States District Court

for the Southern District of Illinois

| Rachael Barnett, individually others similarly situated,  | and on behalf of all  | )<br>)<br>)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Plaintiff(s)  |   | ,<br>)  |  |  |  |
| V.  |   | Civil Action No. 3:22-cy-02178  |  |  |  |
|   |   | )   |  |  |  |
| Schwan's Consumer Brands,   | Inc.,   | )   |  |  |  |
|   |   | )   |  |  |  |
|   | )   | )   |  |  |  |
|   | SUMMONS IN  | A CIVIL ACTION  |  |  |  |
| To: (Defendant's name and address)  | Schwan's Consumer B   | trands. Inc   |  |  |  |
| c/o C T Corporation System Inc. 1010 Dale St N  |   |   |  |  |  |
| A lawsuit has been filed  | 1 against vou   |   |  |  |  |
| Within 21 days after ser are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must sthe Federal Rules of Civil Proce whose name and address are: | rvice of this summons on y<br>d States agency, or an office<br>serve on the plaintiff an areadure. The answer or motion | you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. aswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 412 Great Neck NY 11021 |  |  |  |
| If you fail to respond, ju<br>You also must file your answer  |   | e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.   |  |  |  |
|   |   | CLERK OF COURT  |  |  |  |
| Date:   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk  |  |  |  |

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-02178

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

|        | This summons for (nan   | ne of individual and title, if any)        |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|--|---------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| was re | ceived by me on (date)  |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | ☐ I personally served   | the summons on the individual              | l at (place)                    |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   |  | on (date)                       | ; or |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | ☐ I left the summons  |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,            |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | ☐ I served the summo  | served the summons on (name of individual) |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | designated by law to a  | accept service of process on be            | half of (name of organization)  |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   |  | on (date)                       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | ☐ I returned the summ   | mons unexecuted because                    | ed because                      |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | □ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):   |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | My fees are \$  | for travel and \$                          | for services, for a total of \$ |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.       |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date:  |   |  | Server's signature              |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   |  | Printed name and title          |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   | _  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Server's address  |  |                                 |      |  |  |  |  |  |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Mrs. Smith's Apple Pie Contains Less Butter Than Advertised, Class Action Alleges