FILED CI FRK

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508) 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375

Telephone: 718-263-9591

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2017 DEC 26 PM 3: 19

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT

CV 17-07490

VICTOR BAQUEDANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COLLECTIVE ACTION **COMPLAINT**

-against-

JURY TRIAL **DEMANDED**

ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC. and CHEN XI GUAN. as an individual.

Defendants.

L MOMA

LEVY, M.J.

1. Plaintiff, VICTOR BAQUEDANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2. Plaintiff, VICTOR BAQUEDANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, brings this action against ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC., and CHEN XI GUAN, as an individual, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC., located at 46-22 Robinson Street, 2nd Floor, Flushing, New York 11355.
- 3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount

exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff VICTOR BAQUEDANO residing 89-14 133rd Street, Richmond Hill, New York 11418, was employed by Defendants at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC. from in or around December 2014 until in or around December 2015.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC., is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 46-22 Robinson Street, 2nd Floor, Flushing, New York 11355.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC., is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHEN XI GUAN owns and/or operates ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHEN XI GUAN is the Chairman of the Board of ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHEN XI GUAN is the Chief Executive Officer of ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHEN XI GUAN is an agent of ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC.

- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHEN XI GUAN has power over personnel decisions at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHEN XI GUAN has power over payroll decisions at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC.
- 17. Defendant CHEN XI GUAN has the power to hire and fire employees at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 18. During all relevant times herein, Defendant CHEN XI GUAN was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 19. On information and belief, ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC. is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff VICTOR BAQUEDANO was employed by Defendants at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC. from in or around December 2014 until in or around December 2015.
- 21. During Plaintiff VICTOR BAQUEDANO'S employment by Defendants at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC., Plaintiff's primary duties were as a construction worker, laborer and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around December 2014 until in or around December 2015.
- 22. Plaintiff VICTOR BAQUEDANO was paid by Defendants approximately \$600.00 per week from in our around December 2014 until in or around December 2015.
- 23. Plaintiff VICTOR BAQUEDANO worked approximately eighty-four (84) hours per week at ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC. from in or around December 2014 until in or around December 2015.

- 24. Although Plaintiff VICTOR BAQUEDANO worked approximately seventy-two (72) or more per week during his employment by Defendants from in or around January 2016 until in or around March 2017, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep accurate payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 27. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 28. Plaintiff bring this action on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
- 29. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as construction workers, laborers, or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required minimum and overtime wage compensation.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 30 employees within the relevant time period who were subjected to similar payment structures.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.

- 32. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendants had knowledge that Plaintiff and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 34. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
- 35. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 36. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 37. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 38. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 39. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 40. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 41. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 42. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 43. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of

- 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 44. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §\$206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 45. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
- 46. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 47. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 48. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 49. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
- 50. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 52. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 53. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 54. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 55. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 56. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid wages;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- g. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This ______ day of December 2017.

Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC

69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591

Fax: 718-263-9598

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VICTOR BAQUEDANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC., and CHEN XI GUAN, as an individual,

Defendants.

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Phone (718) 263-9591 Fax (718) 263-9598

TO: ZHONG WANG CONSTRUCTION INC. 46-22 ROBINSON STREET 2nd FLOOR FLUSHING, NEW YORK 11355

CHEN XI GUAN
46-22 ROBINSON STREET
2nd FLOOR
FLUSHING, NEW YORK 11355

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	 This form, approved by t 	he Judicial Conference of th	ne United States in September 1	e of pleadings or other papers a 974, is required for the use of	as required by law, except as the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VICTOR BAQUEDANO, situated	individually and on be	half of all others simila	DEFENDANTS ZHONG WANG CO individual		d CHEN XI GUAN, as an	
(b) County of Residence of	f First Listed Plaintiff C	QUEENS	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	QUEENS	
	CEPTO 19 INTIGATE	90	NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Helen F. Dalton & Associ 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 (7	iates, P.C.		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Bex Onles	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	AMON, 🏴	(For Diversity Cases Only)	FF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Partie Vn Kem III	Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		oly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Cher Civil Rights 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 700 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 100 Propert	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR ▼ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application Actions	26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 770 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 990 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure 7 Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of 85the Statutes	
	moved from	Appellate Court	(specify)	r District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Fair Labor Standards Act Brief description of cause: Compensation for unpaid overtime wages						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ 100,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 12/19/12		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEX OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AOUNT	ADDI VINIC IED	шрс		oor	

EDNY Revision 1/2013 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

exclusiv	ve of inter	n Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, action trest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The contrary is filed.	is seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, ne amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a		
I, ineligi	ble for c	compulsory arbitration for the following reason	, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is n(s):		
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$	150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,		
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,			
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the foll	owing reason		
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEI	DERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly	held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:		
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT	(Section VIII on the Front of this Form)		
provide because same ju case: (A	s that "A on the cases dge and many involves	a civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of a arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil es identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties.	ness Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil "Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power hall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the		
		NY-E DIVISION OF	BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)		
1.)	Is the c County		ved from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk		
2.)			claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk		
	b) Did Distric		claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern		
Suffolk	County, olk Coun	y, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or enty?	najority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).		
	·	•	ADMISSION		
I am cu	irrently a	admitted in the Eastern District of New York and cu Yes	rrently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No		
Are yo	u current	ntly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this of Yes (If yes, please explain)			
	•	curacy of all information provided above.	-		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Zhong Wang Construction, Owner Named in Unpaid Overtime Complaint