### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ARcare, Inc., an Arkansas Corporation, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated )	No.
Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
v. )	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Alere, Inc.; and Alere Home Monitoring, Inc.	
Defendant.	

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, ARcare, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, brings this Complaint against Defendant Alere, Inc. and Defendant Alere Home Monitoring, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") for violations of the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act. Plaintiff seeks certification of its claims against Defendants as a class action. In support, Plaintiff states as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This case challenges Defendant's policy and practice of faxing advertisements without providing an adequate opt-out notice as required by law.
- 2. Congress enacted the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, to regulate the fast-growing expansion of the telemarketing industry. As is pertinent here, the TCPA and its implementing regulations prohibit persons within the United States from sending advertisements via fax without including a detailed notice that allows recipients to expeditiously opt out of receiving future solicitations.
- 3. Junk faxes disrupt recipients' peace, drain recipients' paper, ink, and toner, and cause recipients tangible damages. Junk faxes also cause recipients to waste valuable time retrieving and discerning the purpose of the faxes; prevent fax machines from receiving and

sending authorized faxes; and cause undue wear and tear on recipients' fax machines. Plaintiff offers pharmaceutical services and must use its fax machine to receive communications about patients. That purpose is impeded when Plaintiff's fax machine is invaded by junk faxes.

- 4. The TCPA provides a private right of action and statutory damages of \$500 per violation, which may be trebled when the violation is willing or knowing.
- 5. On behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff brings this case under the TCPA to recover declaratory relief, damages for violations of the TCPA, and an injunction prohibiting Defendants from future TCPA violations.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they operate their businesses in Massachusetts.
- 7. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's TCPA claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue in this district is proper because this is the district in which Defendants resides

#### **PARTIES**

- 9. Plaintiff ARcare is an Arkansas non-profit corporation located in Augusta, Arkansas.
- 10. Defendant Alere, Inc. is a company that is a global diagnostic device and service provider. It has its principal place of business in Waltham, Massachusetts, and is organized under the laws of Delaware.

11. Defendant Alere Home Monitoring, Inc. is a company that provides home anticoagulation monitoring services and related products. It has its principal place of business in Waltham, Massachusetts, and is organized under the laws of Delaware.

#### **FACTS**

- During the Class Period, Defendants sent an unsolicited advertisement to Plaintiff's ink-and-paper facsimile machine. The fax advertises Defendants' home INR monitoring program. The fax informs the recipient that "Our home INR monitoring program makes managing warfarin simple and convenient for you and your patients." (emphasis in original). The fax implores the recipient to receive further information about the service: "Call us at 877.262.4669, option 4 to provide your Verbal Order and a representative will explain next steps. Alere Home Monitoring takes care of the rest." (emphasis in the original). A copy of this facsimile is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit A.
  - 13. Exhibit A is an exemplary of the junk faxes Defendants send.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff has received multiple fax advertisements from Defendants similar to Exhibit A.
- 15. Defendants also sent two other faxes advertising their home INR monitoring program during the Class Period. These faxes have been attached as Exhibit B.
- 16. Defendants did not have Plaintiff's prior express invitation or permission to send advertisements to Plaintiff's fax machine.
- 17. Defendants' faxes do not contain opt-out notices that comply with the requirements of the TCPA.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

18. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of the following class of persons (the "Class"):

All persons and entities who held telephone numbers that received one or more telephone facsimile transmissions that (1) promoted the commercial availability or quality of property, goods or services offered by "Alere" and (2) did not state on the first page that the fax recipient may make a request to the sender not to send any future ads and that the sender's failure to comply, within 30 days, with such a request is unlawful.

- 19. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed Class before the Court determines whether certification is proper, as more information is gleaned in discovery.
- 20. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, any parent, subsidiary, affiliate, or controlled person of Defendants, as well as the officers, directors, agents, servants, or employees of Defendants and the immediate family members of any such person. Also excluded are any judge who may preside over this case and any attorneys representing Plaintiff or the Class.
- 21. <u>Numerosity [Fed R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1)]</u>. The Members of the Class are so numerous that joinder is impractical. Upon information and belief, Defendants have sent illegal fax advertisements to hundreds if not thousands of other recipients.
- 22. <u>Commonality [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2)]</u>. Common questions of law and fact apply to the claims of all Class Members and include (but are not limited to) the following:
  - a. Whether Defendants sent faxes promoting the commercial availability or quality of property, goods, or services;
  - b. The manner and method Defendants used to compile or obtain the list(s) of fax numbers to which they sent the faxes attached as <a href="Exhibit A">Exhibit A</a> and <a href="Exhibit B">Exhibit B</a> to Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint and other unsolicited fax advertisements;

- c. Whether Defendants faxed advertisements without first obtaining the recipient's express permission or invitation;
- d. Whether Defendants' advertisements contained the opt-out notices required by the law;
  - e. Whether Defendants sent fax advertisements knowingly or willfully;
  - f. Whether Defendants violated 47 U.S.C. § 227;
- g. Whether Plaintiff and the other Class members are entitled to statutory damages;
  - h. Whether the Court should award trebled damages; and
- i. Whether Plaintiff and the other Class Members are entitled to declaratory,
   injunctive, or other equitable relief.
- 23. <u>Typicality [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)]</u>. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all Class Members. Plaintiff received unsolicited fax advertisements without adequate opt-out notices from Defendants during the Class Period. Plaintiff makes the same claims that it makes for the Class Members and seeks the same relief that it seeks for the Class Members. Defendants have acted in the same manner toward Plaintiff and all Class Members.
- 24. <u>Fair and Adequate Representation [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)]</u>. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class. It is interested in this matter, has no conflicts, and has retained experienced class counsel to represent the Class.
- 25. <u>Predominance and Superiority [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)]</u>. For the following reasons, common questions of law and fact predominate and a class action is superior to other methods of adjudication:

- (a) Proof of Plaintiff's claims will also prove the claims of the Class without the need for separate or individualized proceedings;
- (b) Evidence regarding defenses or any exceptions to liability that Defendants may assert will come from Defendants' records and will not require individualized or separate inquiries or proceedings;
- (c) Defendants have acted and continue to act pursuant to common policies or practices in the same or similar manner with respect to all Class Members;
- (d) The amount likely to be recovered by individual Members of the Class does not support individual litigation. A class action will permit a large number of relatively small claims involving virtually identical facts and legal issues to be resolved efficiently in one proceeding based on common proofs;
- (e) This case is inherently well-suited to class treatment in that:
  - (i) Defendants identified persons or entities to receive their fax transmissions, and it is believed that Defendants' computer and business records will enable Plaintiff to readily identify Class Members and establish liability and damages;
  - (ii) Common proof can establish Defendants' liability and the damages owed to Plaintiff and the Class;
  - (iii) Statutory damages are provided for in the statutes and are the same for all Class Members and can be calculated in the same or a similar manner;
  - (iv) A class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims, and it will foster economies of time, effort, and expense;

- (v) A class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions concerning Defendants' practices; and
- (vi) As a practical matter, the claims of the Class are likely to go unaddressed absent class certification.

#### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

#### **COUNT ONE**

**Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act** 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)

- 26. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. The TCPA provides strict liability for sending fax advertisements in a manner that does not comply with the statute. Recipients of fax advertisements have a private right of action to seek an injunction or damages for violations of the TCPA and its implementing regulations. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
- 28. The TCPA makes it unlawful to send any "unsolicited advertisement" via fax unless certain conditions are present. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C). "Unsolicited advertisement" is defined as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission, in writing or otherwise." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5).
- 29. Unsolicited faxes are illegal if the sender and recipient do not have an "established business relationship." 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C)(i). "Established business relationship" is defined as "a prior or existing relationship formed by a voluntary two-way communication between a person or entity and a business or residential subscriber with or without an exchange of consideration, on the basis of an inquiry, application, purchase or transaction by the business or

residential subscriber regarding products or services offered by such person or entity, which relationship has not been previously terminated by either party." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(2); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(6).

- 30. Regardless of whether the sender and recipient have an established business relationship, and regardless of whether the fax is unsolicited, a faxed advertisement is illegal unless it includes an opt-out notice on its first page that complies with the TCPA's requirements. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C)(iii); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iv). To comply with the law, an opt-out notice must (1) inform the recipient that the recipient may opt out of receiving future faxes by contacting the sender; (2) provide both a domestic telephone number and a facsimile machine number—one of which must be cost-free—that the recipient may contact to opt out of future faxes; and (3) inform the recipient that the sender's failure to comply with an opt-out request within thirty days is a violation of law. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(2)(D); 47 CFR § 64.1200(a)(4)(iii).
- 31. Defendants faxed unsolicited advertisements to Plaintiff that did not have compliant opt-out notices, in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4).
- 32. Defendants knew or should have known (a) that Plaintiff had not given express invitation or permission for Defendants to fax advertisements about their services and products; (b) that Defendants faxes did not contain a compliant opt-out notice; and (c) that Exhibit A and Exhibit B are advertisements.
- 33. Defendants' actions caused actual damage to Plaintiff and the Class Members. Defendants' junk faxes caused Plaintiff and the Class Members to lose paper, toner, and ink consumed in the printing of Defendants' faxes through Plaintiff's and the Class Members' fax machines. Defendants' faxes cost Plaintiff and the Class Members time that otherwise would have been spent on Plaintiff's and the Class Members' business activities.

34. In addition to statutory damages (and the trebling thereof), Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief under the TCPA.

#### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully requests that this Court:

- a) Determine that this action may be maintained as a class action under Rule
   23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
  - b) Declare Defendants' conduct to be unlawful under the TCPA;
- c) Award \$500 in statutory damages under the TCPA for each violation, and if the Court determines the violations were knowing or willful then treble those damages;
  - d) Enjoin Defendants from additional violations;
  - e) Award Plaintiff and the Class their attorney's fees and costs;
- f) Grant such other legal and equitable relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff and the Members of the Class hereby request a trial by jury.

DATED: October 19, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Alan L. Cantor

Alan L. Cantor

**SWARTZ & SWARTZ, P.C.** 

10 Marshall Street Boston, MA 02108

Telephone: (617) 742-1900

Fax: (617) 367-7193

and

Randall K. Pulliam, Esq. (pro hac vice to be submitted) rpulliam@cbplaw.com

# **CARNEY BATES & PULLIAM, PLLC** 519 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street

519 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 Telephone: (501) 312-8500 Facsimile: (501) 312-8505



Alere Home Monitoring, Inc. 6465 National Drive Livermore, CA 94550 Office: 1.877.262.4669 Fax:1.925.606.6978 alerecoag.com



#### Dear Health Care Provider:

For over 15 years, Alere Home Monitoring has offered a variety of medical devices for Patient Self-Testing. Today, our Patient Self-testers use the Roche CoaguChek® XS meter – the same easy-to-use meter technology that healthcare professionals trust to give fast, accurate INR test results in office.

You are receiving this letter because a voluntary withdrawal has been issued for one of the home INR meters we offered in the past. Details regarding the voluntary withdrawal are available at <a href="https://www.inr-care.com">www.inr-care.com</a>. We are not aware of any patients that you have currently using the device subject to the voluntary withdrawal. Please contact us if this is not the case.

The Roche CoaguChek® XS is not impacted by the voluntary withdrawal and Alere Home Monitoring is not making any change to our services. Patients may continue to use the Roche CoaguChek® XS meter as prescribed by their physician.

Our home INR monitoring program makes managing warfarin **simple** and **convenient** for you and your patients, plus it is **proven** to improve results for patients on anticoagulation therapy.<sup>1</sup>

Enrolling patients is easy: **Call us at 877.262.4669, option 4** to provide your Verbal Order and a representative will explain next steps. Alere Home Monitoring takes care of the rest. We will verify insurance coverage, advise the patient of any potential out-of-pocket cost, and arrange for their Face-2-Face<sup>®</sup> training on the meter.

Please call us today with any questions. Knowing now matters.™

Regards,

Alere Home Monitoring, Inc.

Confidential Notice: The information contained in this facsimile transmission is privileged and confidential, intended for the use of the addressee listed on this cover page. The authorized recipient of this information is prohibited from disclosing this information to any other party and is required to destroy the information after its stated need has been fulfilled. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or action taken in reliance on the contents of these documents is strictly prohibited (Federal Regulation 42CFR, Part 2 and 45CFR, Part 160).

<sup>1.</sup> Am J Manag Care. 2014;20(3):202-209.

### CONTRACT ANNOUNCEMENT

Alere Home Monitoring, Inc.



We are pleased to announce **UnitedHealthcare** is now contracted with Alere Home Monitoring for the provision of INR home monitoring services.

UnitedHealthcare members who meet medical coverage criteria may qualify for PT/INR self-testing services of the Alere™ Home INR Monitoring program.

To refer a patient, please call 1.877.262.4669 or fax to 925.606.6978.



Alere Home Monitoring, Inc. PTINR.com®

### Alere™ Home INR Monitoring Physician Form

1. PATIENT INFORMATION					
First Name, M.I., Last Name	Date of Birth	h			
Patient Phone Number(s)	Patient Ema	Patient Email			
Additional Patient information attached					
Warfgrin Start Date: 2. TARGET I	NR RANGE	то .			
/ /	iltorina, Inc. will rec	LOW	HIGH rom patient and Fax ALL Results		
end Call for Al	Values <1.5 and >:	5.0 until Physician Office	Preferences are on file. Patient will		
·	A lest lesuits dase	ed on Physician Office Pre	rerences.		
3. Physician Information	NIDL#				
Prescribing Physician Name	NPI#				
Address (Prescribing Physician)					
Group Practice or Hospital Name (Prescribing Physician)	Prescribing	Office phone	Office fax		
Managing Physician, Practice or Clinic Name	Managing C	Office phone	Office fax		
INR MONITORING SYSTEM	4. DIAGNO	SIS* (check up to four)	•		
Patient will be provided an INR Meter and strips approved for home use. Available meters do not have approval for pediatric use.	ICD-10-CM CODE	Description	<del></del>		
5. Test Frequency	<b>□</b> Z95.2	Presence of Prostheti			
☐ Weekly <sup>†</sup>	□ 148.2 □ 148.0	Chronic atrial fibrillation Paroxyamal atrial fibril			
*Medicare will cover up to 52 tests per year	□  82.501    80.500		TE in docs vains of right lower extremity		
2-4 Times Per Month	□ 182.502 □ 182.503		TC in doce veins of loft lower extremity TE in deet veins lower extremity bilaters		
6. Training Preference	Z86.718	Personal History of ot	her venous thrombosis and embolism		
Face-2-Face® Training arranged by Alere Home Monitoring	☐  26,99 ☐ D68,51	Activated protein C re	polism without acute cor pulmonale sistance, primary		
My staff will train the patient (requires current Training Service Agreement)	<b>□</b> Z79.01	Long term (current) us			
Physician confirms that this patient has received training on the prescribed monitor and home INR testing	Other:				
Physician can determine Office Preferences for Monitor Type, Reporting Instructions and Training Preference with an Alere Home Monitoring Sales Representative.		-			
7. STATEMENT OF MEDICAL NECESSITY/ PRESCRIPTION					
This form serves as an order for Home INR Monitoring equipment, supplies, and relative party vendors. Incomplete items will reven to Physician Office Preferences.	led services, Equip	ment and supplies may b	e provided by either Alere or its third		
ITEMS PRESCRIBED: One (1) Home INR	Monitoring System	n, and related testing mate	rials (I.e. Test Strips and Lancets).		
I certify that it is medically necessary for the patient to self-test frequently in order to mineralified on warfarin's product labeling.  DURATION: Patient shell continue Home if compliant with my instructions, but in no case.	NR Montados es o	rescribed for as long as h	e/sha remeine canable and		
Medical Necessity: I further certify that the patient's medical record contains supporting been on warfarin therapy for >90 days. I certify that this patient will undergo a trainershe is capable of self-testing. The patient or their caregiver has no condition that in patient or their caregiver develops a condition that makes self-testing undate.	rtina documentatio	on to substantiate this med	dical need. I certify that this patient		
8. PHYSICIAN SIGNATURE: (In compliance with CMS Pub. 100-08, Transmittal 327, Sec					
production of the second secon	٠	d dignatorea are not acceptate	•··		
	) Date		<b></b>		
FAX COMPLETED FORM AND PATIENT	T INFORMA	<b>ATION TO 1.92</b>	5.606.6978		
Alere Home Monitoring, Inc. • 6465 National Drive • Livern Submit your prescription ele <b>ctron</b> ically	nore, CA 94 <b>5</b> 50	• Phone 1.877.262.46			
Alere Knowing now matters		, <del></del> -	A (O #		

\*Listed codes from GMS Manual System PUB 100-20 Medicare Claims Processing. Coverage determination is based on specific health plan guidelines. © 2016 Aleré. All rights reserved: The Alere Logo, Alere, Face-2-Face and Knowling now matters are trademarks of the Alere group of companies. All trademarks referenced are trademarks of their respective owners. PN:1511091-06 3/16

UH1606

#### Case 1:16-cv-12093 Document 1-3 Filed 10/19/16 Page 1 of 1

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	1. Title of case (name of first party on each side only) ARcare, Inc. v. Alere, Inc.						
2.	Category in which	h the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet.	(See local				
	I. 410, 441, 470, 535, 830*, 891, 893, 895, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.						
	II.	II. 110, 130, 140, 160, 190, 196, 230, 240, 290,320,362, 370, 371, 380, 430, 440, 442, 443, 445, 446, 448, 710, 720, 740, 790, 820*, 840*, 850, 870, 871.					
	III. 120, 150, 151, 152, 153, 195, 210, 220, 245, 310, 315, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 365, 367, 368, 375, 376, 385, 400, 422, 423, 450, 460, 462, 463, 465, 480, 490, 510, 530, 540, 550, 555, 625, 690, 751, 791, 861-865, 890, 896, 899, 950.						
		*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121. for patent, trademark or copyright cases.					
3.		, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been file dicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.	d in this				
4.	Has a prior action	n between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?					
		YES NO V					
5.	Does the complain §2403)	int in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest?	(See 28 USC				
	If so, is the U.S.A	YES NO . or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?					
		YES NO					
6.	Is this case requi	red to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?  YES NO					
7.		es in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the United States and the Commonwealth of governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local R					
	A.	If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?					
		Eastern Division Central Division Western Division					
	В.	If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmen residing in Massachusetts reside?	tal agencies				
		Eastern Division Central Division Western Division					
8.		of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? e sheet identifying the motions)  YES  NO	(If yes,				
(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)							
ATTORNEY'S NAME Alan L. Cantor, Esq.							
	ADDRESS Swartz & Swartz, P.C., 10 Marshall Street, Boston, MA 02108						
TEL	TELEPHONE NO. 617-742-1900						

(CategoryForm3-2016.wpd)

### 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PACE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS			
ARcare, Inc.			Alere, Inc. and Ale	Alere, Inc. and Alere Home Monitoring, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Woodruff, Arkansas  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Swartz & Swartz 617-742-1900  10 Marshall Street  Boston, MA 02108			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Middlesex, MA  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P.	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	→ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF  1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Click here for: Nature of Sur			
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ Student Loans ☐ (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  7385 Property Damage  Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY  □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other  LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY  □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
	Cite the U.S. Civil State 47 U.S.C. Section Brief description of car Violation of the T  CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court atute under which you are fin 227 ause: elephone Consumer F IS A CLASS ACTION	(specify,	er District Litigation ) Transfer tutes unless diversity):	Litigation - Direct File  if demanded in complaint:		
DATE 10/18/2016 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	(See instructions):	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR /s Alan L. Cantor		DOCKET NUMBER			
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>TCPA Class Action Filed Against Alere, Inc.</u>