X

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

JUN 22 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

JENNIFER BABCOCK, on behalf of herself and a class of similarly situated individuals.

CV-18 3626

Plaintiff,

VS.

BIANCO, J.

MIDDLE COUNTRY COLLECTION SERVICES, INC., a New York corporation and THE LEVINBOOK LAW FIRM, P.C., a

New York professional corporation; NEIL S.

LEVINBOOK, an individual,

TOMLINSON, M.J.

Defendants.

COMPLAINT - CLASS ACTION

INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiff Jennifer Babcock brings this action to secure redress from the 1. unlawful credit and collection practices engaged in by Defendants Middle Country Collection Services, Inc., The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., and Neil S. Levinbook.
- Plaintiff alleges violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 2. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").
- The FDCPA broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, 3. conduct which harasses or abuses any debtor, and the use of any false or deceptive statements in connection with debt collection attempts. It also prohibits the use of any business, company, or organization name other than the true name of the debt collector's business, company, or organization. See, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

- 4. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute which provides for actual or statutory damages upon the showing of a single violation. See Bentley v Great Lakes Collection Bureau, 6 F.3d 60, 62-63 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 5. In enacting the FDCPA, Congress found that: "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors. Abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a).
- 6. Because of this, courts have held that "the FDCPA's legislative intent emphasizes the need to construe the statute broadly, so that we may protect consumers against debt collectors' harassing conduct." and that "[t]his intent cannot be underestimated." Ramirez v. Apex Financial Management LLC, 567 F.Supp.2d 1035, 1042 (N.D. III. 2008).
- 7. The FDCPA encourages consumers to act as "private attorneys general" to enforce the public policies and protect the civil rights expressed therein. *Crabill v. Trans Union, LLC*, 259 F.3d 662, 666 (7th Cir. 2001).
- 8. Plaintiff seeks to enforce those policies and civil rights which are expressed through the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 9. The use of any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt establishes a concrete injury for purposes of Article III standing. See Bautz v. ARS Nat. Services, Inc., 226 F. Supp. 3d 131, 145 (E.D.N.Y. 2016).

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.
- 11. Venue and personal jurisdiction in the Eastern District of New York are proper because Defendants reside in this judicial district, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in this district.

PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff Jennifer Babcock is a natural person and a resident of Floral Park, New York.
 - 13. Jennifer Babcock is a consumer as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 14. Defendant Middle Country Collection Services, Inc. is a New York corporation whose address is 77 Arkay Drive, Suite C1, Hauppauge, New York 11788.
- 15. Middle Country Collection Services, Inc. regularly attempts to collect "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5). Many of the alleged debts are health care debts.
- 16. Middle Country Collection Services, Inc. is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 17. Defendant Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. is a New York professional corporation whose address of record is 77 Arkay Drive, Suite C1, Hauppauge, New York 11788.
- 18. Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. regularly attempts to collect "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5), almost exclusively by filing state-law collection actions in New York courts.

- 19. Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6). See Heintz v. Jenkins, 514 U.S. 291, 115 S. Ct. 1489, 131 L. Ed. 2d 395 (1995).
- 20. Defendant Neil S. Levinbook is a natural person who resides in Nassau County, New York and may be found at 77 Arkay Drive, Suite C1, Hauppauge, New York 11788. He owns The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., as well as Middle Country Collection Services, Inc.¹
- 21. Neil S. Levinbook is a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6). See Heintz v. Jenkins, 514 U.S. 291, 115 S. Ct. 1489, 131 L. Ed. 2d 395 (1995).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 22. On or about November 29, 2017, the form debt collection letter (the "Letter") attached as **Exhibit A**, bearing the header "**The Levinbook Law Firm**, **P.C.**," was mailed to Plaintiff for the purpose of collecting an alleged health care debt, purportedly owed to ENT & Allergy Associates (L).
- 23. In addition to identifying the letter as having originated from The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., the Letter identifies the only two attorneys affiliated with The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., Neil S. Levinbook and Randi Seidner. On information and belief, Defendants' business records will show that Neil S. Levinbook and Randi Seidner are the only individuals employed by Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. Unlike Neil S. Levinbook, Randi Seidner is believed to be a real estate attorney and licensed realtor whose practice is limited to real estate transactions.

¹ Neil S. Levinbook also owns Nationwide Health Solutions, Inc., which, upon information and belief is a medical billing company.

- 24. The Letter also states, "At this time, no attorney associated with The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. has reviewed the particular circumstances relevant to your medical account."
- 25. In fact, no one from The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. has reviewed the particular circumstances relevant to the account (if no attorney from the firm reviewed the file, there is no one else to do so).
- 26. On December 7, 2017, Plaintiff sent **Exhibit B** to what she believed to be the fax number of The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., disputing the alleged debt and requesting validation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b). To date, Plaintiff has not mailed verification of the disputed debt to Plaintiff.
- 27. On information and belief, Defendants' business records will show that the Letter was actually generated and mailed by employees of Defendant Middle Country Collection Services, Inc., which, like The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., is owned by Neil S. Levinbook.
- 28. On further information and belief, Defendants' business records will show that the alleged debt was in fact assigned to Middle Country Collection Services, Inc. for collection and not to The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C.
- 29. At the instruction of Neil S. Levinbook, Middle Country Collection Services, Inc., rather than sending collection letters in its own name, uses the letterhead of The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., because it is a well-known fact that "[a]n unsophisticated consumer, getting a letter from an 'attorney,' knows the price of poker has just gone up." *Miller v. Upton, Cohen & Slamowitz*, 687 F. Supp. 2d 86 (E.D.N.Y. 2009).

- 30. Therefore, on information and belief, **Exhibit A** was actually sent without any involvement of The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C., Neil S. Levinbook, or any other attorney whatsoever. Instead, it was Middle Country Collection Services, Inc. which was involved in the decision to send and the sending of letters purporting to come from The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C.
- 31. On information and belief, it is Neil S. Levinbook's policy and procedure that Middle Country Collection Services, Inc. employees send debt collection letters under the letterhead of The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C.
- 32. An attorney cannot simply "lend" his name, letterhead, or form letters to a collection agency without being meaningfully involved in the collection process. *Avila v. Rubin*, 95 F.3d 222, 228 (7th Cir. 1996).
- 33. It is only when Neil S. Levinbook's Middle Country Collection Services, Inc. employees fail to collect, despite use of the deceptive law firm letterhead, that Neil S. Levinbook actually involves himself in the collection process.²
- 34. The Letter also informs Plaintiff that if she chooses to contact The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. by facsimile that such "transmissions may be viewed by persons other than the intended recipient." The reason persons other than the intended recipient (i.e., The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C.) will view facsimile transmissions is because those transmissions are in fact directed to employees of Middle Country Collection Services, Inc.
- 35. This practice is not only false, deceptive and misleading, but negligently exposes consumers' protected health information to undisclosed third parties who may not be

² During the time frame relevant to this complaint, Levinbook has filed no less than 35 debt collection actions in Nassau and Suffolk counties alone.

legally entitled to view such information.

COUNT I - FDCPA - CLASS CLAIM

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. The sending of letters such as **Exhibit A** violates 15 U.S.C. §§1692e and (with respect to The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. and Neil S. Levinbook) 1692j.
- 38. Section 1692e provides prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:
 - § 1692e(2)(A): The false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of any debt;
 - § 1692e(3): The false representation or implication that any individual is an attorney or that any communication is from an attorney;
 - § 1692e(10): The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.
- 39. Section 1692j renders it unlawful to design, compile, and furnish any form knowing that such form would be used to create the false belief in a consumer that a person other than the creditor of such consumer is participating in the collection of or in an attempt to collect a debt such consumer allegedly owes such creditor, when in fact such person is not so participating.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

40. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of a class, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.P. 23(a)

and (b)(3).

- 41. The class consists of (a) all natural persons (b) who were sent a letter in the form represented by Exhibit A (c) to collect an alleged debt (d) which letter was sent on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action, and on or before a date 21 days after the filing of this action.
- 42. On information and belief, based on the volume of Defendants' operations and the use of form documents, the class exceeds 40, and is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- 43. There are questions of law and fact common to the class members, which common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members.

 The predominant common question is whether Exhibit A violates the FDCPA by:
 - 44. The false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of any debt;
- 45. The false representation or implication that any individual is an attorney or that any communication is from an attorney;
- 46. The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.
- 47. Plaintiff's claim is typical of the claims of the class members. All are based on the same factual and legal theories.
- 48. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class members. Plaintiff have retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.
- 49. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute, because: (a) individual cases are not economically feasible; (b) consumers may not

realize that their rights are violated, and; (c) Congress intended class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff request that the Court enter judgment in favor of plaintiff and the class members and against defendants for:

- a. declaration that defendants' communication violates the FDCPA;
- b. Statutory damages;
- c. Attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit;
- d. Such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

Abraham Kleinman

KLEINMAN LLC

626 RXR Plaza

Uniondale, NY 11556-0626

(516) 522-2621

(888) 522-1692 (fax)

akleinman@akleinmanllc.com

EXHIBIT A

THE LEVINBOOK LAW FIRM, P.C.

77 ARKAY DRIVE, SUITE C1 HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK 11788

Neil S. Levinbook *Admitted in NY & NJ

TELEPHONE: (631) 612-2732
TOLL FREE: (855) 699-1818
All Inbound and outbound telephone
calls may be monitored and/or recorded

Randi Seldner *Admitted in NY

FAX: (631) 291-9570
Facsimile transmissions may be viewed
by persons other than the intended
reciplent

November 29, 2017

Creditor: ENT & ALLERGY ASSOCIATES (L)

Patient Name: JENNIFER BABCOCK

Account Number:

429/257

Date(s) of Service: 04/02/15 - 04/02/15

Amount Due: \$40.00

Dear JENNIFER BABCOCK:

The above-referenced medical debt has been referred to our office. At this time no attorney associated with The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C. has reviewed the particular circumstances relevant to your medical account.

Unless you notify this office within thirty (30) days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume that this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within thirty (30) days from receiving this notice, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of any judgment and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to you. Upon your written request sent within (30) days after receiving this notice we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.

If you wish to pay this debt, or any portion thereof, you may make payment by check made payable to "The Levinbook Law Firm, P.C." and mall your check to the address set forth at the top of this letter. Please place your account number (as is set forth) on your check. You may also pay by money order or credit card.

You may also make payment online by going to: https://www.paydatecenter.com Your information for payment is as follows: Access Code: LLFPAY Account Number: 00177680 PIN: 32736

THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs License Number 2046652

PLEASE SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

THE LEVINBOOK LAW FIRM P C 77 ARKAY DRIVE SUITE C1 HAUPPAUGE NY 11788

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

- «գիլուդորդիրիոնիոլիրինիրիորիությինարունիրիի

JENNIFER BABCOCK

THE LEVINBOOK LAW FIRM, P.C. 77 ARKAY DRIVE SUITE C1 HAUPPAUGE NY 11788

DEBT COLLECTORS, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15.U.S.C. 1692 et seq., ARE PROHIBITED FROM ENGAGING IN ABUSIVE, DECEPTIVE, AND UNFAIR DEBT COLLECTION EFFORTS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- A) THE USE OF THREAT OF VIOLENCE;
- B) THE USE OF OBSCENE OR PROFANE LANGUAGE; AND
- C) REPEATED PHONE CALLS MADE WITH THE INTENT TO ANNOY, ABUSE, OR HARASS.

IF A CREDITOR OR DEBT COLLECTOR RECEIVES A MONEY JUDGMENT AGAINST YOU IN COURT, STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS MAY PREVENT THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INCOME FROM BEING TAKEN TO PAY THE DEBT:

- 1. SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME (SSI);
- 2. SOCIAL SECURITY;
- 3. PUBLIC ASSISTANCE (WELFARE):
- 4. SPOUSAL SUPPORT, MAINTENANCE (ALIMONY) OR CHILD SUPPORT;
- 5. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS;
- 6. DISABILITY BENEFITS;
- 7. WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS:
- 8. PUBLIC OR PRIVATE PENSIONS:
- 9. VETERANS' BENEFITS:
- 10. FEDERAL STUDENT LOANS, FEDERAL STUDENT GRANTS, AND FEDERAL WORK STUDY FUNDS; AND
- 11. NINETY PERCENT OF YOUR WAGES OR SALARY EARNED IN THE LAST SIXTY DAYS.

We are required by New York state law to set forth the foregoing language. Please be advised that said language should not be interpreted as a threat to commence any legal action against you for the debt referenced herein.

EXHIBIT B

JENNIFER BABCOCK

December 12, 2017

BY FAX TO (631) 291-9570 Neil Levinbrook, Esq. 77 Arkay Drive, Suite C1 Hauppauge, NY 11788

Re: Creditor: ENT & ALLERGY ASSOCIATES (L)

Account Number: 8252 Date of Service: April 2, 2015

Patient Name: JENNIFER BABCOCK

DISPUTED AMOUNT DUE: \$40.00

Dear Mr. Levinbook:

I received your November 29, 2017 letter. I attach a copy.

I dispute the validity of the ENT & Allergy Associates \$40 debt.

Please send me verification of this disputed debt.

Please have an attorney review the circumstances of this medical account.

Jennifer Babcock

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JENNIFER BABCOCK, on behalf of herself and a class of similarly situated individuals				MPELENDANTRY COLLECTION SERVICES, INC., a New York corporation and THE LEVINBOOK LAW FIRM, P.C. a New York professional corporation and NEIL S. LEVINBOOK						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff QUEENS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
				THE TRACT	OF LAND IN	IVOLVED.	BIANCO	, J.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)						
KLEINMAN LLC 626 RXR PLAZA UNIONDALE, NY 11556	i-0626 (516) 522-26	521				TOM	ILINSO	N, M.J	•	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	incipal Place	PTF 4	DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				tizen of Another State				5		
				itizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.										
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS PERSONAL INJUR		DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	KRUPTCY:		STATUT	<u>es</u>	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	O 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability O 367 Health Care/		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment			
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copy		410 Antitru 430 Banks a	and Banking	g	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal				830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated		☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			☐ 840 Trade			Organizati		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		LABOR DE LABOR Standards	SOCIAL 861 HIA	SECURITY (1395ff)				
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	G 72	Act 0 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securiti Exchan		dities/	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (Title XVI	☐ 890 Other S ☐ 891 Agricul	Statutory Ac	tions	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		I Family and Medical Leave Act		(8))	893 Environ	mental Matt		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation		L TAX SUITS	Act			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		I Employee Retirement Income Security Act	or Do □ 871 IRS—	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 899 Administrative P Act/Review or A Agency Decision				
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -			IMMIGRATION	1		950 Constitu E D State Sta	utionality of	f	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions		IN CLERK'S DISTRICT CO	OFFICE DURT E.D.I	N.Y.		
	448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			*	JUN 22	2018	*		
	noved from 3	Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	stated or	erred from er District	NG ISLAN 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	ct 🗆 8	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	145 11 9 0 8 4602	et seq.	e filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional stat						
	Violation of Feder	al collection law.								
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DI	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: 資 Yes					
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				T NUMBER	<u> </u>			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	1	Was								
RECEIPT # 2 5 546 AM	OUNT\$ 400.00	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE			

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Abraham	Kleinman , counsel for Plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action							
is ineligib	ole for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):							
⊢	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,							
F	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,							
10	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason							
* *	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1							
Not Ar	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: oplicable.							
110074								
RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)								
substantial deemed "re "Presumptiv	all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be elated" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that vely, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still fore the court."							
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)							
	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No							
	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No							
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No							
1	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: Queens County.							
If your an Suffolk Co Suffolk Co	ounty, or, in an interpleader action, does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ounty, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ounty?							
Sunoik S	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).							
	BAR ADMISSION							
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.							
	Yes No							
•	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?							
	Yes (If yes, please explain V No							
I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.								
;	Signature:							

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Levinbook Law Firm, Debt Collector Facing Lawsuit Over Alleged Attorney Involvement in Account Review</u>