UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ETER BAKHTURIDZE on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

GENPACT SERVICES LLC

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

1. Plaintiff, Eter Bakhturidze, brings this action against Genpact Services LLC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq*. ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in New York, New York.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Eter Bakhturidze

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about August 20, 2018, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 11. The letter fails to adequately convey the "amount of the debt".
- 12. The August 20, 2018 letter stated in part: "The amount now due on your account is stated above. Your total account balance (and with it, the amount now due) may increase because of interest or other charges."
- 13. This language violates § 1692g(a)(1), which requires debt collectors to inform consumers of the amount of the debt, and § 1692e, which prohibits the use of false, deceptive, or misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 14. The language stated above violates these provisions because it fails to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed is the actual amount of the debt due, what other interest or charges might apply.
- 15. The letter does not provide any explanation or information about the claimed accruing interest and charges.

- 16. In order to comply with the FDCPA's provision which mandates that a collector inform the consumer in its initial communication of "the amount of the debt" the collector must give the consumer the tools in which the consumer could easily calculate the amount due on the date, he or she receives the letter.
- 17. In order to comply with the FDCPA's provision which mandates that a collector inform the consumer in its initial communication of "the amount of the debt" the collector must give the consumer the tools in which the consumer could easily calculate what he or she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.
- 18. The failure to provide this information or tools to ascertain "the amount of the debt" on the date of receipt of the letter or at any give other time in the future does not comply with 1692g as it fails to meaningfully provide "the amount of the debt".
- 19. It is not enough that the collector provide "the amount of the debt" on the date of the letter. The consumer must be able to know the interest rate and be able to discern the amount of the debt at any given time in the future. See Taylor v. Fin. Recovery Servs.. Inc., No. 17-1650-cv, 2018 BL 109391 (2d Cir. Mar. 29, 2018) ("In Carlin, we explained that a collection notice fails to satisfy Section 1692g if "it omits information allowing the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount she owes at the time of the notice, what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future, and an explanation of any fees and interest that will cause the balance to increase.")
- 20. In <u>Carlin v. Davidson Fink LLP</u>, 852 F.3d 207, 216 (2d Cir. 2017), the Second Circuit clarified its holding in *Avila* by explaining that a collection letter "is incomplete where it omits information allowing the least sophisticated consumer to determine the

minimum amount she owes at the time of the notice, what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future, and an explanation of any fees and interest that will cause the balance to increase."

- 21. This language in the collection letter did not adequately state the amount of the debt, as required under the FDCPA. In particular, the collection letter failed to specify or explain what the interest rate was or what type of charges could cause the balance to increase, nor did they inform the debtor what he or she would need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future. The letter precluded a determination of what "the amount if the debt" was on the date of receipt of the letter.
- 22. The least sophisticated consumer would not understand how the fees would be calculated; what the current interest rate was and whether they could be disputed; or what provision of the underlying credit agreement gave rise to them.
- 23. The Defendant did not refer the Plaintiff to the underlying credit card agreement with the original lender. As was true in <u>Carlin</u>, the least sophisticated consumer would not understand from this reference what provision of that agreement, if any, gives rise to the potential "accrued interest and other charges" described in the said collection letter.
- 24. The Defendant's collection letter violates sections 1692g(a)(1) and 1692e of the FDCPA, since the collection letter failed to adequately convey the "amount of the debt".
- 25. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 26. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 27. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does

- not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 28. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 29. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.
- 30. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed is the actual amount of the debt due.
- 31. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes accruing "interest."
- 32. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes "other charges."
- 33. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to advise Plaintiff what portion of the amount listed is principal.
- 34. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed will increase.
- 35. The letter should have disclosed that interest was accruing, or in the alternative, that the creditor and / or Defendant had made the decision to waive any accruing interest.
- 36. The letter fails to inform the consumer as to what he or she may need to pay to resolve the debt at any moment after the date of the letter, or provide an explanation of any fees and interest that will cause the balance to increase.
- 37. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff what "other charges" might apply.
- 38. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "other charges" are applied, when

- such "other charges" will be applied.
- 39. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "other charges" are applied, what the amount of those "other charges" will be.
- 40. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff of the nature of the "other charges."
- 41. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," what the amount of the accruing interest will be.
- 42. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," when such interest will be applied.
- 43. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," what the interest rate is.
- 44. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per day.
- 45. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per week.
- 46. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per month.
- 47. The August 20, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per any measurable period.
- 48. The August 20, 2018 letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the time of the letter.
- 49. The August 20, 2018 letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the letter.

- 50. The August 20, 2018 letter fails to provide information that would allow the Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.
- 51. The August 20, 2018 letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.
- 52. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the debt could be satisfied by remitting the listed amount as of the date of the letter, at any time after receipt of the letter.
- 53. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the amount listed was accurate only on the date of the August 20, 2018 letter.
- 54. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the applicable interest rate.
- 55. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate what the amount of the accruing interest will be.
- 56. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate when such interest will be applied.
- 57. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the amount of money the amount listed will increase at any measurable period.
- 58. If "other charges" are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the nature of the "other

- charges."1
- 59. The letter failed to advise Plaintiff that if Plaintiff pays the amount listed, an adjustment may be necessary after Defendant receives payment.
- 60. The letter failed to advise Plaintiff that if Plaintiff pays the amount listed, Defendant will inform Plaintiff of the balance difference before depositing payment.
- 61. The Defendant's failures are purposeful.
- 62. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer whether the amount listed will increase.
- 63. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer what "other charges" might apply.
- 64. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer when such "other charges" will be applied.
- 65. Defendant failed to clearly and unambiguously state the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 66. The August 20, 2018 letter would likely make the least sophisticated consumer uncertain

¹ Carlin v. Davidson Fink LLP, 852 F.3d 207 (2d Cir. 2017), Balke v. All. One Receivables Mgmt., No. 16-cv-5624(ADS)(AKT), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94021, at *14 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2017) ("[T]he Collection Letter in this case refers with vagueness to "accrued interest or other charges," without providing any information regarding the rate of interest; the nature of the "other charges"; how any such charges would be calculated; and what portion of the balance due, if any, reflects already-accrued interest and other charges. By failing to provide even the most basic level of specificity in this regard, the Court "cannot say whether those amounts are properly part of the amount of the debt," for purposes of section 1692g.Carlin, 852 F.3d at 216. Further, as set forth in Carlin, without any clarifying details, the Collection Letter states only that these unspecified assessments may be added to the balance due, which the Court finds to be insufficient to "accurately inform[] the [Plaintiff] that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time."); Polak v. Kirschenbaum & Phillips, P.C., No. 17-CV-1795 (MKB)(PK), 2018 BL 57467 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 16, 2018) ("Defendant adds that the Creditor "is electing not to collect interest at this time." However, this does not change the reality that interest is accruing even during the collection process, and that at some future date, according to Defendant, either the Creditor or its assignee could choose to collect all accrued interest. In the context of the statement that no interest has accrued since charge-off, the statement that the "amount may vary from day to day due to interest and other charges" could mislead the least sophisticated consumer to believe that interest has stopped accruing. Defendant is not helped by its contention that this statement is true in that the characterization of "may" accurately conveys the two different scenarios: that interest is accruing and could be collected in the future, and that the Creditor is choosing not to collect interest at this time.")

- as to the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 67. The August 20, 2018 letter would likely make the least sophisticated consumer confused as to the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1). See <u>Polak v. Kirschenbaum & Phillips, P.C., No. 17-CV-1795 (MKB)(PK), 2018 BL 57467 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 16, 2018)</u> ("Pursuant to the holding in Carlin, this [alleged letter's language] is not enough to satisfy Section 1692g's requirement that the written notice contain the amount of the debt.")
- 68. Defendant's conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 69. The August 20, 2018 letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must is inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 70. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g(a)(1) and 1692e.
- 71. Defendant engages as a matter of pattern and practice in the mailing of such collection letters to consumers in the state of New York.
- 72. Defendant's letter is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(5), 1692e(10) and 1692g(a)(1) for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed, for false threats and for engaging in deceptive and misleading practices and for failing to state the accurate amount of the debt.
- 73. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 74. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt

- collection communications.
- 75. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 76. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 77. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 78. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived her of her right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 80. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 81. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 82. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 83. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Genpact Services LLC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 84. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Genpact Services LLC, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 85. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 86. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 87. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

- 88. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
 - (c) **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 89. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 90. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

- 91. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 92. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 93. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through ninety-two (92) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 94. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 95. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about August 20, 2018; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g(a)(1) for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by Plaintiff and for failing to accurately state the amount of the debt in the initial communication.

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

96. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

97. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and

the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this

Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

(a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

(b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and

(c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the

circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York November 18, 2018

/s/ Maxim Maximov____

Maxim Maximov, Esq. Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Maxim Maximov, LLP 1701 Avenue P

Brooklyn, New York 11229

Office: (718) 395-3459
Facsimile: (718) 408-9570
Exactly at @respires where a con-

E-mail: m@maximovlaw.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Maxim Maximov_____

Maxim Maximov, Esq.

-15-

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)	or the disc of	and create or countries and	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
ETER BAKHTURIDZE			GENPACT SERVICES LLC			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O DIDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	· ·	
MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YOR	FAX: (71	(718) 395-3459 8) 408-9570 M@MAXIMOVLAW.C	сом			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			TF DEF 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2		
-			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from 3 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfe Anothe (specify, lling (Do not cite jurisdictional state)	er District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Brief description of ca	2 iuse:				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Fair Debt Collection Practices Act Violation DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: ▼ Yes □ No						
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 11/18/2018 /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE						

Case 1:18-cv-06567 Document 1-1 Filed 11/18/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 17

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed

I, Maxim N	Maximov			counsel for Plaintiff		, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil actio		
-,	ible for compulsory	arbitration for th						
Ļ	monetar	y damages sougl	nt are in exc	ess of \$150,000, exclusive of	of interest and o	costs,		
Ļ	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,							
L	the matte	er is otherwise in	eligible for tl	ne following reason				
	<u>!</u>	DISCLOSUR	E STAT	EMENT - FEDERAL	RULES C	IVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
		Identify any pare	ent corporati	on and any publicly held cor	poration that ov	wns 10% or more or its stocks:		
		RELATED (CASE ST	ATEMENT (Section	NIII on th	e Front of this Form)		
to another substantia deemed "I "Presump	r civil case for purposes al saving of judicial reso related" to another civil	of this guideline who were is likely to res case merely becau	nen, because sult from assig se the civil ca	of the similarity of facts and lega ining both cases to the same juc se: (A) involves identical legal is:	al issues or becau dge and magistrat sues, or (B) invol	nt of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related use the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a te judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be ves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that hall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still		
			NY-E I	DIVISION OF BUSINE	SS RULE 50	0.1(d)(2)		
1.)	Is the civil action County?	n being filed Yes	n the Eas	tern District removed f No	rom a New `	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk		
2.)	If you answere a) Did the ever County?		ns giving I	rise to the claim or clai No	ms, or a sub	estantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffoll		
	b) Did the ever District?	ts or omission Yes		rise to the claim or clai No	ms, or a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern		
	c) If this is a Faireceived: Kings		on Practice	e Act case, specify the Co	ounty in which	h the offending communication was		
Suffolk	County, or, in an in County?	nterpleader a <u>ct</u> Yes	on, does tl No	ne claimant (or a majority	of the claima	ts, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or		
	(Note: A corpora	tion shall be co	nsidered a	resident of the County in	n which it has	the most significant contacts).		
				BAR ADI	MISSION			
	I am currently ac	mitted in the E	astern Dist	rict of New York and curr	ently a memb	per in good standing of the bar of this court.		
			Yes			No		
	Are you curren	tly the subject	of any di	sciplinary action (s) in	this or any o	other state or federal court?		
			Yes	(If yes, please explai	n 🔽	No		
	I certify the acc	uracy of all in	formation	provided above.				
	Signature:							

Reset

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

Print

Save As...

PO BOX 1969 SOUTHGATE, MI 48195-0969

Genpact Services LLC

August 20, 2018 Account Information Creditor: Synchrony Bank Eter Bakhturidze Reference: TJX Rewards® Credit Card Account Account: XXXXXXXXXXXX2366 Reference: Total Account Balance: \$376.01 Amount Now Due: \$120.00 Dear Eter Bakhturidze Your account has been referred to our office for collections by Synchrony Bank. The amount now due on your account is stated above. Your total account balance (and with it, the amount now due) may increase because of interest or other charges. If you wish to make a payment you may do so by mailing it to the address listed below. If you are experiencing financial difficulties, please call our office and a representative will assist you in trying to reach a suitable payment arrangement. Telephone: 1-866-259-9655 08:00 AM ET to 12:00 AM ET Monday - Friday 08:00 AM ET to 05:00 PM ET Saturday - Sunday Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion of this debt, this office will assume that this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute this debt or any portion of this debt, this office will obtain verification of this debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and will mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. This communication is from a debt collector. This communication is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. NOTICE OF IMPORTANT RIGHTS The State of New York requires that this office advise you that: Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to: 1. the use or threat of violence; 2. the use of obscene or profane language; and 3. repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass. The State of New York requires that this office advise you that: If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt: Supplemental security income (SSI); 2. Social security; 3. Public assistance (welfare); 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support; 5. Unemployment benefits; 6. Disability benefits; 7. Workers' compensation benefits; Public or private benefits; 9. Veterans' benefits; 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days. New York City Department of Consumer Affairs License Number: #1289611 DETACH PAYMENT COUPON AND MAIL IN RETURN ENVELOPE PROVIDED TO ENSURE PROPER CREDIT TO YOUR ACCOUNT Please select form of payment: □ Personal Check Cashier's Check ■ Money Order **Account Information** Total Account Balance: \$376.01 Creditor: Synchrony Bank Amount Now Due: \$120.00 Reference: TJX Rewards® Credit Card Account Account: XXXXXXXXXXXXX2366 Amount Paid: \$ Reference: You can also pay online at: www.tjxrewards.com

Make Payment To:

Check here if your address or phone number has changed

& provide the new information below

Address City

State

Tel Home_ Tel Work _ Cell Phone ուվոլվույիկունեն ընդերին անդարին արկուներ

Synchrony Bank/TJX Rewards Credit Card PO Box 530948 Atlanta, GA 30353-0948

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern Distric	ct of New York
ETER BAKHTURIDZE on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers $Plaintiff(s)$ $v.$) Civil Action No.)))))))
GENPACT SERVICES LLC Defendant(s))))
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
it) – or 60 days if you are the United States or a of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. an answer to the attached complaint or a motion	nons on you (not counting the day you received United States agency, or an officer or employee 12 (a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure. The answer or motion must be served name and address are:	d on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose
MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229	
If you fail to respond, judgment by defau demanded in the complaint. You also must file y	It will be entered against you for the relief your answer or motion with the court.
	CLERK OF THE COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: NY Consumer Alleges Genpact Services Failed to Clearly Convey Debt Amount