9 wedelman@milberg.com 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Alex R. Straus (SBN 312366) MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 280 S. Beverly Drive, PH Suite Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (866) 252-0878 Facsimile: (865) 522-0049 astraus@milberg.com William J. Edelman (SBN 285177) MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 227 West Monroe Street, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (771) 474-1121 Facsimile: (865) 522-0049	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 10/17/2025 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: A. Morales Deputy
13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 14 CENTRAL DISTRICT 15 JANE BARCELO and CHRISTINA ISERNIA, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, 18 Plaintiffs, 19 V. 20 PAPAYA GAMING LTD. and PAPAYA 21 GAMING, INC., 22 Defendants. 23 Defendants. 24 Defendants. 26 Date: October 17, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept: 7 Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Samantha Jessner PAPAYA GAMING LTD. and PAPAYA APPROVAL ORDER PAPAYA GAMING LTD. and PAPAYA APPROVAL ORDER Date Case Filed: 12/10/2024	10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class	
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CENTRAL DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT OF LOS ANGELES CENTRAL DISTRICT Case No.: 24STCV32626 Date: October 17, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept: 7 Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Samantha Jessner PAPAYA GAMING LTD. and PAPAYA GAMING, INC., Defendants. Date Case Filed: 12/10/2024		IN THE SUPERIOR COURT C	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
JANE BARCELO and CHRISTINA ISERNIA, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, PAPAYA GAMING LTD. and PAPAYA GAMING, INC., Defendants. Date: October 17, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept: 7 Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Samantha Jessner PROPOSED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER Date Case Filed: 12/10/2024			
JANE BARCELO and CHRISTINA ISERNIA, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, PAPAYA GAMING LTD. and PAPAYA GAMING, INC., Defendants. Date: October 17, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept: 7 Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Samantha Jessner PROPOSED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER Date Case Filed: 12/10/2024	15	CENTRA	L DISTRICT
27	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v. PAPAYA GAMING LTD. and PAPAYA GAMING, INC.,	Date: October 17, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept: 7 Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Samantha Jessner PROPOSED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER
	27		

[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

1 /

--

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Court having reviewed in detail and considered the Motion and Memorandum in support of the Motion, the Supplemental Memorandum in support of the Motion, the Class Action Settlement Agreement between Jane Barcelo and Christina Isernia (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Papaya Gaming, LTD. and Papaya Gaming, Inc. ("Papaya" or "Defendant"), and all other declarations and papers that have been filed with the Court related to the Settlement Agreement, including all exhibits and attachments to the Motion and the Settlement Agreement, and the Court being fully advised in the premises.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Capitalized terms used in this Order that are not otherwise defined herein have the same meaning assigned to them in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. There is good cause to find that the Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arm's length between the Parties, who were represented by experienced counsel.
- 3. For settlement purposes only, the Court finds that the prerequisites to class action treatment under Cal. Code of Civil Procedure § 382 including numerosity, commonality and predominance, adequacy, and appropriateness of class treatment of these claims have been preliminarily satisfied.
- 4. The Court hereby conditionally certifies, pursuant to Cal. Code of Civil Procedure § 382, and for the purposes of settlement only, the following Settlement Class:

The Settlement Class:

All persons in the United States and United States Territories who had a Papaya account and made a deposit in one or more Papaya games during the Class Period (between January 1, 2019 through September 5, 2024), excluding players blocked due to fraudulent activity. Excluded from the Settlement Class shall be the judge assigned to the Action, counsel to the Parties, Brenna Kelly-Starkebaum, Daniel Golden, Barbara Miller, Jeremy Ghost, Jill Lastarza, Kortni Koutrakos, Robert A. Meyer and their employees, legal representatives, heirs successors, assigns, or any members of the immediate family; any government entity; Defendants, any entity in which Defendants have a controlling interest, any of Defendants' subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, and officers, directors, employees, legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, or any members of their immediate family; any persons who have released claims relating to the Released Claims or the Action; and any persons who timely opt-out of the Settlement Class.

- 5. For settlement purposes only, Plaintiffs are designated and appointed as Settlement Class Representatives.
- 6. For settlement purposes only, the following counsel are designated and appointed as Class Counsel: Jonathan B. Cohen, Gary M. Klinger, and Dan K. Bryson of Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman, PLLC.
- 7. The Court recognizes that, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendants retain all rights to object to the propriety of class certification in the Litigation in all other contexts and for all other purposes should the Settlement not be finally approved. Therefore, as more fully set forth below, if the Settlement is not finally approved, and litigation resumes, this Court's preliminary findings regarding the propriety of class certification shall be of no further force or effect whatsoever, and this Order will be vacated in its entirety. The Court further recognizes that, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, if the Settlement is terminated then Plaintiffs will dismiss this action without prejudice and the Parties will resume the arbitration proceedings, not litigate in this Court.
- 8. The Court approves, in form and content, the forms of Notice attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit "A" (Email Notice) and Exhibit "C" (Long Form Notice) and finds that they meet the requirements of Cal. Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and satisfy due process.
- 9. The Court finds that the planned Notice set forth in the Settlement Agreement meets the requirements of Cal. Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, where Settlement Class Members are current and former users of Defendant's gaming application, Papaya games, and satisfies fully the requirements of due process, and any other applicable law, such that the Settlement Agreement and Final Approval Order will be binding on all Settlement Class Members. In addition, the Court finds that no notice other than that specifically identified in the Settlement Agreement is necessary in this action. The Parties, by agreement, may revise the Class Notice and Claim Form, attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit "D" (Claim Form), in ways that are not material, or in ways that are appropriate to update those documents for purposes of accuracy or formatting for publication.
- 10. Angeion Group located at 1650 Arch St., Suite 2210, Philadelphia, PA 19103, is hereby appointed Settlement Administrator to supervise and administer the notice process, as well

as to oversee the administration of the Settlement, as more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. The Settlement Administrator may proceed with the distribution of Class Notice as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Settlement Class Members shall be bound by all determinations and orders pertaining to the Settlement, including the release of all claims, whether favorable or unfavorable, unless such persons request exclusion from the Settlement Class in a timely and proper manner, as hereinafter provided. Settlement Class Members who do not timely and validly request exclusion shall be so bound even if they have previously initiated or subsequently initiated litigation or other proceedings against any Released Entities relating to the Released Claims released under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Any person falling within the definition of the Settlement Class may, upon a valid and timely request, exclude themselves or "opt out" from the Settlement Class. Any such person may do so if, on or before the Response Deadline of 105 days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order they comply with the exclusion procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Notice. Any Members of the Settlement Class so excluded shall neither be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement nor entitled to any of its benefits.
- 14. No person within the Settlement Class, or any person acting on behalf of, in concert with, or in participation with that person within the Settlement Class, may request exclusion from the Settlement Class of any other person within the Settlement Class.
- 15. Any person in the Settlement Class who elects to be excluded shall not: (a) be bound by any orders or the Final Approval Order; (b) be entitled to relief under the Settlement Agreement; (c) gain any rights by virtue of the Settlement Agreement; or (d) be entitled to any aspect of the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Any Settlement Class Member who has not requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and who wishes to object to any aspect of the Settlement Agreement, including the amount of the Fee Award that Class Counsel intends to seek and the payment of the Service Awards to the Settlement Class Representatives, may do so, either personally or through an attorney, by filing a

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

written objection, together with the supporting documentation set forth in VII of the Settlement Agreement, with the Clerk of the Court, and served upon Class Counsel, Defendant's Counsel, and the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before the date of the Exclusion Deadline.

- 17. Any Settlement Class Member may object to this Settlement by mailing a written objection, postmarked on or before the Response Deadline, to the Court c/o Angeion Group, 1650 Arch St., Suite 2210, Philadelphia, PA 19103, or by filing it in person on or before the Response Deadline at any location of the Court. All objections must be in writing and personally signed by the Settlement Class Member and include: (1) the objector's name, address, email address if any, and telephone number; (2) the case caption; (3) the specific factual basis and legal grounds for the objection; (4) a list of all cases in which the objector has objected to a class action settlement, including case name, court, and docket number; (5) if the objector is represented by counsel, a list of all cases in which the objector's counsel has represented an objector in objecting to a class action settlement, case name, court, and docket number; (6) a statement indicating whether the Settlement Class Member and/or their lawyer(s) intend to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (7) a list of witnesses, if any, that the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to call; and (8) whether the objection relates only to the objector, or to a subset of the Settlement Class, or to the entire Settlement Class. The objector must serve the objection on Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel.
- 18. If represented by counsel, the objecting Settlement Class Member must also provide the name and telephone number of his/her counsel. If the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing at his/her own expense, either with or without counsel, he/she must state as such in the written objection, and must also identify any witnesses he/she may call to testify at the Final Approval Hearing and all exhibits he/she intends to introduce into evidence at the Final Approval Hearing, which must also be attached to, or included with, the written objection.
- 19. Not withstanding the foregoing, the Court will hear from any class member who attends the Final Approval Hearing and asks to speak regarding his or her objection, even if they have not submitted a written objection.

by order of the Court without further notice to the Settlement Class. At or following the Final

The Final Approval Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, transferred, or continued

27

28

24.

Approval Hearing, the Court may enter a final order approving the Settlement Agreement and a Final Approval Order in accordance with the Settlement Agreement that adjudicates the rights of all Settlement Class Members.

- 25. Settlement Class Members do not need to appear at the Final Approval Hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval.
- 26. The Court will have continuing jurisdiction over the Action for the purpose of implementing the Settlement until the Action and all related matters are fully resolved, and for enforcement of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement and Final Approval Order thereafter.
- 27. All discovery and other proceedings in the Litigation as between Plaintiffs and Defendants are stayed and suspended until further order of the Court except such actions as may be necessary to implement the Settlement Agreement and this Order.
- 28. The Parties to the Settlement Agreement are directed to carry out their obligations under the terms thereof.
- 29. In accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement specifying the procedures for settlement administration and payment to Settlement Class Members, the Court enumerates below the following deadlines:

Event	Date
Email Notice	30 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order
P (C1 :) P 11:	107.1 C (D) 11.1 A 10.1
Response (Claims) Deadline	105 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order
Fee Award Petition	21 days prior to Response Deadline
ree Award retition	21 days prior to Response Deadinie
Objection/Exclusion Deadline	105 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Final Approval Motion	14 days prior to Response Deadline
Final Approval Hearing	135 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order
	March 02, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

10/17/2025



Samantha Jessner/Judge Approved and so ordered

1	/s/ William J. Edelman			
2	William J. Edelman Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman, PLLC 227 West Monroe Street, Suite 2100			
3	Chicago, IL 60606 wedelman@milberg.com			
4	Alex R. Straus			
5	Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman, PLLC 280 S. Beverly Drive, PH Suite			
6	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 astraus@milberg.com			
7	Daniel K. Bryson			
8	Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman, PLLC 900 West Morgan Street			
9	Raleigh, NC 27603 dbryson@milberg.com			
10	Jonathan B. Cohen			
11	Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman, PLLC 3833 Central Ave.			
12	St. Petersburg, FL 33713 jcohen@milberg.com			
13	Attorney for Plaintiffs and Putative Class			
14				
15	<u>/s/ Jason Russell</u> Jason Russell			
16	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP One Manhattan West			
17	New York, NY 10001 jason.russell@skadden.com			
18				
19	Raza Rasheed Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP			
20	One Manhattan West New York, NY 10001			
21	Raza_rasheed@skadden.com			
22	Attorneys for Defendants			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	- 8 -			
	[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER			