IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN GREEN BAY DIVISION

JENNIFER AUTRY and DEREK VOLKMAN, individually on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

Case No.: 1:18-cv-001078

JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS LLC, RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC, and JOHN DOES,

Defendants.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs, JENNIFER AUTRY and DEREK VOLKMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, bring this action against Defendants, JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS LLC, ("JEFFERSON"), RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC ("RAUSCH, STURM"), and JOHN DOES, by and through their attorneys, say:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, bring this action for Defendants' illegal practices which include using false, deceptive, and misleading practices in connection with its attempts to collect alleged consumer debts from Plaintiffs and other similarly situated Wisconsin consumers.
- 2. Plaintiffs allege Defendants' collection practices violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq*. Such collection practices include, *inter alia*, sending consumers form letters which are false, deceptive, and misleading to an unsophisticated consumer, constitute an unfair or unconscionable means to attempt to collect a debt, and fail to disclose legally required information about the alleged debt.

- 3. The FDCPA regulates the behavior of "debt collectors" (including collection agencies, collection attorneys, debt buyers) when attempting to collect a consumer debt.

 Congress found "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors" which "contribute to a number of personal bankruptcies, marital instability, loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). The FDCPA was expressly adopted "to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote uniform State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e).
- 4. A single violation is sufficient to establish liability. *Nielsen v. Dickerson*, 307 F.3d 623, 640 (7th Cir. 2002).
- 5. Although the FDCPA is not a strict-liability statute, "most infractions result in liability" without proof of *scienter* unless the specific infractions includes an element of intent or purpose or the debt collector can affirmatively prove a *bona fide* error under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(c). *Oliva v. Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLC*, 864 F.3d 492, 502 (7th Cir. 2017), *cert. denied*, 138 S. Ct. 1283 (2018).
- 6. Whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of the "unsophisticated debtor." *Gammon v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). "The unsophisticated consumer is uninformed, naive, and trusting, but possesses rudimentary knowledge about the financial world, is wise enough to read collection notices with added care, possesses reasonable intelligence, and is capable of making basic logical deductions and inferences." *Williams v. OSI Educ. Servs., Inc*, 505 F.3d 675, 678 (7th Cir. 2007) (internal editing notations and quotation marks omitted).

- 7. To prohibit deceptive practices, the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, outlaws a debt collector's use of a false, deceptive, and misleading representation or means when collecting debts and includes a non-exclusive list of specific conduct which constitutes *per se* violations of § 1692e.
- 8. Similar to § 1692e, 15 U.S.C. § 1692f, bars a debt collector from using any unfair or unconscionable means when collecting or attempting to collect a debt and includes a non-exclusive list of specific conduct which constitutes *per se* violations of § 1692f.
- 9. At 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a), the FDCPA requires disclosure of certain information either in a debt collector's initial communication or within five days after the initial communication. At 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b), the FDCPA restrains a debt collector from conduct and communications which would overshadow or be inconsistent with certain rights disclosed pursuant to § 1692g(a).
- 10. A debt collector who fails to comply with any provision of the FDCPA with respect to any person is liable to that person for actual damages (if any), statutory damages, costs, and reasonable counsel fees. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a). A plaintiff's award of statutory damages is limited to \$1,000 and a class's award is limited to the lesser of \$500,000 or 1% of the debt collector's net worth. *Id*. The award to the class is to be made "without regard to a minimum individual recovery." *Id*.
- 11. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seek statutory damages, attorney fees, costs, and all other relief, equitable or legal in nature, as deemed appropriate by this Court, pursuant to the FDCPA.

II. PARTIES

- 12. AUTRY is a natural person.
- At all times relevant to this lawsuit, AUTRY is a citizen of, and resides in,
 Appleton, Outagamie County, Wisconsin.
 - 14. VOLKMAN is a natural person.
- 15. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, VOLKMAN is a citizen of, and resides in, Neenah, Winnebago County, Wisconsin.
- 16. On information and belief, JEFFERSON is a limited liability company existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Georgia.
- JEFFERSON maintains its principal business address at, 16 McLeland Road,
 Saint Cloud, Minnesota.
- 18. On information and belief, RAUSCH STURM is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Wisconsin.
- 19. On information and belief, RAUSCH STURM maintains its principal office at 250 N. Sunnyslope Road, Suite 300, Brookfield, Waukesha County, WI 53005.
- 20. Defendants, JOHN DOES, are sued under fictitious names as their true names and capacities are yet unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint by inserting the true names and capacities of these Defendants once they are ascertained.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 21. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337.
- 22. Venue is appropriate in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to each PLAINTIFF's claims occurred within this federal judicial district, and because DEFENDANTS are subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Wisconsin at the time this action is commenced.

IV. FACTS CONCERNING DEFENDANTS

- 23. JEFFERSON's principal purpose is the collection of debt by, *inter alia*, purchasing defaulted debts from creditors and other debt-buyers for pennies on the dollar of their face-value and then attempting to collect those purchased debts directly using the mails or through the use of agents engaged by JEFFERSON to act on JEFFERSON's behalf.
 - 24. One such agent of JEFFERSON is RAUSCH STURM.
- 25. RAUSCH STURM operates ostensibly as a law firm, referring to itself on its website as "Attorneys in the Practice of Debt Collection."
- 26. RAUSCH STURM regularly attempts to collect debts owed by natural persons which arose from transactions which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 27. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, JOHN DOES, are natural persons and/or business entities all of whom reside or are located within the United States who personally created, instituted and, with knowledge that such practices were contrary to law, acted consistent with and oversaw the violative policies and procedures used by the employees of JEFFERSON and/or RAUSCH STURM that are the subject of this Complaint. JOHN DOES personally control the illegal acts, policies, and practices utilized by JEFFERSON and/or RAUSCH STURM and, thus, are personally liable for all the wrongdoing alleged in this Complaint. JOHN DOES may include licensed attorneys who work for RAUSCH STURM.
- 28. Defendants regularly collect, and attempt to collect, defaulted debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, by consumers which were acquired by JEFFERSON after the original creditor charged-off the debt and sold it in-bulk with other similarly charged-off debts for pennies on the dollar.
- 29. In an attempt to collect debts, Defendants mailed a form collection letter to each Plaintiff which, except for specific information about each Plaintiff and each debt, are identical.

V. FACTS CONCERNING AUTRY

- 30. Defendants mailed a letter ("Autry Letter") to AUTRY dated July 13, 2017.
- 31. A true copy of the Autry Letter is attached as *Exhibit A* except that Plaintiff's counsel has partially redacted the Autry Letter.
- 32. Based on the terms of the Autry Letter, AUTRY is alleged to have defaulted on a financial obligation ("Autry Debt") originally owed to Webbank and currently owed to JEFFERSON.
 - 33. According to the Autry Letter, the "Account Balance" was \$1,311.18.
- 34. According to the Autry Letter, it enclosed a notice "indicating what portion of your account balance is currently due."
 - 35. The Autry Letter enclosed a "Notice of Right to Cure Default."
- 36. The enclosed "Notice of Right to Cure Default" included "You are in default.... You may cure the default on or before [a specified date], by paying the sum of [specified amount] to" RAUSCH STURM.
- 37. The statements made in the Autry Letter were false, deceptive, and misleading to an unsophisticated consumer. Among other reasons, even if AUTRY paid the specified amount by the specified date, the account giving rise to the Autry Debt would not have been reinstated, and the Autry Debt would have remained in default and not cured.
 - 38. Defendants mailed the Autry Letter in an attempt to collect the Autry Debt.
- 39. On information and belief, no licensed attorney had direct personal involvement in the drafting and mailing of the Autry Letter.
- 40. On information and belief, no licensed attorney considered the particular circumstances of the Autry Debt or AUTRY's case prior to mailing the Autry Letter.

- 41. The Autry Letter gives the false impression to an unsophisticated consumer that it was from an attorney when, in fact, the Autry Letter was not from an attorney in any meaningful sense of the word.
- 42. Had a licensed attorney been meaningfully involved as an attorney in reviewing information about the Autry Debt, the Autry Letter would not have stated that payment of the amount specified in the Notice of Right to Cure Default by the specified date would have cured any default.
- 43. By mailing the Autry Letter, Defendants deprived AUTRY of the right to truthful, non-misleading information (as viewed from the perspective of an unsophisticated consumer) concerning the effect of payment on the Autry Debt.

VI. FACTS CONCERNING VOLKMAN

- 44. Defendants mailed a letter ("Volkman Letter") to VOLKMAN dated July 13, 2017.
- 45. A true copy of the Volkman Letter is attached as *Exhibit B* except that Plaintiff's counsel has partially redacted the Volkman Letter.
- 46. The Volkman Letter is materially the same as the Autry Letter except for information concerning Volkman and the debt Defendants sought to collect.
 - 47. According to the Volkman Letter, the "Account Balance" was \$559.26.
- 48. According to the Volkman Letter, it enclosed a notice "indicating what portion of your account balance is currently due."
 - 49. The Volkman Letter enclosed a "Notice of Right to Cure Default."
- 50. The enclosed "Notice of Right to Cure Default" included "You are in default.... You may cure the default on or before [a specified date], by paying the sum of [specified amount] to" RAUSCH STURM.

- 51. The statements made in the Volkman Letter were false, deceptive, and misleading to an unsophisticated consumer. Among other reasons, even if VOLKMAN paid the specified amount by the specified date, the account giving rise to the Volkman Debt would not have been reinstated, and the Volkman Debt would have remained in default and not cured.
 - 52. Defendants mailed the Volkman Letter in an attempt to collect the Volkman Debt.
- 53. On information and belief, no licensed attorney had direct personal involvement in the drafting and mailing of the Volkman Letter.
- 54. On information and belief, no licensed attorney considered the particular circumstances of the Volkman Debt or AUTRY's case prior to mailing the Volkman Letter.
- 55. The Volkman Letter gives the false impression to an unsophisticated consumer that it was from an attorney when, in fact, the Volkman Letter was not from an attorney in any meaningful sense of the word.
- 56. Had a licensed attorney been meaningfully involved as an attorney in reviewing information about the Volkman Debt, the Volkman Letter would not have stated that payment of the amount specified in the Notice of Right to Cure Default by the specified date would have cured any default.
- 57. By mailing the Volkman Letter, Defendants deprived VOLKMAN of the right to truthful, non-misleading information (as viewed from the perspective of an unsophisticated consumer) concerning the effect of payment on the Volkman Debt.

VII. POLICIES AND PRACTICES COMPLAINED OF

58. It is Defendants' policy and practice to engage in practices which violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g by sending letters, such as *Exhibits A and B*, in their attempt to collect debts.

VIII. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 59. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiffs bring this action individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - 60. Plaintiffs seeks to certify a class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
- 61. *Class Definition*. This case is brought on behalf of a Class consisting of all natural persons to whom RAUSCH STURM mailed a written communication during the period beginning on July 13, 2017 and ending on July August 3, 2018 which including a Notice of Right to Cure Default similar in form to the Notices attached as *Exhibit A and B* in an attempt to collect a charged-off open-ended credit account. Plaintiffs also seek to certify a sub-class consisting of Class members to whom the letter was sent in an attempt to collect a debt acquired by JEFFERSON.
- 62. The identities of the Class and Sub-Class members, including their names and last known addresses, are readily ascertainable from Defendants' business records.
- 63. *Class Claims*. The Class claims include all claims Class members may have for a violation of the FDCPA based the form letter mailed by Defendants substantially in form of *Exhibits A and B*.
- 64. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) *Numerosity*. On information and belief the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical and includes at least 40 members.
 - (b) *Common Questions Predominate.* Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and those questions predominate over any

questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal class issue is whether Defendants violated the FDCPA when mailing letters created using the same or substantially similar form letter as was used to create *Exhibits A and B*.

- (c) *Typicality.* Plaintiffs' claims are typical of Class Claims. Plaintiffs and all Class members have claims arising from Defendants' common course of conduct in using and mailing the same form letter.
- (d) Adequacy. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interest that is averse to, or conflict with, Class members. Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither Plaintiffs nor Plaintiffs' counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- 65. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 66. Based on discovery and further investigation (including, but not limited to, Defendants' disclosure of class size and net worth), Plaintiffs may, in addition to moving for class certification using modified definitions of the class, class claims, and the class period, seek class certification only as to particular issues as permitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

IX. CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 67. Plaintiffs realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
 - 68. JEFFERSON is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
 - 69. RAUSCH STURM is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
 - 70. JOHN DOES are each a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
 - 71. The Autry Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
 - 72. The Autry Debt is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
 - 73. AUTRY is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
 - 74. The Volkman Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
 - 75. The Volkman Debt is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
 - 76. VOLKMAN is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 77. Defendants' use and mailing of the Autry Letter and the Volkman Letter violated the FDCPA in one or more following ways:
 - (a) Using false, deceptive, and misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e including, but not limited to, violations of §§ 1692e(2), (3), (5), and (9); and
 - (b) Using unfair or unconscionable means to attempt to collect a debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 78. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests the Court enter judgment against Defendants as follows:
 - (i) An order certifying that the Cause of Action may be maintained as a class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure including, but

not limited to, defining the Class, the class claims, appointing Plaintiffs as the class representatives, and the undersigned counsel to represent the Class;

- An award of actual damages for Plaintiffs and the Class pursuant to 15 (ii) U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(B), which includes damages to the extent the recovery of attorneys' fees and costs causes Plaintiffs or the Class a negative tax consequence;
- (iii) An award of statutory damages for Plaintiffs and the Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. $\S 1692k(a)(2)(B);$
- An incentive award for Plaintiffs, to be determined by the Court, for (iv) Plaintiffs' services on behalf of the Class;
- (v) Attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3); and
- (vi) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

IX. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand that this case be tried before a Jury.

Dated: July 13, 2018

s/ Andrew T. Thomasson

Philip D. Stern (NJ Bar # 045921984)

Francis R. Greene (IL Bar #00712094)

Andrew T. Thomasson (NJ Bar # 048362011)

STERN•THOMASSON LLP

150 Morris Avenue, 2nd Floor

Springfield, NJ 07081-1315

Telephone: (973) 379-7500

E-Mail: philip@sternthomasson.com

E-Mail: francis@sternthomasson.com

E-Mail: philip@sternthomasson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Jennifer Autry and

Derek Volkman

Exhibit A

RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

250 N. SUNNYSLOPE ROAD, SUITE 300 REDOKFIELD WI 53005

TOLL FREE; (888) 302-4011
FAX: (877) 396-4464
LAWFIRM@RSIEH.COM

July 13, 2017

JENNIFER AUTREY
REDACTED
APPLETON WI 54915-4303

Re: JENNIFER AUTREY

Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed: JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC

Our File Number: ####6787 Account #: *************4225 Account Balance: \$1,311,18

Dear JENNIFER AUTREY:

The above referenced account has been referred to this office. The original creditor of the account was WEBBANK.

A notice indicating what portion of your account balance is currently due is also enclosed. Any further payments you make on the account should be made to our office at: 250 North Sunnyslope Road, Suite 300, Brookfield WI 53005. Checks or money orders should be made payable to: JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC. Payments can be mailed, made by telephone or at our website. You can also review information regarding your account at our website. Our website address is: https://www.rsieh.com.

This communication is from a Debt Collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receipt of this letter that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment, if any, and mail you a copy of such verification or judgment. Upon your written request within 30 days after receipt of this letter we will provide the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

If you have any questions, please contact us by writing to the address listed above or by calling us at the telephone number listed above.

RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC



THIRDHING - DARPPI DOS1517

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO CURE DEFAULT

Re: R051517

Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed: JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC

JENNIFER AUTREY

REDACTED

ALL TELEPHONE INQUIRIES AND PAYMENTS SHOULD BE TO
RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC:
(YOUR CHECK OR MONEY ORDER SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO JEFFERSON CAPITAL
SYSTEMS, LLC)

RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC 250 N. Sunnyslope Rd., Suite 300 Brookfield WI 53005 Toll Free: (877) 667-8010

This communication is from a Debt Collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Exhibit B

RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

250 N. SUNNYSLOPE ROAD, SUITE 300. BROOKFIELD WI 53005

TOLL FREE: (877) 215-2552 FAX: (877) 396-4464 LAWFIRM@RSIEH.COM WWW.RSIEH.COM

October 4, 2017

DEREK VOLKMAN
REDACTED REDACTED
NEENAH WI 54956

Re: DEREK VOLKMAN

Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed: JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC

Our File Number: 857511
Account #: **********4265
Account Balance: \$559.26

Dear DEREK VOLKMAN:

The above referenced account has been referred to this office. The original creditor of the account was WEBBANK.

A notice indicating what portion of your account balance is currently due is also enclosed. Any further payments you make on the account should be made to our office at: 250 North Sunnyslope Road, Suite 300, Brookfield, WI 53005. Checks or money orders should be made payable to: JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC. Payments can be mailed, made by telephone or at our website. You can also review information regarding your account at our website. Our website address is: https://www.rsich.com.

This communication is from a Debt Collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receipt of this letter that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment, if any, and mail you a copy of such verification or judgment. Upon your written request within 30 days after receipt of this letter we will provide the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

If you have any questions, please contact us by writing to the address listed above or by calling us at the telephone number listed above.

RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK LLP



NOTICE OF RIGHT TO CURE DEFAULT

Re: R081517

Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed: JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC

DEREK VOLKMAN

REDACTEDREDACTED:

NEENAH WI 54956

Our File Number: REDACTI 7511

Account #: *********4265

Our records indicate that you are in default on the consumer credit transaction briefly described as ROAD, ST CLOUD MN 56303, 320/229-8653, in the current amount of \$559.26. You are in default for failure to make the minimum monthly payment on the account. You may cure the default on or before November 08, 2017, by paying the sum of \$89.00 to RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK LLP, 250 North Sunnyslope Road, Suite 300, Brookfield, WI 53005. Checks or money orders should be made payable to: JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC. Payments can be mailed, made by telephone or at our website. You can also review information regarding your account at our website. Our website address is: https://www.rsich.com.

ALL TELEPHONE INQUIRIES AND PAYMENTS SHOULD BE TO RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK LLP: (YOUR CHECK OR MONEY ORDER SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS, LLC)

> RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK LLP 250 N. Sunnyslope Rd., Suite 300 Brookfield WI 53005 Toll Free: (877) 215-2552

This communication is from a Dobt Collector, This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

1 1 5	1				
Place an "X" in the appropriate	box (required): Green Bay Division	Milwaukee D	ivision		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JENNIFER AUTRY and I others similarly situated	DEREK VOLKMAN, individually on bel	nalf of all		ITAL SYSTEMS LLC, RANIK, LLC, and JOHN DC	AUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL DES
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Outagamie (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence NOTE:	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O IN LAND CONDEMNATION C THE TRACT OF LAND INVOL	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Stern Thomasson LLP 150 Morris Avenue, 2nd Floo Springfield, NJ 07081-1315 (973) 379-7500	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
<u> </u>	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL PARTIES (Pla	ace an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	((For Diversity Cases Only) en of This State	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	
	_		en or Subject of a reign Country	3 Greign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		E.C.		D A NIZDLIDTON	OTHER CTATUTES
CONTRACT	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal Inju Product Liab 37 Health Care/ Pharmaceutic Personal Inju Product Liab 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutic Personal Inju Product Liab 19 Product 1	ary - pility	LABOR Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Collabor/Management Relations Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act College Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note That Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note That Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Collabor Linguity Act Collabor Linguity Act IMMIGRATION Collabor Linguity Act Collabor	423 Withdrawal	□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729 (a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes
✓ 1 Original	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 15 USC § 1692, et seq.	Reop	pened Anot (speci	= -	on - Litigation –
ACTION	Brief description of cause: Claims under Fair Debt Collection	Practices A	Act and Wisconsin Co	onsumer Act	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	ON D	EMAND \$,000,000.00		if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 07/13/2018	signature of a' s/ Andrew T.				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

)	
JENNIFER AUTRY and DE	REK VOLKMAN, et al.)	
Plaintifj	f(s)	<i>)</i>)	
v.) Civil Action No. 1:18-ev-001078	
JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYS STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON)))	
Defendar	nt(s))	
	SUMMONS I	IN A CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address)	JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS LLC c/o Its Registered Agent, Corporation Service Company 8040 Excelsior Drive, Suite 400 Madison, Wisconsin 53717		
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.		
the United States or a United 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must se	States agency, or an offic rve on the plaintiff an ans		
If you fail to respond	1 0 .	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.	
You also must file your answe			
		STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT	
Date:			
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-001078

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

ere received	d by me on (date)		·		
□ I	☐ I personally served the summons and the attached complaint on the individual at (place):				
			on (date)	; or	
	left the summons a	•	the individual's residence or usual place of a		
			, a person of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides there,	
on (de	late)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address;	or	
□ I	served the summor	ns and the attached complaint	on (name of individual)		
who	who is designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)				
	on (date) ; or				
□ I	returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; or	
	Other (specify):				
My f	ees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
I dec	lare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.		
4					
te:		-	Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and title		
		-	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

))		
JENNIFER AUTRY and DEREK VOLKMAN, et al.			
Plaintiff(s))		
v.	Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-001078		
)		
JEFFERSON CAPITAL SYSTEMS LLC, RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC, et al.			
Defendant(s))		
SUMMONS IN	NA CIVIL ACTION		
Co: (Defendant's name and address) RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, ENERSON & HORNIK, LLC 250 North Sunnyslope Road, Suite 300 Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
the United States or a United States agency, or an officer 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff an answ	you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. wer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose		
150 Morris Avenue, 2nd Flo Springfield, NJ 07081-1315	or		
	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.		
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.			
	STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT		
D.			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-001078

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

ere re	ceived by me on (date)	·				
	☐ I personally served the summons and the attached complaint on the individual at (place):					
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons a	•	individual's residence or usual place of a			
			person of suitable age and discretion wh			
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy	y to the individual's last known address;	or		
	☐ I served the summon	ns and the attached complaint on	(name of individual)			
	who is designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)					
	on (date) ; or					
	☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; or		
	Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	s true.			
ate:						
			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: FDCPA Suit Filed in Wisconsin Against Two Debt Collectors