Case 3:18-cv-02756-BEN-KSC Document 1 Filed 12/07/18 PageID.1 Page 1 of 14

2

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

///

///

28

#### INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiff JESSE BANAGA ("Banaga" or "Plaintiff") brings this Class 1. Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COMPANY ("Defendant") in intentionally and willfully interfering, discriminating, and retaliating against Plaintiff in violation of the Family and Medical Leave Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601, et seq. ("FMLA").
- Plaintiff also brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from Defendant discriminating against Plaintiff on account of his disability in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101, et seq.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.
- The FMLA was enacted to balance the demands of the workplace with the needs of families, to promote the stability and economic security of families, and to promote national interests in preserving family integrity. 29 U.S.C. § 2601(b)(1).
- 5. The ADA prohibits employers from discriminating against qualified individuals because of a disability "in regard to job application procedures, the hiring, advancement, or discharge of employees, employee compensation, job training, and other terms, conditions, and privileges of employment." 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a).
- 6. Plaintiff brings this case as a class action seeking damages for himself and all others similarly situated.

# 

## 

## 

### 

## 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

# 

#### 

### 

#### 

## 

# 

## 

## 

#### **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES**

7. On or about June 26, 2018, Plaintiff filed his charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Thereafter, on September 10, 2018, Plaintiff received from the EEOC his "Right to Sue Letter" which is attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A."

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 8. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this case arises out of the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601, *et seq.*, and the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, *et seq.*, both of which are federal statutes.
- 9. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because (i) the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District; (ii) Plaintiff resides in this District; and (iii) Defendant transacts business within this District.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant regularly and continuously conducts business within this District, and thus, personal jurisdiction is established.

#### **PARTIES**

- 11. Plaintiff, at all times mentioned herein, is and was a resident of the County of San Diego, in the State of California.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant is a Maryland corporation doing business in the State of California, County of San Diego.
- 13. Defendant is an "employer" as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 2611(4). At all times relevant, Defendant engaged in commerce or in any industry or activity affecting commerce, and employed 50 or more employees for each working day during each of 20 or more calendar workweeks in the current or proceeding calendar year.
- 14. Defendant is an "employer" as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 12111(5)(a). At all times relevant, Defendant engaged in an industry affecting commerce, and

employed 15 or more employees for each working day in each of 20 or more

# calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year.

## 

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. Plaintiff began his employment with Defendant on or about April 30, 2001 as a Customer Service Representative. In January 10, 2015, Plaintiff was transferred to the Sales Department where he worked as a Sales Representative. In 2017, Plaintiff began experiencing acute stress and anxiety. As a result, Plaintiff filed for FMLA leave in February 2017. During Plaintiff's employment, he performed his job duties in a capable and competent manner.
- 17. Defendant utilized a specific calculation to determine employee pay entitlement for individuals in the Sales Department, and likely other departments. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Defendant utilized a rating system referred to as the "Power Selling Ratio" ("PSR") score. The PSR score is directly impacted by an employee's attendance during the selling period.
- 18. Importantly, Defendant does not make adjustments to employees' PSR scores (or any factors that go into the calculation) to factor in protected leaves, such as leave pursuant to the FMLA, or any state equivalent. Therefore, compensation considerations and performance standards are directly, negatively impacted by protected leaves, such as those pursuant to the FMLA.
- 19. Defendant sets a "quota" at, or near, the beginning of a selling period for individuals in Defendant's Sales Department, and likely other departments (e.g., an employee is expected to sell 80 policies over the course of the month). This quota is the same for all employees, and is not set specifically for any certain employee or category of employees (therefore, employees on protected leaves are measured against the same quota as those employees not on protected leaves). An employee's performance is then measured against that quota. Every sale that an

employee makes above the set quota goes towards calculating the employee's bonus for that selling period. Therefore, if an employee does not make their quota for the selling period, they are not eligible for a bonus. Furthermore, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that an employee's performance compared to the quota is also utilized to make employment actions, like promotions and monetary raises.

- 20. Despite the employee's performance compared to the set quota being the factor to determine the amount of an employee's bonus entitlement, the employee only earns the bonus if they have a high enough PSR score at the end of the selling period. In other words, while the employee's sales compared to the quota determine the amount of an employee's bonus, the employee's PSR score determines whether or not the employee will actually be paid that monthly bonus (which is part of the employee's compensation plan).
- 21. The PSR score is a factor that considers the number of policies an employee sells compared to the number of policies sold by other of Defendant's employees. Therefore, the person with the greatest number of policies sold during a selling period will have the highest PSR score, and the person with the least number of policies sold during that same selling period will have the lowest PSR score.
- 22. Therefore, there is a direct correlation between the number of days that an employee works and both factors that Defendant relies on to determine bonus entitlement.
- 23. First, the number of days that an employee works is directly related to the likelihood of selling policies above the set quota. If an employee is on protected leave pursuant to the FMLA for a large portion of a month, despite it being protected leave, it is highly unlikely (if not impossible) for the employee to reach quota. As stated herein, although Defendant could factor in the employee's protected leave to prorate the quota, it does not. Therefore, employees who are on

4

5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

///

protected leave pursuant to FMLA are being negatively impacted by Defendant's rigid, set quota.

- 24. Second, the number of days that an employee works is also directly related to the likelihood of a high PSR score. Once again, while Defendant has the ability to factor in protected leaves into the PSR score calculation, it does not. Therefore, pursuant to Defendant's implementation and calculation of the PSR score, employees who are on protected leaves, including leaves pursuant to the FMLA, suffer negative impacts to their PSR scores.
- 25. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that this adverse impact to his pay, both in not adjusting the quota or PSR scores based on the use of protected leaves, constitutes interference with the right to take, retaliation in violation of, and discrimination for the use of the FMLA.
- Plaintiff further believes and thereon alleges that this adverse impact 26. to his pay, both in not adjusting the quota or PSR scores based on the use of protected leaves, constitutes disability discrimination in violation of the ADA. While possibly not on FMLA, employees with disabilities may be provided reduced or modified work schedules to accommodate disabilities. Much like using FMLA leave, these individuals with disabilities are negatively impacted by Defendant's pay system due to missing work based on a disability or medical condition.
- In failing to take protected leaves into consideration in determining 27. compensation entitlements (e.g., bonus amounts and entitlements), and failing to make adjustments to the quota and PSR score for disability related absences (e.g., reduced work schedules or absences provided as accommodations for disabilities), Defendant is making the use of protected leaves and leaves due to disabilities a negative factor in Plaintiff's, and other employees', employment actions (up to and including demotion and termination).

4

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly 28. situated (the "Classes").
  - Plaintiff represents, and is a member of the following Classes: 29.

#### **FMLA Class**

All current and former employees of Defendant Government Employees Insurance Company who were in a role that utilized quotas and PSR scores that took leave pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") and who were retaliated against for taking FMLA leave within the three years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

#### **ADA Class**

All qualified current and former employees of Defendant Government Employees Insurance Company who suffered from a disability and were provided any leaves or reduced/modified work schedules on the basis of the disability, and who were in a role that utilized quotas and PSR scores, who were discriminated on the basis of a disability in regard to advancement, employee compensation, and other terms, conditions, and privileges of employment in violation of the American with Disabilities Act.

- 30. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Classes, but believes the Class members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 31. Plaintiff and members of the Classes were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through its agents, interfered with employees' rights to take FMLA leave, and retaliated and discriminated against its employees for taking FMLA leave, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members damages. Defendant also, either directly or

10

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

through its agents, discriminated against employees who suffered from disabilities that required a reduced or modified work schedule, by employing illegal factors for employment actions, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members damages.

- This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of 32. economic injury on behalf of the Classes and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Classes definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- Numerosity. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the 33. disposition of their claims in the class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Classes can be identified through Defendant's employment records.
- 34. **Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and** Fact. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Classes predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
  - a. Whether, within the three years prior to the filing of this Class Complaint, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff and Class Members for requesting and taking FMLA leave;
  - b. Whether the PSR score is directly impacted by an employee's attendance during the selling period;
  - c. Whether Defendant makes adjustments to employees' PSR scores to factor in FMLA leave;
  - d. Whether Defendant's compensation considerations and performance standards are negatively impacted by FMLA leave;
  - e. Whether Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff and other qualified individuals on the basis of disability in regard to advancement,

- employee compensation, and/or other terms, conditions, and privileges of employment in violation of the ADA;
- f. Whether Defendant's conduct was willful;
- g. Whether Plaintiff and the members of the Classes were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violations;
- h. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future; and
- i. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to any other relief.
- 35. <u>Typicality</u>. As a person who failed to meet his quota or suffered a negative impact to his PSR score for taking FMLA leave pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2615(a)(1) or based on a disability, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Classes. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Classes in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Classes.
- 36. Plaintiff and the members of the Classes have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Classes will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 37. <u>Adequacy of Representation</u>. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of other members of the Classes in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Classes. Further, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of employment rights.
  - 38. Superiority. A class action is a superior method for the fair and

efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with the FMLA and the ADA. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the damages in an individual action for violation of FMLA and/or ADA may be minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.

39. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Classes, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Classes as a whole.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# INTERFERENCE, RETALIATION AND DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ACT

[29 U.S.C. §§ 2601, et seq.]

- 40. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 41. An employer is prohibited from interfering with, or retaliating or discriminating against an employee for having exercised or attempted to exercise any FMLA right. 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601, et seq.
- 42. Defendant is an "employer" covered by the FMLA, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2611(4).
- 43. Plaintiff was entitled to leave under the FMLA, pursuant to 29 CFR 825.114.
- 44. Defendant engaged in prohibited conduct under the FMLA by interfering, discriminating, and retaliating against Plaintiff and Class Members for having exercised their FMLA rights.
- 45. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained and continue to sustain substantial employment benefits and employment opportunities, and Plaintiff and Class

3

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27 28 Members have suffered other economic losses in an amount to be determined at trial.

- As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, 46. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and continue to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, loss of reputation, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to Plaintiff and Class Members' damages to in a sum to be established according to proof.
- As a result of Defendant's deliberate, outrageous, despicable conduct, 47. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages in an amount commensurate with Defendant's wrongful acts and sufficient to punish and deter future similar reprehensible conduct.
- 48. In addition to such other damages as may properly be recovered herein, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover prevailing party attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(3).

### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

## DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

[42 U.S.C. §§ 12101, et seq.]

- Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every 49. allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. The Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq., prohibits employers from discriminating against qualified individuals because of a disability "in regard to job application procedures, the hiring, advancement, or discharge of employees, employee compensation, job training, and other terms, conditions, and privileges of employment." 42 U.S.C. § 12112.
  - 51. Defendant is a covered employer to which the ADA applies.
- Defendant and Class Members were discriminated against solely on 52. account of a disability.

- 53. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained and continue to sustain substantial employment benefits and employment opportunities, and Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered other economic losses in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 54. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and continue to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, loss of reputation, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to Plaintiff Class Members' damages to in a sum to be established according to proof.
- 55. As a result of Defendant's deliberate, outrageous, despicable conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages in an amount commensurate with Defendant's wrongful acts and sufficient to punish and deter future similar reprehensible conduct.
- 56. In addition to such other damages as may properly be recovered herein, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover prevailing party attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

- **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to grant Plaintiff and the Class the following relief against Defendant:
  - Certify the Class as requested herein;
  - Appoint Plaintiff to serve as the Class Representative in this matter;
  - Appoint Plaintiff's Counsel as Class Counsel in this matter; and
  - Any such further relief as may be just and proper.
- In addition, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
  - For compensatory damages, including back pay, front pay, and other monetary relief, in an amount according to proof;
  - For special damages in an amount according to proof;

1	<ul> <li>For mental and emotional distress damages;</li> </ul>							
2	• For punitive damages in an amount necessary to make an example of							
3	and to punish Defendant, and to deter future similar misconduct;							
4	<ul> <li>For costs of suit, including attorneys' fees as permitted by law,</li> </ul>							
5	including those permitted by 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. §							
6	12205;							
7	• For an award of interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal							
8	rate as permitted by law;							
9	For injunctive relief; and							
10	For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper and just							
11	under all the circumstances.							
12	TRIAL BY JURY							
13	57. Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States							
14	of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.							
15								
16	D + 1 D 1 7 2010 WAZEDOUNII ANY CDOUD ADG							
17	Dated: December 7, 2018 KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC							
18	By: s/ABBAS KAZEROUNIAN							
19	Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff JESSE BANAGA							
20	E-mail: ak@kazlg.com							
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

**EXHIBIT A** Plaintiff's "Right to Sue Letter" issued by the Equal Employment 1. Opportunity Commission on September 10, 2018. 

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS								
JESSE BANAGA				GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COMPANY						
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery County								
(E)	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)							
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A			Attorneys (If Known)							
KAZEROUNI LAW GROU 245 Fisher Avenue, Unit		2626		'18CV2756 BEN KSC						
Telephone No.: (800) 400										
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PAR		an "X" in One Box One Box for Defend			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PT of This State	1 🗖 1 Incorpora	ated or Principal	PTF Place	DEF		
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	1 4 Diversity		of Business In This State  tizen of Another State						
Defendant	o of Parties in Item III)			of Bus	siness In Another	r State				
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only		Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.  FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES							
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC	158 🗖 37	75 False Claims Ac	t		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	□ 690	of Property 21 USC 881 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))			
<ul><li>☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li><li>☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment</li></ul>	Liability  ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &				PROPERTY RIGHTS		00 State Reapportio 10 Antitrust	nment		
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	□ 43	30 Banks and Banks 50 Commerce	ing		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 368 Asbestos Personal				☐ 835 Patent - Abbrevi	iated 🗆 46	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and			
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			New Drug Appl:  840 Trademark		Corrupt Organiza	ations		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability  ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud		LABOR Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURIT		80 Consumer Credit 90 Cable/Sat TV	t		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		Act Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923 ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (		50 Securities/Comm Exchange	nodities/		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	□ 89	90 Other Statutory			
☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 89	91 Agricultural Acts 93 Environmental M	latters		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	790	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX S		95 Freedom of Infor Act	rmation		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:  ☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plai or Defendant)		96 Arbitration	Procedure		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate			meone security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party		☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of			
<ul><li>240 Torts to Land</li><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li></ul>		Sentence  ☐ 530 General			26 USC 7609		Agency Decision 50 Constitutionality			
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 535 Death Penalty Employment Other:		□ 462	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application			State Statutes			
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other☐ 550 Civil Rights	□ 465	Other Immigration Actions						
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions						
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of								
V ODICIN (Diana an "V")	Out - Bour Out ha)	Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  X 1 Original Proceeding State Court  Another District (specify)  State Court  Another District (specify)  Transferr d from Another District (specify)  Transfer Direct File										
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601, et seq. and the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101, et seq.										
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Brief description of cause: Interference, retaliation, and discrimination in violation of the FMLA and ADA										
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DE	DEMAND \$  CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: ★ Yes □ No						
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S)						.,			
IF ANY	(See instructions):				DOCKET NUMB	BER				
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD December 7, 2018 S/Abbas Kazerounian										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	M	IAG. JUDGE				

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

EEOC FOR THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS San Diego Local Office 555 W. Beech Street Jesse Banaga To: 519 Casselman St Apt A Suite 504 San Diego, CA 92101 Chula Vista, CA 91910 On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is Telephone No. CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a)) **EEOC** Representative (619) 557-7286 EEOC Charge No. Ian Shoff, THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: Investigator The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC. Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act. The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes. Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge. The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge. Other (briefly state) - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -(See the additional information attached to this form.)

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.

> behalf of t Commission

Enclosures(s)

lan Shoff, Investigator San Diego Local Office

Date Mailed)

CC:

Cezarina Alzona **HR Director GEICO** 5260 Western Avenue Chevy Chase, MD 20815

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>GEICO Discriminates Against Employees on FMLA Leave, Class Action Alleges</u>