UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STANISLAV ALENKIN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

SUNRISE CREDIT SERVICES, INC.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

AND

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff STANISLAV ALENKIN (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this action complaint by and through his attorney, Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, against Defendant SUNRISE CREDIT SERVICES, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

<u>INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT</u>

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.*; § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.*; § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
 - 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's illegal practices, in connection with the collection of a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA").
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 *et seq*. of the FDCPA, which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
 - 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a New York corporation with an address at 260 Airport Plaza, Farmingdale, New York, 11735, operating as a collection agency.
- 10. Defendant uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
 - 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. §

1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following nationwide consumer class (the "Class"):
 - All New York consumers from whom Defendant improperly threatened to not communicate a disputed debt as disputed in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.
 - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
 - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons whom Defendant has improperly denied the right to dispute a debt, in violation of specific provisions of the FDCPA.
 - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member.
 These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
 - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to

- be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed proceed to without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class,
 thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding
 declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS PARTICULAR TO STANISLAV ALENKIN

- 14. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant, on behalf of a third-party, began efforts to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. Defendant was attempting to collect on Plaintiff's purportedly overdue account with AT&T Mobility alleged to be in the amount of \$3,785.55 (the "Debt").
- 17. In an effort to begin collecting on the Debt, Defendant sent Plaintiff a debt collection letter, dated March 23, 2018.
- 18. On or about May 18, 2018, Plaintiff called Defendant to inquire about and dispute the alleged Debt.
 - 19. A representative of Defendant answered the phone and did not identify herself.
- 20. After finding the account, Defendant's representative confirmed that she had an account for Plaintiff, which showed the alleged Debt to AT&T Mobility.
 - 21. Plaintiff thereafter stated that he wanted to dispute the debt.
- 22. However, instead of simply accepting the dispute, Defendant's representative stated that she could not dispute the Debt because he owes the Debt.
- 23. Plaintiff explained that he wanted to dispute the Debt and disagreed with the balance.
 - 24. Defendant's representative explained that it would be futile to dispute the Debt by

stating: "You owe this amount... You could dispute the debt, but it's just going to come back that you owe [the debt.]"

- 25. Plaintiff continued to state that he wanted to dispute the Debt and the Defendant's representative put Plaintiff on hold for approximately five minutes.
- 26. After being on hold, a manager for Defendant named "Franca [last name inaudible]" (the "Manager") answered the phone and asked how she could help Plaintiff.
- 27. The Manager asked Plaintiff to verify his account again and instead of accepting his previous request to dispute the Debt, the Manager explained to the Plaintiff that he owed the Debt.
 - 28. Plaintiff again stated that he wanted to dispute the Debt.
- 29. The Manager claimed that the Defendant can only offer a settlement and cannot dispute the Debt.
- 30. The Manager stated: "The only thing we can offer you here is a settlement on that balance, a savings on the balance, but that's the best I can do for you here."
 - 31. Defendant failed/refused to accept and investigate Plaintiff's dispute.
- 32. After Defendant refused to accept Plaintiff's dispute, Plaintiff ended the telephone call.
 - 33. Based on the foregoing, Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA.

First Count 15 U.S.C. §1692e False and Misleading Representations

34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "33" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

- 35. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated § 1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 36. The FDCPA allows the consumer to orally dispute a debt. See, *Brady v. The Credit Recovery Company, Inc.*, 160 F.3d 64 (1st Cir. 1998)(The FDCPA does not limit the time period for disputing a debt. A consumer can always dispute a debt with a debt collector, regardless of the passage of time); *Register v. Reiner, Reiner & Bendett, P.C.*, 488 F.Supp.2d 143 (D.Conn. 2007); *Vega v. Credit Bureau Enters.*, No. CIVA02CV1550, 2005 WL 711657 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 29, 2005); *Nasca v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, No 01CIV10127, 2002 WL 31040647 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 12, 2002); *In re Risk Mgmt. Alternatives, Inc.*, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act Litig., 208 F.R.D. 493 (S.D.N.Y. June 14, 2002); *Castro v. ARS Nat'l Servs., Inc.*, No. 99 CIV. 4596, 2000 WL 264310 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2000); *Ong v. Am. Collections Enter.*, No. 98-CV-5117, 1999 WL 51816 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 15, 1999).
- 37. Defendant is required to accept Plaintiff's oral dispute over the telephone. *Hooks* v. *Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, L.L.C.*, 717 F.3d 282 (2d Cir. 2013); *Abramov v. I.C. Sys., Inc.*, 54 F. Supp. 3d 270 (E.D.N.Y. 2014).
- 38. The FDCPA does not require the consumer to provide any reason at all in order to dispute a debt. See, *Castro v. ARS National Servs., Inc.*, 2000 WL 264310 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2000); *DeSantis v. Computer Credit, Inc.*, 269 f.3d 159 (2nd Cir. 2001).
 - 39. Defendant failed to accept Plaintiff's oral dispute.
- 40. After Plaintiff disputed the Debt, Defendant continued to assume that Plaintiff's Debt was still valid.
 - 41. Plaintiff's oral dispute overcomes Defendant's assumption of the validity of the

Debt.

- 42. Defendant unilaterally denied Plaintiff's oral dispute and only offered a settlement.
- 43. Defendant violated § 1692e by not accepting Plaintiff's dispute over the telephone.
- 44. Defendant further violated § 1692e by requiring a reason for the dispute.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, as a matter of procedural practice and pattern never intended to follow through with the validation rights they purportedly provide in the initial communication.
- 46. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, intentionally denied Plaintiff his dispute rights afforded to him under the FDCPA.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees wrongfully failed to accept Plaintiff's oral dispute.
- 48. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, wrongfully implied to Plaintiff that a dispute needs to be deemed valid in order for it to be considered a dispute.
- 49. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employee, by intentionally denying Plaintiff and any other debtor to dispute the debt orally and without a valid reason unfairly intimidate and force debtors in to paying disputed debts.
- 50. Defendant's employees who spoke with Plaintiff intended to speak said words to Plaintiff.
- 51. The acts and omissions of Defendant and its employees done in connection with efforts to collect a debt from Plaintiff were done intentionally and willfully.
- 52. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees intentionally and willfully violated the FDCPA and do so as a matter of pattern and practice by not letting any of the class members orally dispute the debt and by maintaining that the debtors have a valid reason

to dispute any debt contrary to the FDCPA and the rights given by Defendant purportedly in the validation notice.

53. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant and its employees, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages and injury, including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress, acute embarrassment and suffering for which she should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.

Second Count 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) Failure to Communicate that a Disputed Debt is Disputed

- 54. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "53" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
 - 55. Defendant failed to accept Plaintiff's oral dispute.
- 56. Upon information and belief, by failing to accept Plaintiff's dispute, Defendant also failed to report that the Debt was disputed to third parties, such as the current creditor and any Credit Reporting Agency.
 - 57. Such failure is a violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8).
 - 58. Defendant's failures were intentional and willful.
- 59. Plaintiff suffered actual damages and injury, including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress, acute embarrassment and suffering for which she should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant as follows:

(a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, as Class Counsel;

- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Daniel A. Louro
Daniel A. Louro, Esq.
Cohen & Mizrahi LLP
300 Cadman Plaza W, 12th Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Phone: (929) 575-4175 Fax: (929) 575-4195 Email: dlouro@cml.legal Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Daniel A. Louro
Daniel A. Louro, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

June 5, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

STANISLAV ALENKIN, on behalf others similarly situated	of himself and all)))		
Plaintiff(s))		
V.		Civil Action No.		
)		
SUNRISE CREDIT SERVICES, INC.))		
))		
Defendant(s))		
	SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address)	SUNRISE CREDIT S 260 AIRPORT PLAZ FARMINGDALE, NE	ZA		
A lawsuit has been filed ag	•			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if y are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Ci P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,				
whose name and address are: COI 300	HEN & MIZRAHI LLP CADMAN PLAZA WE DOKLYN, NEW YORK	EST, 12 FLOOR		
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
		DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT		
Date:				
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	ceived by me on (date)	·				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)			
			on (date)			
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)			
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,					
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or			
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or		
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	n is true.			
Date:						
Dute.			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PACE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TI	·			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
STANISLAV ALENKIN, others similarly situated		d all	SUNRISE CREDIT SERVICES, INC.,			
(b) County of Residence of	_	Kings	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant _		
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TI	*	
			THE TRACT	OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 1	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
OHEN & MIZRAHI LLP, 30 29) 575-4175	0 Cadman Plaza West,	12th FI, Brooklyn NY 1	1201			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	⋨ 3 Federal Question		P	TF DEF	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) PRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/	D 000 Other		☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		SOCIAL SECURITY	★ 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
DEAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice		Leave Act	DEDED AT THAT CHIEF	☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	Income Security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		State Statutes	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 Naturalization Application☐ 465 Other Immigration			
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Actions			
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of				
		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	moved from	Remanded from 4 Appellate Court		erred from		
	15 USC 1692	tute under which you are fi	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	brief description of ca					
VII. REQUESTED IN	Defendant violate Defendant violate	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		•	JURY DEMAND:	*	
VIII. RELATED CASI						
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 6/6/49		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD			
6/6/18 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Daniel Louro				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE	
						

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Danie	el Louro, counsel for PLAINTIFF, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action ble for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
F	
-	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
Ī	the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Question of law rather than questions of fact predominates
_	
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
NONE	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
to another substantial deemed "re "Presumpt	t all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a il saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that tively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still efore the court."
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: KINGS COUNTY .
Suffolk (conswer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County? Yes No
	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
	✓ Yes
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?
	Yes (If yes, please explain No
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.
	Signature: /s/ Daniel Louro

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: Sunrise Credit Services 'Refused' to Accept Consumer's Debt Dispute</u>