KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (249203) ak@kazlg.com Clark Conforti, Esq. (317698) clark@kazlg.com 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL G. SHAY

Daniel G. Shay, Esq. (250548) danielshay@tcpafdcpa.com 409 Camino Del Rio South. Ste 101B San Diego, CA 92108

Telephone: (619) 222-7429 Facsimile: (866) 431-3292

HYDE & SWIGART, APC

Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (225557) josh@westcoastlitigation.com Yana A. Hart, Esq. (306499) yana@westcoastlitigation.com 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101

San Diego, CA 92108-3551 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Adrian Chavez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADRIAN CHAVEZ, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

BORROWERSFIRST, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.:

CLASS ACTION '18CV0270 BEN WVG

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PURSUANT TO THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227, ET SEQ.

[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Introduction

- 1. Plaintiff ADRIAN CHAVEZ ("Plaintiff") brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies. resulting from illegal the actions BORROWERSFIRST, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant") in negligently contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.
- 2. The TCPA was designed to prevent calls and text messages like the ones described within this complaint, and to protect the privacy of citizens like Plaintiff. "Voluminous consumer complaints about abuses of telephone technology for example, computerized calls dispatched to private homes prompted Congress to pass the TCPA."
- 3. In enacting the TCPA, Congress intended to give consumers a choice as to how creditors and telemarketers may call them, and made specific findings that "[t]echnologies that might allow consumers to avoid receiving such calls are not universally available, are costly, are unlikely to be enforced, or place an inordinate burden on the consumer." TCPA, Pub.L. No. 102–243, § 11. Toward this end, Congress found that:

[b]anning such automated or prerecorded telephone calls to the home, except when the receiving party consents to receiving the call or when such calls are necessary in an emergency situation affecting the health and safety of the consumer, is the only effective means of protecting telephone consumers from this nuisance and privacy invasion.

Id. at § 12;

//

- 14 HERAVENUE, UNIT 14 HERAVENUE, UNIT 15 HERAVENUE, UNIT 17 HERAVENUE,

- 4. Congress also specifically found that "the evidence presented to the Congress indicates that automated or prerecorded calls are a nuisance and an invasion of privacy, regardless of the type of call..." *Id.* at §§ 12-13.
- 5. Further, the FCC has issued rulings and clarified that consumers are entitled to the same consent-based protections for text messages as they are for calls to wireless numbers. See Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster, Inc., 569 F.3d 946, 952 (9th Cir. 2009) (The FCC has determined that a text message falls within the meaning of "to make any call" in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)); Toney v. Quality Res., Inc., 75 F. Supp. 3d 727, 734 (N.D. Ill. 2014) (Defendant bears the burden of showing that it obtained Plaintiff's prior express consent before sending her the text message).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this case arises out of violation of federal law 47 U.S.C. §227(b).
- 7. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 for the following reasons: (i) Plaintiff resides in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California which is within this judicial district; (ii) the conduct complained of herein occurred within this judicial district; and, (iii) many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this district because Defendant:
 - (a) is authorized to conduct business in this district and has intentionally availed itself of the laws and markets within this district;
 - (b) does substantial business within this district;
 - (c) is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district because it has availed itself of the laws and markets within this district; and,

(d) the harm to Plaintiff occurred within this district.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen and resident of the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 9. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Texas, and is a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39). Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego, and within this judicial district, placing unlawful calls to debtors via an automatic dialing system.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 11.Plaintiff allegedly incurred financial obligations to Defendant and fell behind on payments. Defendant subsequently began contacting Plaintiff to collect on the alleged debt owed by Plaintiff.
- 12. Thereafter, Defendant began placing autodialed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number ending in "2208" via an automatic dialing system as defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1) and leaving messages with a pre-recorded voice as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 13.Defendant's autodialed calls with pre-recorded messages were placed from various numbers, including but not limited (773) 943-8560, (615)-301-5499, (719)-551-7201, (310) 460-0428, (773) 943-8553.
- 14. Further, Defendant began sending informal and impersonal text messages that stated "This is a message from BorrowersFirst. Please call us at a 877-236-3005 today. Thank you."

- 15. The text messages were sent from 719-551-7201 number, and appeared to be autodialed based on lack of any personal information, debtor's name, account number, or other pertinent information.
- 16.Plaintiff subsequently retained the legal services of Law Office of Daniel G. Shay ("Attorney") because he wished to stop receiving repeated autodialed calls and messages.
- 17.On September 12, 2017, Attorney sent Defendant a Cease and Desist Letter ("First Cease and Desist Letter") on behalf of Plaintiff, containing TCPA Revocation of Consent language and requesting Defendant cease all further communication with Plaintiff. Attorney sent the First Cease and Desist Letter by US mail and facsimile.
- 18.From September 13, 2017 to September 30, 2017, Defendant placed autodialed calls with a pre-recorded message or sent texts via its autodialing system to Plaintiff's cellular telephone ending in 2208 at least thirty-five (35) times.
- 19.On September 14, 2017, Defendant left a pre-recorded voicemail message on Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 20.On September 26, 2017, Attorney sent another Cease and Desist Letter ("Second Cease and Desist Letter") to Defendant by facsimile, this time including Plaintiff's full Social Security Number. Attorney also confirmed with Defendant that the fax number ending in 6410, to which both Cease and Desist Letters were sent, belonged to Defendant.
- 21.On September 19, 2017 at 8:20 a.m., Defendant sent a text message to Plaintiff that read: "This is a message from BorrowersFirst. Please call us at 877-236-3005 today. Thank you."
- 22.From, September 19, 2017 to September 28, 2017, Defendant repeatedly sent this identical text message to Plaintiff between 8:15 a.m. and 8:30 a.m.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 23.On September 30, 2017, Defendant left another pre-recorded voice message on Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 24.Defendant initiated multiple telephonic communications to Plaintiff's cellular telephone ending in "2208". Plaintiff found these communications excessive, inconvenient, harassing, placed in complete disregard of Plaintiff's privacy and request to cease all of the autodialed communications with Plaintiff.
- 25. These telephonic communications constituted telephone solicitations, as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4).
- 26.Defendant's calls and text messages constituted "calls" that was not for emergency purposes, as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 27. Defendant's calls and text messages were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 28. Defendant did not have prior express consent to call or send text messages to Plaintiff, as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4).
- 29. Plaintiff believes that Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system" (or "ATDS"), as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1) to repeatedly send automated text messages and phone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.
- 30. This ATDS has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.
- 31. These telephone communications by Defendant, or its agent, violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 32. Through this action, Plaintiff suffered an invasion of a legally protected interest in privacy, which is specifically addressed and protected by the TCPA.

- 33.Plaintiff was personally affected because Plaintiff was frustrated and distressed that Defendant harassed Plaintiff with text messages and calls using an ATDS.
- 34.Defendant's autodialed telephone calls with pre-recorded messages and autodialed text messages forced Plaintiff and class members to live without the utility of their cellular phones by forcing Plaintiff and class members to silence their cellular phones and/or block incoming numbers.
- 35. Through the aforementioned conduct, Defendant has violated 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 36.Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("the Class").
- 37. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of the Class, consisting of:

All persons within the United States who received any text messages or calls from Defendant or their agent(s) and/or employee(s), not sent for emergency purposes, to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system and/or with an artificial or prerecorded message within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

- 38.Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the hundreds of thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 39.Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through its agents, illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones by using an ATDS, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class

- members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 40. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class, and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 41. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.
- 42. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
 - a) Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint,
 Defendant or its agents initiated any telephonic communications to the
 Class (other than a message made for emergency purposes or made
 with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member
 using any automatic dialing to any telephone number assigned to a
 cellular phone service;
 - b) Whether Defendant can meet its burden of showing it obtained prior express consent (i.e., consent that is clearly and unmistakably stated);
 - c) Whether Defendant's conduct was knowing and/or willful;

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- d) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and
- Whether Defendant and its agents should be enjoined from engaging e) in such conduct in the future.
- 43. As a person that received at least one telephonic communication from Defendant's ATDS without prior written express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- 44. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 45. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 46.A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

47.Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 48.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 49. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitutes numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 50.As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 51.Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 52.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 53. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitutes numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

27

28

1

2

3

- 54.As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 55. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class Members pray for judgment as follows:

- Certifying The Class as requested herein;
- Appointing Plaintiff's Counsel as Class Counsel in this matter;
- Providing such further relief as may be just and proper.

In addition, Plaintiff and The Class Members pray for further judgment as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks, for himself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING/WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C.
 § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member \$1,500.00

in	statutory	damages,	for each	n and	every	violation,	pursuant	to 47	U.S.C.
§ 2	227(b)(3)((B).							

- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

56. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Dated: February 4, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

By: s/ Abbas Kazerounian

ABBAS KAZEROUNIAN, ESQ.

AK@KAZLG.COM

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

$_{\text{JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)}} \text{case 3:18-cv-00270-BEN-WV} \\ \text{CIVILITY Filed 02/06/18} \quad \text{Page 1 of 2} \\ \text{Page 1 of 2} \\ \text{Page 1 of 2} \\ \text{Page 2 of 2} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-00270-BEN-WV} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-00270-BEN-WV} \\ \text{Page 1 of 2} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-00270-BEN-WV} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-00270-BEN-WV} \\ \text{Page 4 of 2} \\ \text{Page 3:18-cv-00270-BEN-WV} \\ \text{Page 4 of 2} \\ \text{Page 4 of 2} \\ \text{Page 5 of 2} \\ \text{Page 5 of 2} \\ \text{Page 6 of 2} \\ \text{$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Adrian Chavez, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated				DEFENDANTS Borrowersfirst, Inc., a Delaware Corporation					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Abbas Kazerounian, Esq., Kazerouni Law Group, APC 800-400-68 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1, Costa Mesa, CA 92626				Attorneys (If Known)		'18 CV0270	BEN WVG		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif,		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	`			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State	Γ F DEF 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	,			Citizen of Another State					
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure		eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 423 With		☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				RTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			□ 820 Copy □ 830 Pater □ 840 Trade	nt	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR		SECURITY	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury	ability personal Property about Vehicle duct Liability per Personal ary sonal Injury personal property Damage product Liability associated asso		0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))		□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement	FEDERA	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General		Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	3 46	IMMIGRATION]				
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 60 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)								
	te Court	Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	ened Anothe (specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 47 U.S.C. §§ 227 Brief description of ca	et seq. ("TCPA")		o not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless di	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		d the Telephone Co IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	[D]	EMAND \$ 75,000.00		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE D 2/06/2018		signature of att s/ Abbas Kazer		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: First Things First: Lawsuit Claims BorrowersFirst Failed to Identify Itself in Debt Collection Messages