MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 (212) 317-1200 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

LUIS ALBERTO ALDANA ZAPATA, *individually and on behalf of others similarly situated*,

COMPLAINT

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

-against-

Plaintiff,

ECF Case

TASTY FAST FOOD INC, (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD), TASTY FAST FOOD III INC. (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD), KOSTAS PAPACOSTAS, PANTELIS PELEKIS (a.k.a. PETER PELEKIS), and JOHNNY DOE,

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiff Luis Alberto Aldana Zapata ("Plaintiff Aldana" or "Mr. Aldana"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon information and belief, and as against each of Defendants Tasty Fast Food Inc. (d/b/a Tasty Fast Food), Tasty Fast Food III Inc. (d/b/a Tasty Fast Food) ("Defendant Corporations"), Kostas Papacostas, Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis), and Johnny Doe (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff Aldana is a former employee of Defendants Tasty Fast Food Inc., Tasty

Fast Food III Inc., Kostas Papacostas, Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis), and Johnny Doe.

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 2 of 24 PageID #: 2

2. Tasty Fast Food is a fast food restaurant owned by Kostas Papacostas, Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis), and Johnny Doe located at 113-27B Queens Blvd, Forest Hills, NY 11375.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendants Kostas Papacostas, Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis), and Johnny Doe serve or served as owners, managers, principals and/or agents of Defendant Corporations, and through these corporate entities operate the fast food restaurant.

4. Plaintiff Aldana is a former employee of Defendants, employed ostensibly as a delivery worker, food preparer, and porter.

5. While Plaintiff Aldana ostensibly was employed as a delivery worker, he was required to spend several hours each day performing non-tipped duties unrelated to deliveries, including but not limited to sweeping and mopping the restaurant and the back area, changing the oil for the fryer, stocking new supplies delivered, bringing food or merchandise to the cooks, making pizza dough, cutting vegetables, arranging meat, taking out trash, cleaning tables and bathrooms, ripping apart and throwing away cardboard boxes, cleaning the refrigerator in the back (hereinafter "non-delivery, non-tip duties").

6. Plaintiff Aldana regularly worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week without appropriate minimum wage or overtime compensation for any of the hours that he worked.

7. Rather, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Aldana appropriately for any hours worked over 40, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.

8. Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Aldana the required "spread of hours" pay for any day in which he had to work over 10 hours a day.

2

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 3 of 24 PageID #: 3

9. Defendants employed and accounted for Plaintiff Aldana as a delivery worker in their payroll, but in actuality his duties included a significant amount of time performing the nondelivery, non-tipped duties alleged above.

10. Regardless of duties, Defendants paid Plaintiff Aldana and all other delivery workers at the tip-credit rate.

11. In addition, under both the FLSA and NYLL, Defendants were not entitled to take a tip credit because Plaintiff Aldana's non-tipped duties exceeded 20% of each workday (12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146).

12. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed the policy and practice of disguising Plaintiff Aldana's actual duties in payroll records to avoid paying Plaintiff Aldana at the minimum wage rate, and to enable them to pay Plaintiff Aldana at the lower tip-credited rate, by designating him as a delivery worker instead of a non-tipped employee.

13. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Aldana to all other similarly situated employees.

14. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Aldana and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing them the minimum wage and overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.

15. Plaintiff Aldana now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), the New York Labor Law ("NYLL") §§190 and 650 *et seq.*, and "overtime wage order" respectively codified at N.Y.C.R.R. Tit. 12 §§

3

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 4 of 24 PageID #: 4

142-2.2, 2.4), and the "spread of hours" and overtime wage orders of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 12, § 146-1.6 (herein the "Spread of Hours Wage Order"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.

16. Plaintiff Aldana seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (FLSA),
28 U.S.C. § 1531 (interstate commerce) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question). Supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Aldana's state law claims is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

18. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 391(b) and (c) because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants operate their businesses in this district, and Plaintiff Aldana was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

19. Plaintiff Luis Alberto Aldana Zapata ("Plaintiff Aldana") is an adult individual residing in Queens County, New York. Plaintiff Aldana was employed by Defendants from approximately August 2016 until on or about December 2016.

20. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff Aldana consents to being a party and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

21. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants own, operate, and/or control a fast food restaurant located at 113-27B Queens Blvd, Forest Hills, NY 11375 under the name "Tasty Fast Food."

22. Upon information and belief, Tasty Fast Food Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 113-27B Queens Blvd, Forest Hills, NY 11375 and its address of process at 25-12 34th Ave., Long Island City, New York 11106.

23. Upon information and belief, Tasty Fast Food III Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 113-27B Queens Blvd, Forest Hills, NY 11375.

24. Defendant Kostas Papacostas is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business within this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Kostas Papacostas is sued individually and in his capacity as an owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Kostas Papacostas possesses or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporations, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations and/or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Kostas Papacostas determined the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Aldana, established the schedules of the employees, maintained employee records and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

25. Defendant Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis) is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business within this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant

5

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 6 of 24 PageID #: 6

Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis) is sued individually and in his capacity as an owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis) possesses or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporations, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations and/or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis) determined the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Aldana, established the schedules of the employees, maintained employee records and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

26. Defendant Johnny Doe is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business within this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Johnny Doe is sued individually and in her capacity as an owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Johnny Doe possesses or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporations, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations and/or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Johnny Doe determined the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Aldana, established the schedules of the employees, maintained employee records and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

27. Defendants operate a fast food restaurant located at 113-27B Queens Blvd, Forest Hills, NY 11375.

28. Individual Defendants Kostas Papacostas, Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis), and Johnny Doe possess or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporations, possess

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 7 of 24 PageID #: 7

or possessed an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations, and control or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations.

29. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method and share control over the employees.

30. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Aldana's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Aldana, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.

31. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Aldana, and all similarly situated individuals, and were Plaintiff Aldana's (and all similarly situated individuals') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.

32. In the alternative, Defendants constituted a single employer of Plaintiff Aldana and/or similarly situated individuals.

33. Upon information and belief, individual Defendants Kostas Papacostas, Pantelis Pelekis (a.k.a. Peter Pelekis) and Johnny Doe operate or operated Defendant Corporations as either alter egos of themselves and/or fail or failed to operate Defendant Corporations as legal entities separate and apart from themselves by, among other things:

(a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operateDefendant Corporations as separate and legally distinct entities;

7

- (b) defectively forming or maintaining Defendant Corporations by, among other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records;
- (c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants;
- (d) operating Defendant Corporations for their own benefit as the sole or majority shareholders;
- (e) operating Defendant Corporations for their own benefit and maintaining control over these corporations as closed corporations or closely controlled entities;
- (f) intermingling assets and debts of their own with Defendant Corporations;
- (g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporations to protect their own interests; and
- (h) other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.

34. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Aldana's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

35. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Aldana, control the terms and conditions of his employment, and determine the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Aldana's services.

36. In the year 2016, Defendants, both individually and jointly, had gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

37. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprises are directly engaged in interstate commerce. For example, numerous items that were sold in the fast

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 9 of 24 PageID #: 9

food restaurant on a daily basis, such as sodas and salmon, are produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

38. Plaintiff Aldana is a former employee of Defendants who ostensibly was employed as a delivery worker, but who spent more than 20% of his work days performing the non-delivery, non-tip duties outlined above.

39. Plaintiff Aldana seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Plaintiff Luis Alberto Aldana Zapata

40. Plaintiff Aldana was employed by Defendants from approximately August 2016 until on or about December 2016.

41. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Aldana ostensibly was employed by Defendants primarily as a delivery worker. However, Plaintiff Aldana spent at least 20% of each work day performing the non-delivery, non-tip duties outlined above.

42. Plaintiff Aldana regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as cooking oil and food produced outside of the State of New York.

43. Plaintiff Aldana's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.

44. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Aldana regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

45. From approximately August 2016 until on or about December 2016, Plaintiff Aldana worked from approximately 11:00 a.m. until on or about 11:30 p.m. or 11:45 p.m. three

9

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 10 of 24 PageID #: 10

days per week and from approximately 11:00 a.m. until on or about 11:00 a.m. two days per week (typically 61.5 to 62.25 hours per week).

46. Throughout his entire employment period, Defendants paid Plaintiff Aldana's wages in cash.

47. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Aldana was paid a fixed salary of \$300 per week.

48. Plaintiff Aldana's wages never varied regardless of how many additional hours he worked in a week.

49. In fact, throughout his entire employment, Defendants required Plaintiff Aldana to work an additional 30 to 45 minutes past his scheduled departure time three days per week, without paying him any additional compensation.

50. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Aldana a meal break or rest period of any length.

51. Plaintiff Aldana was never notified by Defendants that his tips were being included as an offset for wages.

52. Defendants never accounted for these tips in any daily, weekly or other accounting of Plaintiff Aldana's wages.

53. Plaintiff Aldana was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device, such as sign in sheets or punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.

54. Instead, Defendants made Plaintiff Aldana write down his name five times on a blank schedule sheet, and to sign that document only with his name written down.

55. Defendants never provided Plaintiff Aldana with an accurate statement of wages

10

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 11 of 24 PageID #: 11

with each payment of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

56. Instead, Defendants required Plaintiff Aldana to sign a document the content of which he is unsure of, in order to receive his weekly pay.

57. Defendants never provided Plaintiff Aldana with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Aldana's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

58. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Aldana regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.

59. Defendants required Plaintiff Aldana to purchase "tools of the trade" with his own funds—including one bicycle, one electric bicycle, one helmet, gloves, battery replacement for the bicycle, raincoats and snow boots.

Defendants' General Employment Practices

60. Defendants regularly required their employees, including Plaintiff Aldana, to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without paying them the proper minimum wage, overtime, or spread of hours compensation.

61. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Aldana (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without paying them appropriate minimum wage and/or overtime compensation, as required by federal and state laws.

62. At no time did Defendants inform their employees, including Plaintiff Aldana, that they had reduced their hourly wages by a tip allowance.

63. Defendants failed to inform Plaintiff Aldana that they intended to take a deduction

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 12 of 24 PageID #: 12

against his earned wages for tip income, as required by the NYLL before any deduction may be taken.

64. Defendants failed to inform Plaintiff Aldana that his tips would be credited towards the payment of the minimum wage.

65. Defendants failed to maintain a record of tips earned by Plaintiff Aldana for his work as a delivery worker.

66. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiff Aldana not receiving payment for all his hours worked, resulting in Plaintiff Escobar's effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum wage rate.

67. Defendants habitually required their employees, including Plaintiff Aldana, to work additional hours beyond their regular shifts, but never provided them with any additional compensation.

68. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Defendants' delivery workers, including Plaintiff Aldana, never receiving payment for all their hours worked, which resulted in their effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum and overtime wage rate.

69. Defendants required all delivery workers, including Plaintiff Aldana, to perform general non-delivery, non-tipped restaurant tasks in addition to their primary duties as delivery workers.

70. Plaintiff Aldana, and all similarly situated employees, ostensibly were employed as tipped employees by Defendants, although their actual duties included greater or equal time spent performing non-delivery, non-tipped duties.

71. Plaintiff Aldana and all other delivery workers were paid at the lower tip-credit rate by Defendants.

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 13 of 24 PageID #: 13

72. However, under state law, Defendants were not entitled to a tip credit because the delivery worker's and Plaintiff Aldana's non-tipped duties exceeded 20% of each workday (12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146). New York State regulations provide that an employee cannot be classified as a tipped employee on any day in which he is assigned to work in an occupation in which tips are not customarily received. (12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§137-3.3 and 137-3.4). Similarly, under federal regulation 29 C.F.R. §531.56(e), an employer may not take a tip credit for any employee time if that time is devoted to a non-tipped occupation.

73. The delivery workers', including Plaintiff Aldana's, duties were not incidental to their occupation as delivery workers, but instead constituted entirely unrelated general restaurant work with duties, including the non-tipped duties described above.

74. In violation of federal and state law as codified above, Defendants classified Plaintiff Aldana and other delivery workers as tipped employees, when they should have classified them as non-tipped employees and paid them at the minimum wage rate.

75. Defendants paid Plaintiff Aldana's wages in cash.

76. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

77. Defendants required Plaintiff Aldana to sign a blank sheet of paper numerous times and a document the contents of which he was not allowed to review in order to receive his pay.

78. Defendants failed to post required wage and hour posters in the restaurant, and did not provide their employees, including Plaintiff Aldana, with statutorily required wage and hour

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 14 of 24 PageID #: 14

records or statements of their pay received, in part so as to hide Defendants' violations of the wage and hour laws, and to take advantage of their employees', including Plaintiff Aldana's, relative lack of sophistication in wage and hour laws.

79. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Aldana (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Aldana properly for (1) his full hours worked, (2) the minimum wage, (3) his spread of hours pay and (4) for overtime due.

80. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Aldana and other employees with wage statements at the time of each payment of wages containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).

81. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Aldana and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business,

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 15 of 24 PageID #: 15

and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

82. Plaintiff Aldana brings his FLSA minimum wage, overtime compensation and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons who are or were employed by Defendants, or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in his case (the "FLSA Class Period"), as employees of Defendants (the "FLSA Class").

83. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Aldana, and other members of the FLSA Class who are and/or were similarly situated, had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and were subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans of willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage, overtime pay at one and one-half times his regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA and willfully failing to keep records required by the FLSA.

84. The claims of Plaintiff Aldana stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE FLSA MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS

85. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

86. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Aldana's employers (and employers of the putative FLSA Class members) within the meaning of the Fair Labor

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 16 of 24 PageID #: 16

Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA class members), controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.

87. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.

88. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).

89. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

90. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

91. Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE FLSA OVERTIME PROVISIONS

92. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

93. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Aldana's employers (and employers of the putative FLSA Class members) within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA class members), controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for his employment.

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 17 of 24 PageID #: 17

94. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.

95. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).

96. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a)(1) of the FLSA, failed to pay Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at rates of one and onehalf times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.

97. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

98. Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE RATE

99. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

100. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Aldana's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members), controlled terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for employment.

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 18 of 24 PageID #: 18

101. Defendants, in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, paid Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) less than the minimum wage.

102. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class members) minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

103. Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA Class Members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE

NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

104. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

105. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.* and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Aldana overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.

106. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Aldana overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

107. Plaintiff Aldana (and the FLSA class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE SPREAD OF HOURS WAGE ORDER

OF THE NEW YORK COMISSIONER OF LABOR

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 19 of 24 PageID #: 19

108. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

109. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Aldana one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiff Aldana's spread of hours exceeded ten hours in violation of NYLL §§ 190 *et seq.* and 650 *et seq.* and the wage order of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. Tit. 12, § 146-1.6.

110. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Aldana an additional hour's pay for each day Plaintiff Aldana's spread of hours exceeded ten hours was willful within the meaning of NYLL § 663.

111. Plaintiff Aldana was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

112. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

113. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Aldana with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Aldana's primary language), of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

114. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Aldana in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

115. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

116. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Aldana with a statement of wages with each payment of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

117. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Aldana in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RECOVERY OF EQUIPMENT COSTS

118. Plaintiff Aldana repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

119. Defendants required Plaintiff Aldana to pay, without reimbursement, the costs and expenses for purchasing and maintaining equipment and "tools of the trade" required to perform his job, such as bicycles, further reducing his wages in violation of the FLSA and NYLL. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a); 29 C.F.R. § 531.35; N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 193 and 198-b.

120. Plaintiff Aldana was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Aldana respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

(a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them promptly to file consents to be plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 21 of 24 PageID #: 21

(b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members;

(c) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members;

(d) Declaring that Defendants' violation of the provisions of the FLSA are willful as to Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members;

(e) Awarding Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum and overtime wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA, as applicable;

(f) Awarding Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid minimum and overtime wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

(g) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Aldana and the members of the FLSA Class

(h) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Aldana and the members of the FLSA Class;

(i) Declaring that Defendants violated the Spread of Hours Wage Order of the NewYork Commission of Labor as to Plaintiff Aldana and the members of the FLSA Class;

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 22 of 24 PageID #: 22

(j) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the New York Labor Law were willful as to Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA Class members;

(k) Awarding Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum and overtime wages, damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable;

(l) Awarding Plaintiff Aldana damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);

(m) Awarding Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the minimum wage, spread of hours pay and overtime compensation shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable;

(n) Declaring that Defendants' violations of NYLL § 191 were willful as to Plaintiff
 Aldana and the FLSA class members;

(o) Awarding Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members pre-judgment and postjudgment interest as applicable;

(p) Awarding Plaintiff Aldana and the FLSA class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;

(q) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and

(r) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Aldana demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 23 of 24 PageID #: 23

Dated: New York, New York December 1, 2017

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

/s/ Michael Faillace

By: Michael A. Faillace [MF-8436] 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 (212) 317-1200 Attorneys for Plaintiff Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 24 of 24 PageID #: 24

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

BY HAND

1 2

November 15, 2017

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre:

Luis Alberto Aldana Zapata

Legal Representative / Abogado:

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Signature / Firma:

Date / Fecha:

15 de noviembre de 2017

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-1 Filed 12/01/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 25

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

))

)

)

)

LUIS ALBERTO ALDANA ZAPATA individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

v.

Civil Action No.

TASTY FAST FOOD INC. (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD), et al.

Defendant

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) TASTY FAST FOOD INC, (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD) 113-27B Queens Blvd Forest Hills, NY 11375

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 12/01/2017

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | This summons for (nam | ne of individual and title, if a | ny) | | | |
|---------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|
| was ree | ceived by me on (date) | | | | | |
| | □ I personally served | the summons on the inc | lividual at (place) | | | |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | \square I left the summons | at the individual's resid | ence or usual plac | ce of abode with (name) | | |
| | | , a | person of suitabl | e age and discretion who resid | des there, | |
| | on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or | | | | | |
| | □ I served the summo | ns on (name of individual) | | | | , who is |
| | designated by law to a | ccept service of process | s on behalf of (nam | ne of organization) | | |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | □ I returned the summ | nons unexecuted becaus | e | | | ; or |
| | Other (<i>specify</i>): | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and | \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0. | 00. |
| | I declare under penalty | v of perjury that this info | ormation is true. | | | |
| Date: | | | | Server's signature | | |
| | | | | server s signature | | |
| | | | | Printed name and title | | |
| | | | | | | |

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-2 Filed 12/01/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 27

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

))

)

)

)

LUIS ALBERTO ALDANA ZAPATA individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

v.

Civil Action No.

TASTY FAST FOOD INC. (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD), et al.

Defendant

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) TASTY FAST FOOD III INC. (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD) 113-27B Queens Blvd Forest Hills, NY 11375

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 12/01/2017

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-2 Filed 12/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 28

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | This summons for (nam | ne of individual and title, if a | ny) | | | |
|---------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|
| was ree | ceived by me on (date) | | | | | |
| | □ I personally served | the summons on the inc | lividual at (place) | | | |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | \square I left the summons | at the individual's resid | ence or usual plac | ce of abode with (name) | | |
| | | , a | person of suitabl | e age and discretion who resid | des there, | |
| | on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or | | | | | |
| | □ I served the summo | ns on (name of individual) | | | | , who is |
| | designated by law to a | ccept service of process | s on behalf of (nam | ne of organization) | | |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | □ I returned the summ | nons unexecuted becaus | e | | | ; or |
| | O Other (<i>specify</i>): | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and | \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0. | 00. |
| | I declare under penalty | v of perjury that this info | ormation is true. | | | |
| Date: | | | | Server's signature | | |
| | | | | server s signature | | |
| | | | | Printed name and title | | |
| | | | | | | |

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-3 Filed 12/01/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 29

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

))

)

)

)

LUIS ALBERTO ALDANA ZAPATA individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

v.

Civil Action No.

TASTY FAST FOOD INC. (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD), et al.

Defendant

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) KOSTAS PAPACOSTAS 113-27B Queens Blvd Forest Hills, NY 11375

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 12/01/2017

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-3 Filed 12/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 30

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | This summons for (nam | ne of individual and title, if | any) | | | |
|---------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|
| was rec | ceived by me on (date) | | | | | |
| | □ I personally served | the summons on the in | ndividual at (place) | | | |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | □ I left the summons a | at the individual's resi | | | | |
| | | , | a person of suitabl | e age and discretion who resi | des there, | |
| | on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or | | | | | |
| | □ I served the summo | ns on (name of individual | !) | | | , who is |
| | designated by law to a | ccept service of proce | ess on behalf of (name | ne of organization) | | - |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | □ I returned the summ | nons unexecuted becau | ıse | | | ; or |
| | O Other (<i>specify</i>): | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and | 1\$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0. | 00 |
| | I declare under penalty | of perjury that this in | formation is true. | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | Server's signature | | |
| | | _ | | Printed name and title | | |
| | | | | | | |

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-4 Filed 12/01/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 31

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

))

)

)

)

LUIS ALBERTO ALDANA ZAPATA individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

v.

Civil Action No.

TASTY FAST FOOD INC. (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD), et al.

Defendant

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) PANTELIS PELEKIS (a.k.a. PETER PELEKIS) 113-27B Queens Blvd Forest Hills, NY 11375

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 12/01/2017

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-4 Filed 12/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 32

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | This summons for (nam | ne of individual and title, if an | ny) | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------|
| was ree | ceived by me on (date) | | | | | |
| | □ I personally served | the summons on the ind | lividual at (place) | | | |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | \Box I left the summons | at the individual's reside | ence or usual plac | ce of abode with (<i>name</i>) | | |
| | | , a | person of suitabl | e age and discretion who resid | des there, | |
| | on (date) | , and mailed a | copy to the indiv | idual's last known address; or | | |
| | □ I served the summo | ns on (name of individual) | | | | , who is |
| | designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization) | | | | | |
| | | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | \Box I returned the summ | nons unexecuted because | e | | | ; or |
| | O Other (<i>specify</i>): | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and S | \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0. | 00 |
| | I declare under penalty | of perjury that this info | ormation is true. | | | |
| Date: | | | | Server's signature | | |
| | | | | U U | | |
| | | | | Printed name and title | | |
| | | | | | | |

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-5 Filed 12/01/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 33

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

))

)

)

)

LUIS ALBERTO ALDANA ZAPATA individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff

v.

Civil Action No.

TASTY FAST FOOD INC. (d/b/a TASTY FAST FOOD), et al.

Defendant

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) JOHNNY DOE 113-27B Queens Blvd Forest Hills, NY 11375

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 12/01/2017

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-5 Filed 12/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 34

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

| | This summons for (nam | ne of individual and title, if any) | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------|----------|--|
| was re | ceived by me on (date) | | | | | |
| | □ I personally served the summons on the individual at (<i>place</i>) | | | | | |
| | 1 5 | | on (date) | ; or | | |
| | \Box I left the summons | at the individual's residence or u | | | | |
| | | , a person o | of suitable age and discretion who resid | les there, | | |
| | On (date) | , and mailed a copy to t | he individual's last known address; or | | | |
| | □ I served the summo | ons on (name of individual) | | | , who is | |
| | designated by law to a | | - | | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | | |
| | \Box I returned the summ | nons unexecuted because | | | ; or | |
| | Other (<i>specify</i>): | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0. | 00 . | |
| | | | | | | |
| | I declare under penalty | of perjury that this information | is true. | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | Server's signature | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Printed name and title | | , | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document Cover Street 7 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 35

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

| F F F | (| | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS LUIS ALBERTO ALDANA similarly situated | A ZAPATA individually | and on behalf of oth | DEFENDANTS TASTY FAST FOC FOOD), et al., | DD INC. (d/b/a TASTY F/ | AST | | |
| (b) County of Residence of <i>(E.</i>) | f First Listed Plaintiff <u>G</u> XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA | Queens (SES) | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Kings</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, J Michael A. Faillace. Mich 60 East 42nd Suite 4510 New York, NY 10165 | ael Faillace & Associa | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in O | ne Box Only) | II. CITIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff | | |
| □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | ■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) | Not a Party) | | TF DEF 1 | | | |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh) | ip of Parties in Item III) | Citizen of Another State | 2 D 2 Incorporated and of Business In | 1 | | |
| | | | Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | 3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | | | | | |
| | | PERSONAL INHURY | FORFEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES | | |
| 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment | PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & | PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical | 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS | 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce | | |
| & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans | & Enforcement of Judgment Slander 51 Medicare Act I 330 Federal Employers' 52 Recovery of Defaulted Liability I 36 | | | 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark | 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit | | |
| (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits | 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle | Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending | Y 3710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management | SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) | □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions | | |
| 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise | Product Liability G 360 Other Personal Injury G 362 Personal Injury - | 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability | Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act | □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) | 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act | | |
| REAL PROPERTY | Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETITIONS | ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement | FEDERAL TAX SUITS | 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure | | |
| 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability | 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations | Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General | Income Security Act | □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | | |
| 290 All Other Real Property | □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - | □ 535 Death Penalty | IMMIGRATION | | | | |
| | Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education | Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement | 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is | | | | | | | |
| | te Court | Appellate Court | (specify | er District Litigation | | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | Plaintiffs seek un | paid overtime wages | filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta pursuant to The Fair Labo | tutes unless diversity): or Standards Act of 1938 | , 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | | IS A CLASS ACTION | DEMAND \$ | CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND | if demanded in complaint: : X Yes D No | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | DOCKET NUMBER | | | |
| DATE 12/01/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | SIGNATURE OF ATTO | | | | | |
| | MOUNT | APPLYING IFP | JUDGE | MAG. JU | DGE | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Case 1:17-cv-07003 Document 1-6 Filed 12/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 36 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Michael Faillace</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: №
- If you answered "no" above:
 a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? NA

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

No

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

| J | p | i | (-) | | | ~ - | |
|---|----------|--------|----------|---|---|-----|--|
| | (If yes, | please | explain) |) | E | X | |

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

Signature: /s/ Michael Faillace

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Tasty Fast Food Hit with Ex-Delivery Worker's Wage and Hour Lawsuit</u>