UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NAZOKAT ATAKHANOVA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Index No.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff,

- against -

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION AND RULE 23 CLASS ACTION

HOME FAMILY CARE INC.

Defendant.

Plaintiff NAZOKAT ATAKHANOVA, by and through her attorneys, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, alleges, upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of herself and other employees similarly situated, to remedy violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"). Plaintiff seeks, for herself and similarly situated employees, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and all other appropriate legal and equitable relief, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b) and 217, and other applicable federal law.
- 2. Plaintiff also bring this action, on behalf of herself and other employees similarly situated, to remedy violations of the New York State Labor Law, including N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 190 et seq., §§ 650 et seq. ("NYLL"), and 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2. Plaintiff seeks, for herself and all other similarly situated employees, unpaid overtime wages, statutory

damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, liquidated and other damages, and all other appropriate legal and equitable relief, pursuant to the NYLL §§ 198, 663.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction of the Court over Plaintiff's FLSA claims is invoked pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court over Plaintiff's NYLL claims is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) in that the NYLL claims are so related to Plaintiff's FLSA claims as to form the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 5. Venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this District. Venue is further proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant may be found in this District.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff NAZOKAT ATAKHANOVA ("Plaintiff") resides in the County of Kings in the State of New York. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as home health aide and/or home attended ("home health aide"), as described herein, from in or around August 2014 through in or around February 2015, from in or around June 2015 through in or around December 2015, and from in or around June 2016 to to the present.
 - 7. Plaintiff's written consent to sue is attached hereto as Exhibit "A"
- 8. Defendant HOME FAMILY CARE INC., is a New York State domestic corporations licensed to do business in the State of New York, with its principal office and place of business at 223 Brighton Beach Ave, Brooklyn, NY, 11235.

- 9. Defendant grosses more than \$500,000.00.
- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be an "employer" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the "production of goods" for "commerce", within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203 and the NYLL. At all relevant times, Defendant employed "employee[s]", including Plaintiff, each of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Defendant provides home health care to individuals who live in New York City.
- 12. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed Plaintiff as a non-exempt home health aide.
- 13. At the start and end of each work day, Plaintiff would phone in to record her hours. However, Plaintiff was not paid an overtime premium for all hours worked in excess of forty.
- 14. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members as non-exempt home health aides.
- 15. From, at least, in or around the middle of July 2016 to the present, Defendant scheduled Plaintiff to work- and Plaintiff worked- at least 51 hours per work week.
- 16. Defendant paid Plaintiff \$10 for hours worked up to 40 per work week, and \$12 per hour worked in excess of 40 hours per work week, which is far below the overtime rate of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate as required by law for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

- 17. Defendant scheduled the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members to work over 40 hours a work week.
- 18. Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members regularly worked in excess of 40 hours a work week.
- 19. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the required overtime premiums of one and one half times their regular hourly rates of pay for all of the hours they worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 20. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members the required overtime premiums of one and one half times their regular hourly rates of pay for all of the hours they worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 21. Defendant violated NYLL § 195(3) by failing to furnish Plaintiff and the Class Members with a statement with every payment of wages, listing, among other things, hours worked, rates paid, gross wages, deductions and net wages, and an explanation of how such wages were computed.
- 22. Defendant knew of, and/or showed reckless disregard for, the practices by which Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees of Defendant were not paid overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a week. Defendant knew that the nonpayment of overtime premiums would economically injure Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and that they violated the FLSA and the NYLL.
- 23. Defendant committed the foregoing acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully against the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 24. Plaintiff brings the First Claim for Relief as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons employed by Defendant as a Home Health Aide from January 1, 2015. All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the "FLSA Collective Plaintiffs".
- 25. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements, job duties and pay provisions, and are and have been subject to Defendant's decision, policy, plan, practice, procedure, routine and rules to willfully fail and refuse to pay them the legally required overtime premium for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek. The claims of the Plaintiff herein are essentially the same as those of the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.
- 26. Other home health aides currently or formerly employed by Defendant should have the opportunity to have their claims for violations of the FLSA heard. Certifying this action as a collective action under the FLSA will provide other non-exempt home health aides to receive notice of the action and allow them to opt in to such an action if they so choose.
- 27. The First Claim for Relief is properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to §216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendant. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last addresses known to Defendant.

RULE 23 CLASS ALLEGATIONS – NEW YORK

- 28. Plaintiff brings the Second and Third Claims for Relief pursuant to CPLR Article 9, to recover unpaid overtime pay and other damages on behalf of all individuals employed in the State of New York by Defendant as a home health aide from January 1, 2015 (the "Class Period"). All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to as the "Class Members" and/or the "Class".
- 29. The number, names and addresses of the Class Members are readily ascertainable from the records of the Defendant. The dates of employment and the rates of pay for each Class Member, the hours assigned and worked, and the wages paid to them, are also determinable from Defendant's records. Notice can be provided by means permissible under CPLR Article 9.
- 30. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the Court. While the precise number of such persons is unknown to Plaintiff and is presently within the sole control of Defendant, Plaintiff believes that through discovery he will obtain evidence to establish that there are at least forty members of the Class.
- 31. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other Class Members, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each Class Member in separate actions. All the Class Members were subject to the same corporate practices of Defendant, in that they were not compensated for (i) overtime hours worked as required by 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.2; and (ii) failed to provide them with proper wage statements as required by NYLL § 195. Defendant's corporate-wide policies and practices affected all

Class Members similarly, and Defendant benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each Class Member.

- 32. Plaintiff and the other Class Members sustained similar losses, injuries and damages arising from the same unlawful policies, practices, and procedures caused by Defendant violations of the NYLL.
- 33. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has no interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiff has retained Naydenskiy Law Group, P.C., an experienced employment and class and collective action litigation firm.
- A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient 34. adjudication of the controversy—particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate Defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender. Because the losses, injuries and damages suffered by each of the individual Class Members are relatively small in the sense pertinent to a class action analysis, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it extremely difficult or impossible for the individual Class Members to redress the wrongs done to them. On the other hand, important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The adjudication of individual litigation claims would result in a great expenditure of Court and public resources; however, treating the claims as a class action would result in a significant saving of these costs. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or

varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants and resulting in the impairment of Class Members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. The issues in this action can be decided by means of common, class-wide proof. In addition, if appropriate, the Court can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this action as a class action.

- 35. Upon information and belief, employees of the Defendants are often afraid to individually assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation and former employees are fearful of bringing individual claims because the fear that doing so could harm their employment, future employment, and future efforts to secure employment. A class action provides Class Members who are not named in the Complaint a degree of anonymity which allows for the vindication of their rights while eliminating or reducing these risks.
- 36. The questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members, including: (a) whether Defendant failed to adequately compensate the Class Members for overtime hours worked as required by 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, and (b) whether Defendant provided Class Members with sufficiently detailed wage statements as required by NYLL § 195(3).
- 37. Absent a class action, many of the Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendant will retain the proceeds of their violations of the NYLL.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages – FLSA, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs)

- 38. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 39. Throughout the statute of limitations period covered by these claims, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek and continue to do so.
- 40. At all relevant times, Defendant willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the required overtime rates for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 41. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, seek damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated (double) damages as provided by the FLSA for overtime violations, attorneys' fees and costs, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.
- 42. Because Defendant's violations of the FLSA have been willful, the three-year statute of limitations pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255 should be equitably tolled for, at the very least, the six-year NYLL statute of limitations period.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages – NYLL, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the Class Members)

43. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class Members, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.

- 44. It is unlawful under New York law for an employer to suffer or permit a non-exempt employee to work without paying overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any workweek.
- 45. Defendant willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members the required overtime rates for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff and the Class Members have sustained damages, including loss of earnings, in an amount to be established at trial.
- 47. Plaintiff and the Class Members seek damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to NYLL, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

(Wage Statement Violations – NYLL §195, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the Class Members)

- 48. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class Members, realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 49. Defendant willfully failed to supply each Plaintiff and the Class Members with an accurate statement of wages as required by NYLL § 195, containing the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; hourly rate or rates

of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; the number of hours worked, including overtime hours worked if applicable; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages.

50. Due to Defendant's violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to recover damages and/or statutory penalties from Defendant, as provided for by NYLL § 198, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, injunctive and declaratory relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members, pray for relief as follows:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (b) Certification of this action as a class action;
- (c) Designation of the Named Plaintiff as Representative of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and Class Representative of the Class;
- (d) An award of damages, according to proof, including FLSA and NYLL liquidated damages, and interest, to be paid by Defendant;
- (e) Costs of action incurred herein, including expert fees;

- (f) Attorneys' fees, including fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 663, 198 and other applicable statutes;
- (g) Pre-Judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- (h) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: December 4, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

NAYDENSKIY LAW GROUP, P.C.

Gennadiy Naydenskiy (GN5601) 1517 Voorhies Ave, 2nd fl. Brooklyn, NY 11235 (718) 808-2224 naydenskiylaw@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Proposed Collective

Action Plaintiffs and Proposed Class Members

EXHIBIT A

I am a current or former employee of HOME FAMILY CARE INC., and/or related entities/individuals. I hereby consent and agree to be a party Plaintiff in this Action to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

I hereby designate Naydenskiy Law Group, P.C. to represent me in this Action and I also consent and agree, if such is necessary, to file this claim on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Signed this 20 day of November, 2016.

Signature

Nazokat Atakhanova Full Legal Name (print)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS NAZOKAT ATAKHANO similarly situated	VA, individually and or	behalf of all others	;	DEFENDANT HOME FAMILY	rs Care in	C.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff KINGS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant KINGS (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, NAYDENSKIY LAW GR NY, 11235 7188082224	Address, and Telephone Numb OUP P.C., 1517 Voort	er) nies Ave, 2nd fl, Bro	oklyn,	Attorneys (If Known	n)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	111. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCI	PAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	J I U.S. Government 🔀 3 Federal Question		(-	ror Diversity Cases Only,) PTF DE		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	n of Another State	020	2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place
				or Subject of a eign Country	0 3 0	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Country			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/	Y □ 625 □ 690	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other	☐ 423 W	ppeal 28 USC 158 /ithdrawal 8 USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	: :		☐ 820 C ☐ 830 Pa	PERTY RIGHTS opyrights atent rademark	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	TY 🗷 710	LABOR Fair Labor Standards	SOCI	AL SECURITY IA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 	□ 740	Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	□ 863 D □ 864 SS	lack Lung (923) IWC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI SI (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	790	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation			Act ☐ 896 Arbitration
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	S 🗆 791	Employee Retirement Income Security Act		ERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		Tooling Tool	01 1 871 IR	Defendant) S—Third Party S USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	7 3 3	IMMIGRATION:	**		State Statutes
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	Other:	☐ 462 ☐ 465	Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	n.		
V ODICIN		Confinement			L		
	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinsta Reoper		er District	6 Multidistri Litigation	ct
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		ute under which you are	filing (Do	not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless	diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN	UNPAID OVERTING CHECK IF THIS I	ME S A CLASS ACTION	DEN	AAND \$	···········	CHECK YES only i	f demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE	UNDER RULE 23	, F.R.Cv.P.				JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes 🔀 No
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER	
DATE 12/4/16 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF	RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		, -	/				
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions actions scaling many 1.

exclusi certific	ive of inte	rest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a lee contrary is filed.
I, GEN	NADIY NAYI ible for (DENSKIY, counsel for PLAINTIFFS, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
	X	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
because same juc case: (A	the cases dge and m) involves	s that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) ivil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the ci	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)	If you a a) Did t County	nswered "no" above: he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did t District	he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
Bulloik	olk Count	
	(N	ote: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
		BAR ADMISSION
I am cur	rently ad	mitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No
Are you	currently	the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
certify	the accur	acy of all information provided above.
Signatu	re:	2^

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

	20000111 2 100110	, o. 1 ()				
NAZOKAT ATAKHANOVA, individ	,					
of all others similarly s	ituated))				
Plaintiff)					
v. HOME FAMILY CARE INC.		Civil Action No.				
HOIVIE PAIVILT CARE	= INC. /)				
Defendant)					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
	OME FAMILY CARE INC. 3 Brighton Beach Ave, poklyn, NY, 11235					
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Gennadiy Naydenskiy Esq. Naydenskiy Law Group P.C. 1517 Voorhies Ave, 2nd Fl. Brooklyn, NY 11235						
If you fail to respond, judg: You also must file your answer or r		ntered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
		CLERK OF COURT				
Date:						
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if any	y)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)							
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the indi-	vidual at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's resider	nce or usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summo		, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process of	on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or				
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	•			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this infor	rmation is true.					
		1 3 3						
Date:								
2			Server's signature		=			
			Printed name and title		_			
			Server's address		_			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Home Family Care Inc. Knocked with Unpaid Overtime Class Action</u>