IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

DERRICK BAILEY, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,	Case No.
Plaintiff,	COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT
v. (
VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY, and SOUTHEAST DIVISION LOGISTICS, LLC,	
Defendants.	

Plaintiff Derrick Bailey ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys at the law firms of Austin & Sparks, P.C., Leonard Carder, LLP, and Nichols Kaster, LLP, alleges as follows on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action for relief from Defendants Vulcan Materials Company ("Vulcan") and Southeast Division Logistics, LLC's ("Southeast") ("Defendants") unlawful misclassification of certain driver employees as "independent contractors."
- 2. Defendants operate quarries and produce, sell, and distribute construction aggregates and aggregate-based construction materials throughout the

United States. The aggregate products that Defendants sell and distribute include crushed stone, sand, and gravel, and construction materials including asphalt and ready-mixed concrete.

- 3. Defendants have engaged Plaintiff and other similarly situated drivers (the "FLSA Collective") to transport their products from Defendants' quarries to their customer sites. All of this transportation occurs intrastate, and Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective do not cross state lines to deliver to Defendants' customers, most of which are large construction companies that have the products delivered to construction sites. Defendants classify Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective as "independent contractors" and/or "owner-operators."
- 4. Defendants unlawfully classified Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective as independent contractors to avoid their obligations to pay overtime as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), and to reap the benefits of such illegal classification such as reduced tax liability, avoiding workers' compensation, and passing operating costs on to their workforce.
- 5. Employers like Defendants may not lawfully avoid these legal obligations by misclassifying workers as "independent contractors," who, by their terms and conditions of engagement, are employees. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are economically dependent for their financial livelihood on Defendants;

and Defendants are entirely dependent on them to deliver the products they sell to their customers.

- 6. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective worked exclusively for Defendants on a full-time and continuing basis. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective did not sell or advertise their services to the general public, or generally work for any other company other than Defendants.
- 7. Defendants reserve and exercise pervasive control over their delivery business operations and have retained the right to control the manner and means of the work of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, such that they are in fact employees of both Vulcan and Southeast under the FLSA, as described more fully *infra*.
- 8. Plaintiff brings claims under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 207, 216(b), for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective as defined below. Due to the three-year statute of limitations governing FLSA claims, Plaintiff seeks damages that have accrued or will accrue at any time from three years prior to the filing of this Complaint through the date of final judgment.

II. JURISDICTION

9. This Court has original jurisdiction to hear this Complaint and to adjudicate Plaintiff's claims stated herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this action asserts claims arising under federal law, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq*.

III. VENUE AND DIVISION ASSIGNMENT

10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and Local Rule 3.1(B)(3) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within this District, and a substantial number of the members of the FLSA Collective alleged herein provided delivery services for Defendants within this District and within the Division and Courthouse in which this action has been commenced. Assignment to the Atlanta Division is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 90. Refer to LR App. A, I and Local Rule 3.1(A).

IV. PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

11. Plaintiff Derrick Bailey is a resident of Douglasville, Georgia. Plaintiff has been an employee of Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA at all relevant times as a driver based in Atlanta, Georgia. He files this action on behalf of himself and as a representative for all similarly situated drivers in the FLSA Collective defined below. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to the FLSA claims

asserted in this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff's signed consent form is attached as Exhibit A. As this case proceeds, it is likely that other similarly situated individuals will file consent forms and join as "opt-in" plaintiffs.

B. Defendants

- 12. Defendant Vulcan Materials Company ("Vulcan") is a New Jersey corporation that provides products and services in Georgia and throughout the United States. Vulcan is and at all relevant times has been an employer covered by the FLSA.
- 13. Defendant Southeast Division Logistics, LLC ("Southeast") is a Delaware limited liability company and a Division of Vulcan that operates primarily in Georgia, Texas, Tennessee, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Louisiana. Southeast is and at all relevant times has been an employer covered by the FLSA.
- 14. At times relevant to this action, Defendants qualify as Plaintiff's and the Collective's "joint employers" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), (g). Defendants suffer or permit Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective to work.

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 15. Defendants hired Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective to load and deliver Defendants' aggregate and other products to customers throughout Georgia and the United States.
- 16. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are required to sign "Independent Contractor Service Agreements" ("Agreement") to work for Defendants.
- 17. While the Agreements are executed between Southeast and Plaintiff and individuals in the FLSA Collective, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective work for Defendant Vulcan and Defendant Southeast as "joint employers."
- 18. Defendant Vulcan's direction and control regarding the manner in which Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective perform work includes, but is not limited to the following:
- a. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective work in quarries owned and operated by Defendant Vulcan;
- b. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective deliver product owned and sold by Defendant Vulcan;
- c. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective deliver product to customers of Defendant Vulcan;

- d. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are dispatched on assignments by dispatchers who are employed by Defendant Vulcan;
- e. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective communicate regularly with employees of Defendant Vulcan, including but not limited to updating Vulcan on the status of deliveries, receiving instructions on new assignments, and other work-related duties, and submitting their vehicles to Vulcan employees for inspection;
- f. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are supervised by management employees who are employed by Defendant Vulcan;
- g. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are required to submit their vehicles to Vulcan employees for inspections; and
- h. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective hold themselves out to customers and the general public as representatives of Defendant Vulcan.
- 19. On information and belief, Defendants Vulcan and Southeast operate as an integrated entity, including in the following ways:
- a. Defendant Vulcan established Defendant Southeast as a subsidiary corporation and division in approximately 2016;
- b. Vulcan and Southeast share office space, including but not limited to offices located at 800 Mount Vernon Highway, Atlanta, Georgia; and

- c. Vulcan and Southeast share employees, including dispatch personnel.
- 20. On information and belief, as a condition of employment, Defendants Vulcan and Southeast do not permit Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective to perform work for competitors of Defendants concurrently while working for Defendants.
- 21. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective provide delivery services that are integral and essential to Defendants' core business.
- 22. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are economically dependent for their financial livelihood on Defendants, and Defendants are entirely dependent on Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective for the delivery services provided to its customers.
- 23. The Agreement between Defendants and Plaintiff and each individual in the FLSA Collective, which state that they independent contractors, are contracts of adhesion that are drafted exclusively by Defendants.
- 24. The terms of the Agreement between Defendants and Plaintiff and each individual in the FLSA Collective are non-negotiable. Through this Agreement, Defendants reserve and actually exercise the right to control the manner and means by which Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective perform their duties for Defendants.

- 25. Defendants determine the locations where Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective load and deliver the products assigned to them; the time of day when they must report to work; and the order and timing of their deliveries.
- 26. Defendants track the locations and progress of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective using GPS. Defendants record and store this information electronically through various applications that they require Plaintiffs and the FLSA to use via their cellular phones and/or in devices installed in their trucks.
- 27. Defendants have required Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective to install company-issued cameras on their trucks to enable the company to observe and monitor the work of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective. Defendants record and store information from these cameras electronically.
- 28. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are required to call Defendants' customers ahead of arrival to give notice of when they will make the delivery. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective must complete all of the work assigned to them and are not allowed to refuse assignments without repercussion.
- 29. Defendants reserve the right to require Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective to know and follow Defendants' customer service standards in performing their work.

- 30. Defendants require that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective operate and register their vehicles under Defendants' United States Department of Transportation number/operating authority.
- 31. Defendants require that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective submit their vehicles for regular inspections, including "flash inspections" that are administered by Defendants' employees without prior notice and that take Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective out of service, without pay.
- 32. Defendants reserve the right to require Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective to follow certain work methods related to, for example, how to interact with customers.
- 33. Defendants reserve the right to prescribe how Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective document their work and require them to contact Defendants upon arrival at each stop and then again after the delivery is completed.
- 34. Defendants employ a variety of managerial and supervisory employees who instruct Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective on their job performance and their delivery assignments. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective interact with Defendants' personnel on a daily basis. Defendants' managerial and supervisory employees also hold regular in-person and/or telephonic meetings where Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective's attendance is mandatory.

- 35. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are paid each week a flat amount for each delivery, in amounts that are unilaterally determined by Defendants. Defendants make deductions from the pay of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, including deductions for various forms of required insurance.
- 36. Defendants require Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective to purchase multiple forms of insurance coverage in amounts determined by Defendants through insurance plans specified and sometimes negotiated by Defendants, and to name Defendants as additional "insureds."
- 37. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are terminable at will. They may be terminated upon fifteen (15) days written notice without cause or immediately for alleged breaches of the broadly-worded standards and obligations described in the Agreements.
- 38. Despite Defendants' pervasive control over all aspects of its delivery service operation, including the details of the work of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, Defendant has classified Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective as "independent contractors."
- 39. Defendants' classification of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective as "independent contractors" rather than as "employees" is and during all relevant times has been unlawful.

- 40. As a result of Defendants' misclassification of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective as "independent contractors," Defendants have required and/or knowingly permitted them to work hours considerably in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.
- 41. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that it has been Defendants' policy and practice to require and/or knowingly and willfully permit him and the FLSA Collective to work such overtime hours without paying them overtime compensation required by the FLSA.
- 42. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective regularly worked over 40 hours during most work weeks. Due to his demanding workload, Plaintiff typically works approximately eleven (11) to twelve (12) hours per day, Monday through Friday. As a result, Plaintiff typically works approximately fifty-five (55) to sixty (60) hours per week.

VI. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

43. Plaintiff brings the claims herein, individually and on behalf of the FLSA Collective for violations of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The FLSA Collective is defined as all persons in the United States who have worked as drivers, or in other similar

positions, for Vulcan and/or Southeast at any time since three years prior to filing of this Complaint to the present.

- 44. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are similarly situated, perform substantially similar duties for Defendants, and are subject to Defendants' common practice of unlawfully classifying said persons as "independent contractors" despite intensively controlling the manner and means of their performance of such duties, as alleged herein.
- 45. The claim for violations of the FLSA may be brought and maintained as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), because Plaintiff's claims are similar to the claims of the FLSA Collective.
- 46. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, and as such, notice should be sent to the FLSA Collective. There are numerous similarly situated current and former drivers who have been denied overtime pay by Defendants in violation of the FLSA who would benefit from the issuance of Court-supervised notice of this lawsuit and the opportunity to join. Those similarly situated workers are known to Defendants and should be readily identifiable through Defendants' records.

VII. CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FAILURE TO PAY FLSA OVERTIME COMPENSATION

(29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.) (On Behalf of Plaintiff and FLSA Collective)

- 47. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. At all relevant times, Defendants have been, and continue to be, "employers" engaged in interstate commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203. At all relevant times, Defendants have employed, and/or continue to employ, "employee[s]" including Plaintiff and FLSA Collective. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants have each had gross operating revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 49. The FLSA requires covered employers to pay employees at one-and-one-half times their regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week. 29 U.S.C. § 207. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective regularly worked over 40 hours during most work weeks.
- 50. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective overtime wages for all hours worked over forty (40) in a work week.
- 51. In failing to provide overtime compensation, the Defendants violated the FLSA.
- 52. Defendants did not and have not made a good-faith effort to comply with the FLSA as it relates to the compensation of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.

- 53. Defendants knew Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective worked as employees, and they willfully failed and refused to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Collective the required overtime wages.
- 54. Defendants' willful failure and refusal to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Collective overtime wages for work over forty (40) hours violates the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207. Further, Defendants' conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA, within the meaning of 20 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 55. As a result of these unlawful practices, Plaintiff and FLSA Collective suffered and continue to suffer wage loss and are therefore entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages for up to three years prior to the filing of their claims, liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 56. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, and all others similarly situated, demand judgment against Defendants as follows:
 - a. A finding that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are similarly situated;
 - b. Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- c. Authorization for the prompt issuance of notice to all those similarly situated employees, apprising them of the pendency of this action and providing them with the opportunity to assert timely FLSA claims by filing consent forms;
- d. Judgment that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are nonexempt employees entitled to protection under the FLSA;
- e. Judgment against Defendants for violation of the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA;
- f. Judgment that Defendants acted willfully and without good faith in violating the FLSA;
- g. An award to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective for the amount of unpaid overtime wages owed, and full liquidated damages;
- h. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law;
 - i. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- j. Leave to add additional plaintiffs by the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by the Court; and
- k. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: March 10, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John T. Sparks

AUSTIN & SPARKS, P.C.

John T. Sparks Austin & Sparks, P.C. 2974 Lookout Place, N.E., Suite 200 Atlanta, Georgia 30305 jsparks@austinsparks.com

Telephone: (404) 869-0100 Facsimile: (404) 869-0200

LEONARD CARDER, LLP

Aaron Kaufmann, CA Bar No. 148580* David Pogrel, CA Bar No. 203787* Afroz Baig, CA Bar No. 308324* 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2700 Oakland, CA 94612

Telephone: (510) 272-0169
Facsimile: (510) 272-0174
<u>akaufmann@leonardcarder.com</u>
<u>dpogrel@leonardcarder.com</u>
<u>abaig@leonardcarder.com</u>

NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP

Reena I. Desai, MN Bar 0388311*
4700 IDS Center, 80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 256-3200

Facsimile: (612) 338-4878

rdesai@nka.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and others similarly situated

^{*} Pro Hac Vice Motions forthcoming

VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against Vulcan Materials Company, Southeast Division Logistics, LLC ("Defendants") and any other related entities or affiliates, to recover overtime pay. I further consent to be represented by the Plaintiff's attorneys at Austin & Sparks, P.C., Nichols Kaster, PLLP and Leonard Carder, LLP.
- 2. During the past three years, there were times when I worked over 40 hours per week for Defendants as a driver, or in a similar job title, and did not receive proper overtime compensation for the overtime hours I worked.
- 3. If this case does not proceed collectively, then I also consent to join any subsequent action to assert these claims against Defendants, and any other related entities or affiliates.

Date:	03/09/2021	Signature	Douick Bailey Signature	
		Derrick Bailey		
		Print Name		

Redacted

Return this form by fax, email or mail to:

Nichols Kaster, PLLP, Attn: Reena I. Desai

Fax: (612) 215-6870

Email: consents@nka.com

Address: 4700 IDS Center, 80 S. 8th Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402

Web: www.nka.com

Case 1:21-cv-00998-MHC Document 1-2. Filed 03/10/21 Page 1 of 2 JS44 (Rev. 10/2020 NDGA)

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)		DEFENDANT(S)	
Derrick Bailey, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,		Vulcan Materials Company and Southeast Division Logistics, LLC	
		9	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Douglas County		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUM	MBER, AND	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	
E-MAIL ADDRESS)			
John T. Sparks, Austin & Sparks, P.C., 2974 Lookout Place, N.E., Suite 200, Atlanta GA 20205;			
404-869-0100; jsparks@austinparks.com; A Kaufmann, David Pogrel, Afroz Baig, Leonar	d Carder,		
LLP, 1999 Harrison Street, Ste 2700, Oaklar	nd CA 9461		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES N "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)	
	PLF DEF	PLF DEF	
LI U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAINTIFF (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY)		FIZEN OF THIS STATE 4 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE	
L] 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)	□ ₃ □ _{3 CF}	FIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE FIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A 6 6 FOREIGN NATION REIGN COUNTRY	
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY)			
1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING 2 REMOVED FROM APPELLATE COURT APPELLATE COURT	4 REINSTATED OREOPENED	TRANSFERRED FROM SANOTHER DISTRICT (Specify District) MULTIDISTRICT APPEAL TO DISTRICT JUDGE TRANSFER TRANSFER JUDGMENT	
MULTIDISTRICT 8 LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE			
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNI	UNDER WHICH YOU LESS DIVERSITY)	ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE	
Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et s	eq.		
Failure to pay overtime/misclassification of wor	kers as "inde	pendent contractors"	
AL COMPLEX CHECK DE LCON DEL CHO			
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW) 1. Unusually large number of parties.	∏ 6 Prob	lone locating or preserving avidance	
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.	 ☐ 6. Problems locating or preserving evidence ☐ 7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government. 		
3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex	8. Multiple use of experts.		
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.	9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries.		
5. Extended discovery period is needed.	10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.		
C	ONTINUED (ON REVERSE	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		### G IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP) DF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION	
(Referral)			

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (Excl. Veterans) 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE	CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS 441 VOTING 442 EMPLOYMENT 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS 445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment 446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other 448 EDUCATION IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK
120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 151 MEDICARE ACT 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS 190 OTHER CONTRACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE 196 FRANCHISE REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 210 LAND CONDEMNATION 220 FORECLOSURE 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT 240 TORTS TO LAND 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 310 AIRPLANE 310 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY 340 MARINE 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE 362 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 363 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 364 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY 370 PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 371 TRUTH IN LENDING 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 375 POPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 361 PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 376 OTHER FRAUD 371 TRUTH IN LENDING 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 422 APPEAL 28 USC 157	MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS	S70 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLA □ CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R. JURY DEMAND □ YES □ NO (CHECK YES OF THE CHECK YES OF THE CONTROLLED CASE OF THE CONTROLLED OF	Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$ 75,000 ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT) E(S) IF ANY DOCKET NO. E PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE	
 □ 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF □ 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SET OF THE SAME BEANKRUPTCY JUDGE. □ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PROSE LET OF □ 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CA □ 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES 	OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDION ANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERET	ED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. O WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME REVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Alleges Vulcan</u>, <u>Southeast Division Logistics Failed to Pay Drivers Proper Overtime</u>