

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

-----X
Meir Assoulyn, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 3:19-cv-20078

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

-against-

Equifax Information Services, LLC,
NewRez, LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing,
and John Does 1-25.

Defendant(s).
-----X

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Meir Assoulyn ("Plaintiff"), a New Jersey state resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through his attorneys, and as and for his Complaint against Defendants Equifax Information Services, LLC ("Equifax") and NewRez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing ("Shellpoint"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, as well as 15 U.S.C. § 1681p *et seq.*
2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), being that the acts and transactions occurred here, Plaintiff resides here, and the Defendants transacts business here.
3. Plaintiff brings this action for damages arising from the Defendant's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”).
4. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at 27 Richmond Ave, Deal, NJ, 07723.
6. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was a “consumer” as said term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
7. Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC is a consumer reporting agency as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) and conducts substantial and regular business activities in this judicial district. Defendant Equifax is a Georgia corporation registered to do business in the State of New Jersey, and may be served with process upon The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, its registered agent for service of process at 830 Bear Tavern Road, West Trenton, NJ, 08628.
8. At all times material here to Equifax is a consumer reporting agency regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating and disbursing information concerning

consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as said term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1681(d) to third parties.

9. At all times material hereto, Equifax disbursed such consumer reports to third parties under a contract for monetary compensation.
10. Defendant NewRez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing is a person who furnishes information to consumer reporting agencies under 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2 with an address for service in New Jersey c/o Corporation Service Company, at Princeton South Corporate Ctr., Suite 160, 100 Charles Ewing Blvd, Ewing, NJ, 08628.
11. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
13. The Class consists of:
 - a. all individuals with addresses in the New Jersey;
 - b. for whom Shellpoint continued to report a debt to the credit bureaus (defined as but not limited to Experian, Equifax and Trans Union);
 - c. despite this account no longer being within the legal time period to credit report;
 - d. for which these accounts were being reported on a consumer's credit report within five (5) years prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.

14. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
15. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
16. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendant Shellpoint has been reporting debts that were no longer legally reportable, as well as Equifax's failure to recognize this information and update it properly upon being made known by consumers, as well as Equifax's failure to have systems and policies in place to recognize and correct this issue on their own.
17. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
18. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- a. **Numerosity:** The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
- b. **Common Questions Predominate:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' reporting of an account past the legally allowed time period, and failure to delete timely upon a dispute violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692n and §1692o.
- c. **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. **Adequacy:** The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large

number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

22. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
23. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

Equifax Dispute and Violation

25. On information and belief, on a date better known to Equifax, Equifax prepared and issued credit reports concerning the Plaintiff that included inaccurate information regarding a Shellpoint debt.
26. The inaccurate information furnished by Defendant Shellpoint and published by Defendant Equifax is inaccurate since the Shellpoint debt was being reported with negative reporting, despite the legally allowed time period to report this debt on the consumers credit report having passed.

27. Defendant Equifax has been reporting this inaccurate information through the issuance of false and inaccurate credit information and consumer reports that they have disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and unknown.
28. Plaintiff notified Equifax that he disputed the accuracy of the information Equifax was reporting, on or around November 5, 2019 specifically stating in a letter that he was disputing this account appearing on his report, since it is too old to be on the credit report, therefore it is either in error or has been illegally re-aged to look current.
29. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendant Equifax notified Defendant Shellpoint of Plaintiff's dispute.
30. Upon receipt of the dispute of the account from the Plaintiff by Equifax, Shellpoint failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and continued to report false and inaccurate adverse information on the consumer report of the Plaintiff with respect to the disputed account, including the full tradeline with the negative reporting.
31. Despite the dispute by the Plaintiff that the information on his consumer report was inaccurate with respect to the dispute account, Equifax did not evaluate or consider any of the information, claims, or evidence of the Plaintiff and did not make an attempt to substantially reasonably verify that the derogatory information concerning the disputed account was inaccurate.
32. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's efforts, Defendant Equifax sent Plaintiff correspondence indicating its intent to continue publishing the inaccurate information and Defendants continued to publish and disseminate such inaccurate information to other third parties, persons, entities and credit grantors.
33. As of the date of the filing of the filing of this Complaint, Defendant Shellpoint continues to furnish credit data which is inaccurate and materially misleading, and

Defendant Equifax's reporting of the above-referenced trade line continues to be inaccurate and materially misleading.

34. Defendants' erroneous reporting continues to affect Plaintiff's creditworthiness and credit score.
35. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered a decreased credit score as a result of the inaccurate information on Plaintiff's credit file.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(Willful Violation of the FCRA as to Equifax)

36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
37. This is an action for willful violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*,
38. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1601(e) by failing to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit report and credit files that Equifax maintained concerning the Plaintiff.
39. Equifax has willfully and recklessly failed to comply with the Act. The failure of Equifax to comply with the Act include but are not necessarily limited to the following:
 - a) The failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported;
 - b) The failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding the Plaintiff after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
 - c) The failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;

- d) The failure to promptly and adequately investigate information which Defendant Equifax had notice was inaccurate;
- e) The continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of the Plaintiff after being advised by the Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate;
- f) The failure to note in the credit report that the Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information;
- g) The failure to promptly delete information that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Equifax to delete;
- h) The failure to take adequate steps to verify information Equifax had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.

40. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of Equifax, the Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denial.

41. The conduct, action and inaction of Equifax was willful rendering Equifax liable for actual, statutory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a Judge/ and or Jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

42. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Meir Assoulyn, individually and on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, demands judgment in his favor against Defendant, Equifax, for damages together with attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Violation of the FCRA as to Equifax)

43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

44. This is an action for negligent violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*,

45. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a) by failing to delete inaccurate information from the credit file of the Plaintiff after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies and conducting reinvestigation and by failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to verify the disputed information in the credit file of the Plaintiff.

46. Equifax has negligently failed to comply with the Act. The failure of Equifax to comply with the Act include but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- a) The failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported;
- b) The failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding the Plaintiff after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
- c) The failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
- d) The failure to promptly and adequately investigate information which Defendant Equifax had notice was inaccurate;
- e) The continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of the Plaintiff after being advised by the Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate;
- f) The failure to note in the credit report that the Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information;

g) The failure to promptly delete information that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Equifax to delete;

h) The failure to take adequate steps to verify information Equifax had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.

47. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of Equifax, the Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denial.

48. The conduct, action and inaction of Equifax was negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

49. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n) and 1681o.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Meir Assoulyn, individually and on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, demands judgment in his favor against Defendant, Equifax, for damages together with attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(Willful Violation of the FCRA as to Shellpoint)

50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

51. This is an action for willful violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*,

52. Pursuant to the Act, all person who furnished information to reporting agencies must participate in re-investigations conducted by the agencies when consumers dispute the accuracy and completeness of information contained in a consumer credit report.
53. Pursuant to the Act, a furnisher of disputed information is notified by the reporting agency when the agency receives a notice of dispute from a consumer such as the Plaintiff. The furnisher must then conduct a timely investigation of the disputed information and review all relevant information provided by the agency.
54. The results of the investigation must be reported to the agency and, if the investigation reveals that the original information is incomplete or inaccurate, the information from a furnisher such as the above listed above must report the results to other agencies which were supplied such information.
55. The Plaintiff dispute clearly stated his dispute and evidence to support his claim was provided.
56. The Defendant Shellpoint violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s2-b by the publishing of the Account Liability Representation; by failing to fully and improperly investigate the dispute of the Plaintiff with respect to the Account Liability Representation; by failing to review all relevant information regarding same by failing to correctly report results of an accurate investigation to the credit reporting agencies.
57. Specifically, the Defendant Shellpoint continued to report this account on the Plaintiff's credit report after being notified of his dispute regarding the debt being past the legally allowed time period to be on his credit report.
58. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of the Defendant Shellpoint, the Plaintiff suffered damage for the loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit,

and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denials.

59. The conduct, action and inaction of Defendant Shellpoint was willful, rendering Defendant Shellpoint liable for actual, statutory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1601(n).

60. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Defendant Shellpoint in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1601(n).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Meir Assoulyn, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment in his favor against Defendant, Shellpoint, for damages together with attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Violation of the FCRA as to Shellpoint)

61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

62. This is an action for negligent violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*,

63. Pursuant to the Act, all persons who furnished information to reporting agencies must participate in re-investigations conducted by the agencies when consumers dispute the accuracy and completeness of information contained in a consumer credit report.

64. Pursuant to the Act, a furnisher of disputed information is notified by the reporting agency when the agency receives a notice of dispute from a consumer such as the Plaintiff. The furnisher must then conduct a timely investigation of the disputed information and review all relevant information provided by the agency.

65. The results of the investigation must be reported to the agency and, if the investigation reveals that the original information is incomplete or inaccurate, the information from a furnisher such as the above-named Defendant must report the results to other agencies which were supplied such information.
66. Defendant Shellpoint is liable to the Plaintiff for failing to comply with the requirements imposed on furnishers of information pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s2-b.
67. After receiving the Dispute Notice from Equifax, Defendant Shellpoint negligently failed to conduct its reinvestigation in good faith.
68. A reasonable investigation would require a furnisher such as Defendant Shellpoint to consider and evaluate a specific dispute by the consumer, along with all other facts, evidence and materials provided by the agency to the furnisher.
69. The conduct, action and inaction of Defendant Shellpoint was negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
70. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of the Defendant Shellpoint, the Plaintiff suffered damage for the loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denials.
71. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from the Defendant Shellpoint in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1601(n) and 1681o.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Meir Assoulyn, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment in his favor against Defendant, Shellpoint, for damages together with attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

72. Plaintiff demands and hereby respectfully requests a trial by jury for all claims and issues this complaint to which Plaintiff is or may be entitled to a jury trial.

PRAAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Meir Assoulyn, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment from Defendants Equifax and Shellpoint as follows:

1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Yaakov Saks, Esq. as Class Counsel;
2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: November 12, 2019

/s/ Yaakov Saks
Stein Saks, PLLC
By: Yaakov Saks
ysaks@steinsakslegal.com
285 Passaic Street
Hackensack, NJ 07601
Phone: (201) 282-6500 ext. 101

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Equifax, Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing Facing Class Action Over Allegedly Inaccurate Credit Report Information](#)
