IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES V

HANNAH ALLEN, Individually and on **Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated**

VS.

No. 4:18-cv-262-JM

VINO'S, INC. and CHRIS NEW

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Hannah Allen ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys Joshua West and Josh Sanford of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Original Complaint-Collective Action against Defendant Vino's, Inc. ("Vino's"), and Chris New ("New") (collectively This case assigned to District Judge Woods "Defendants"), states and alleges as follows: and to Magistrate Judge_INTRODUCTION

I.

- 1. This is an individual and collective action for wages owed. Vino's owns and/or operates a restaurant and brewery in Pulaski County, 923 West 7th Street, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 ("Vino's").
- 2. Plaintiff and other servers spent more than twenty percent (20%) of their time performing non-tipped duties for Vino's such as opening and closing the restaurant, rolling silverware, performing side work, and other non-tipped duties. Because Plaintiff and other servers spent more than 20% of their time performing non-tipped duties for Vino's, Vino's was required to pay Plaintiff and its other servers at least \$7.25 per hour

Page 1 of 13 Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-262-JM Original Complaint—Collective Action

for their non-tipped work.

3. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other servers employed by Vino's, brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. §11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA") for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, as a result of Vino's failure to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated minimum wages as required by the FLSA and AMWA.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.
- 5. This Complaint also alleges AMWA violations, which arise out of the same set of operative facts as the federal cause of action herein alleged; accordingly, this state cause of action would be expected to be tried with the federal claim in a single judicial proceeding. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 6. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect against Plaintiff within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas. Therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

7. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

Page 2 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

8. Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Pulaski County.

9. Vino's is a domestic for-profit corporation that owns and operates a

restaurant and brewery in Little Rock.

10. Vino's registered agent for service of process is Matthew B. Finch at 425

West Capitol Avenue, Suite 3801, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201.

11. Chris New is an individual and was the General Manager of Vino's at

times material to this Complaint.

12. Chris New fired Plaintiff.

13. Chris New was in charge of hiring and firing Vino's employees.

14. Chris New regularly worked at Vino's restaurant location, directly

managing the restaurant and directing its employees, including Plaintiff and other

servers/hostesses.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

16. At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff has been entitled to the

rights, protection and benefits provided under the FLSA and AMWA.

17. Vino's annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less

than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of exercise taxes at the retail level that are separately

stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

18. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Vino's employed at least two individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce or in

the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling, or

Page 3 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for

commerce by any person.

19. Vino's employed four or more individuals in each week in each of the three

years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint.

20. Vino's employed Plaintiff as a hostess/server from approximately

December of 2016 through April of 2018.

21. Plaintiff regularly used the telephone and processed credit card

transactions as part of her job duties for Vino's.

22. Vino's was Plaintiff's employer and the employer of the proposed

collective and class within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), and the

Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, A.C.A. § 11-4-203, at all times relevant to this lawsuit.

23. Vino's paid Plaintiff less than the minimum wage under both the FLSA and

AMWA ("tipped wage"). Instead of paying the required minimum wage, Vino's purported

to take advantage of the tip credit allowed by 29 U.S.C. § 203(m).

24. Vino's paid Plaintiff a tipped wage of approximately \$3.50 per hour for all

her recorded hours worked.

25. Plaintiff performed both duties that generated tips, such as delivering food

to customers ("tipped work"), and duties that did not generate tips, such as opening the

restaurant, cleaning, closing, and counting down the money till ("non-tipped work").

26. Upon information and belief, Vino's does not distinguish between time

spent by servers on tipped work and time spent by servers on non-tipped work.

27. Non-tipped work regularly occupied more than twenty percent of Plaintiff's

time working in each shift.

Page 4 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

28. Vino's paid Plaintiff the same rate—a tipped wage below the applicable

minimum wages under the FLSA and AMWA—for both tipped work and non-tipped

work.

29. As a result of the policies put in place by Vino's, Plaintiff was often

required to perform non-tipped work for less than minimum wage.

30. Plaintiff is entitled to wages and compensation based on the standard

minimum wage for all hours worked.

31. Vino's knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way they paid

Plaintiff in violation of the FLSA and AMWA.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

32. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint

as though fully set forth herein.

33. Other servers/hostesses, beside Plaintiff, worked for Vino's within the

three years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint.

34. Other servers/hostesses regularly used the telephone and processed

credit card transactions as part of their job duties for Vino's.

35. Vino's was the employer of the other servers/hostesses within the

meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, A.C.A.

§ 11-4-203, at all times relevant to this lawsuit.

36. Vino's paid other servers/hostesses less than the minimum wage under

both the FLSA and AMWA ("tipped wage"). Instead of paying the required minimum

wage, Vino's purported to take advantage of the tip credit allowed by 29 U.S.C. §

203(m).

Page 5 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

37. Vino's paid other servers/hostesses a tipped wage of approximately \$3.50

per hour for all her recorded hours worked.

38. Other servers/hostesses performed both duties that generated tips, such

as delivering food to customers ("tipped work"), and duties that did not generate tips,

such as opening the restaurant, cleaning, closing, and counting down the money till

("non-tipped work").

39. Upon information and belief, Vino's does not distinguish between time

spent by servers on tipped work and time spent by servers on non-tipped work.

40. Non-tipped work regularly occupied more than twenty percent of other

servers'/hostesses' time working in each shift.

41. Vino's paid other servers/hostesses the same rate—a tipped wage below

the applicable minimum wages under the FLSA and AMWA—for both tipped work and

non-tipped work.

42. As a result of the policies put in place by Vino's, other servers/hostesses

were often required to perform non-tipped work for less than minimum wage.

43. Other servers/hostesses are entitled to wages and compensation based

on the standard minimum wage for all hours worked.

44. Vino's knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way they paid

other servers/hostesses in violation of the FLSA and AMWA.

45. Plaintiff brings her claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

46. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claims on behalf of all other servers/hostesses

who were paid tips and employed by Vino's at any time within the applicable statute of

Page 6 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

limitations period, who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

- A. A lawful minimum wage for all hours worked; and
- B. Liquidated damages and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 47. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in that they share these traits:
- A. They were subject to Vino's common policy of paying less than minimum wage;
- B. They spent more than twenty percent of their time performing non-tipped duties for Vino's; and
 - C. They were paid hourly.
- 48. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the FLSA Collective but believes that the group includes ten or more persons.
- 49. Vino's can readily identify each of the other servers/hostesses who worked for a tipped wage within the three years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint.

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

- 50. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. This is a collective action filed on behalf of all tipped servers employed by Vino's to recover monetary damages owed by Vino's to Plaintiff and members of the putative collective for unpaid wages because they were required to spend more than 20% of their time on non-tipped duties.
 - 52. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly

Page 7 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Vino's willful

and intentional violation of the FLSA.

53. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees have

been entitled to the rights, protection, and benefits provided by the FLSA.

54. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees have

been "employees" of Vino's, as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

55. At all relevant times, Vino's was an "employer" of Plaintiff and all other

similarly situated employees, as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

56. Vino's failed to pay Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees the

minimum wages required under the FLSA for tipped work and for non-tipped work.

57. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed

compensation for the same reasons, the proposed collective is properly defined as

follows:

All servers who were tipped employees for Vino's within the past three years.

58. At all relevant times, Vino's willfully failed and refused to compensate

Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees for all hours worked at the standard

minimum wage under the FLSA because Vino's paid Plaintiff and other similarly situated

employees less than minimum wage for non-tipped work.

59. Vino's violations entitle Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees

to compensatory damages calculated as the full amount of wages owed at the

applicable minimum wage, less the amount of wages actually paid by Vino's.

60. Vino's violations entitle Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees

to liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of an amount equal to

Page 8 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

compensatory damages.

61. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees are entitled to an award of their attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

- 62. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection, and benefits provided by the FLSA.
- 64. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has been an "employee" of Vino's as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).
- 65. At all relevant times, Vino's was an "employer" of Plaintiff as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 66. Vino's failed to pay Plaintiff the minimum wages required under the FLSA for tipped work and for non-tipped work.
- 67. At all relevant times, Vino's willfully failed and refused to compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked at the standard minimum wage under the FLSA because Vino's paid Plaintiff less than minimum wage for non-tipped work.
- 68. Vino's violations entitle Plaintiff to compensatory damages calculated as the full amount of wages owed at the applicable minimum wage, less the amount of wages actually paid by Vino's.
- 69. Vino's violations entitle Plaintiff to liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of an amount equal to compensatory damages.

Page 9 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

70. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of her attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Individual Claim for Violation of AMWA)

- 71. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 72. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection, and benefits provided by the AMWA.
- 73. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has been an "employee" of Vino's, as defined by Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(3).
- 74. At all relevant times, Vino's was an "employer" of Plaintiff as defined by Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).
- 75. Vino's failed to pay Plaintiff the minimum wages required under the AMWA for tipped work and for non-tipped work.
- 76. Vino's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.
- 77. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Vino's is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.
- 78. Vino's has not acted in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe its actions and omissions were not a violation of the AMWA, and, as a result thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the

Page 10 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

amount of unpaid minimum wages described above pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-

218.

79. Alternatively, should the Court find that Vino's acted in good faith in failing

to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, she is entitled to an award of prejudgment

interest at the applicable legal rate.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Hannah Allen, individually and on

behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully requests that Vino's be summoned to

appear and answer and for the following relief:

A. That Vino's be required to account to Plaintiff, the class members, and the

Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the collective and class members and

all monies paid to them;

B. A declaratory judgment that Vino's practices alleged herein violate the Fair

Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations;

C. A declaratory judgment that Vino's practices alleged herein violate the

Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. and the related

regulations;

D. Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to

participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;

E. Judgment for damages for all unpaid back wages at the applicable

minimum wage owed to Plaintiff and members of the class from a period of three (3)

years prior to this lawsuit through the date of trial under the Fair Labor Standards Act,

29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 et seq.;

Page 11 of 13

Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Collective Action

F. Judgment for damages for all unpaid back wages at the applicable minimum wage owed to Plaintiff from a period of three (3) years prior to this lawsuit through the date of trial under the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. and the related regulations;

G. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 US.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 et seq., in an amount equal to all unpaid back wages at the applicable minimum wage from a period of three (3) years prior to this lawsuit through the date of trial owed to Plaintiff and members of the class;

- H. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq., and the relating regulations;
- An order directing Vino's to pay Plaintiff and members of the collective and class pre-judgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with this action; and
- J. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

Page 12 of 13
Hannah Allen, et al. v. Vino's, Inc., et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Respectfully submitted,

HANNAH ALLEN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford Road, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Joshua West

Ark Bar No. 2012121

west@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose as a second	(======						7.10	CNAGO	<u>12</u>	1721
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN'	TS					
HANNAH ALLEN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated				VINO'S, INC., and CHRIS NEW						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND THE TRA	D COND ACT OF	EMNATI LAND II	ION CASES, USE TO NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Know	own)					
Jošń Sanford, SANFORD South Shackleford, Suite 501-221-0088; josh@sar	D LAW FIRM, PLLC, C 411, Little Rock, Arka	One Financial Cente	er, 650							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases On		NCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question				PTF	DEF		·	PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	en of This State	O 1	- 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		0 4	1 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	3 2	a 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							here for: Nature			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		DREETTURE/PENALT 25 Drug Related Seizure			NKRUPTCY eal 28 USC 158	□ 375 False C		es
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 8		423 With		☐ 376 Qui Tai		:
130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	00 Other		28 U	JSC 157	3729(a		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			(62)	PROPE	RIVERGIVE	☐ 400 State R		ment
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury			0	820 Cop	yrights	☐ 430 Banks a		g
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona	,			830 Pate 835 Pate	nt nt - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Comme		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product	"		٦		Drug Application	☐ 470 Rackete		ced and
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPE	DTV STO	LABOR		840 Trad	emark SECURITY	Corrupt 480 Consum	Organizati	ions
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		10 Fair Labor Standards			(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/S		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act			k Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securiti		dities/
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage		20 Labor/Management Relations			/C/DIWW (405(g)) Dittle XVI	Exchar 890 Other S		ctions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	. 074	10 Railway Labor Act		865 RSI		☐ 891 Agricul	tural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	73	51 Family and Medical Leave Act				893 Environ		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🗆 79	00 Other Labor Litigation	n 🚉	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	Act	_ 01 11_011	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	O 79	1 Employee Retirement	. -		es (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitra		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacat	te	Income Security Act	la		Defendant) —Third Party	☐ 899 Admini	strative Proview or Ap	
240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence					JSC 7609	Agency	Decision	-
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty	\$6.50 Bu	IMMIGRATION	55-569			950 Constit	•	of
B 270 Kil Odlor Rout Troporty	Employment	Other:	3 40	62 Naturalization Applica					ututes	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Otl	heт 🗇 46	55 Other Immigration Actions						
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions						
		560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is		Remanded from	☐ 4 Reir	notated or		1.6	□ 6 Multidist	riot 🗆 8	Multidis	etriat
	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened And (spe	other D	istrict	Litigation Transfer		Litigation Direct Fi	on -
	29 U.S.C. 201 et	atute under which you a	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional	ıl statutes	unless d	iversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of c		/ages							
VII. REQUESTED IN		S IS A CLASS ACTIO		EMAND \$		(CHECK YES only	if demanded in	n complai	int:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2					J	JURY DEMAND	: 🗆 Yes	XNo	
VIII. RELATED CASI										
IF ANY _	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER _			
DATE	\sim	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
04/17/2018	X /)									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
DECEIDT# AI	MOLINE	ADDI VING IED		II IIVCI	217		MAG IIII	YGE.		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Little Rock, AK Restaurant Vino's Tagged with Ex-Server's Wage and Hour Complaint</u>