UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SAMANTHA J. ADKINS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No
Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
V.	RELIEF
ONE LODGING MANAGEMENT, INC.,	
Defendant.	

Plaintiff Samantha J. Adkins ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and the proposed class (defined below), brings this action against One Lodging Management, Inc. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

- 1. For more than 25 years, the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") has required that individuals with disabilities be provided full and equal access to the goods, services and facilities provided by hotel owners and operators.
- 2. This mandate requires hotel businesses to provide individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services.
- 3. Defendant operates various hotels throughout the United States, and, as part of those operations, provides hotel customers transportation services.
- 4. Defendant has failed to make its transportation services fully accessible to individuals with disabilities, thereby denying those individuals the same benefits and privileges afforded to guests without disabilities.

5. Plaintiff accordingly seeks declaratory and injunctive relief establishing that Defendant has engaged in violations of the ADA, and requiring Defendant to comply with the ADA by providing individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services that are equivalent to the transportation services provided to nondisabled guests.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The claims alleged arise under Title III such that this Court's jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12188.
- 7. Personal jurisdiction exists for Defendant because it manages and/or operates multiple hotels located in Allegheny County, including the Hampton Inn Pittsburgh/Greentree, 555 Trumbull Drive, Pittsburgh, PA 15205 hotel ("Hampton Inn").
- 8. Venue in the Western District of Pennsylvania is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Samantha J. Adkins, at all times relevant hereto, is and was a resident of Lawrence County, Pennsylvania.
 - 10. Plaintiff is a wheelchair user who is limited in the major life activity of walking.
- 11. As Plaintiff requires a wheelchair accessible vehicle in order to utilize transportation services offered by hotels, she has a personal interest in ensuring that hotels comply with federal requirements governing the provision of accessible transportation services.
- 12. Plaintiff is a tester in this litigation and a consumer who wishes to access Defendant's goods and services.

13. Defendant One Lodging Management, Inc. is incorporated in British Columbia. Defendant is a subsidiary of O'Neill Hotels and Resorts Ltd. Defendant's principal place of business in United States office is located at 8800 E. Raintree Drive, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85260.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Defendant manages and/or operates many hotels throughout the United States.
- 15. As part of these operations, Defendant provides its customers transportation services, including, but not limited to, complimentary shuttle services.
- 16. Within the applicable limitations period, Plaintiff called the Hampton Inn and was told by an agent of Defendant that the Hampton Inn provides a complimentary shuttle service for guests.
- 17. Plaintiff was told that the complimentary shuttle service was not wheelchair accessible and was told that Defendant would not provide an alternative transportation service.
- 18. An investigation performed on Plaintiff's behalf confirmed the allegations made by Plaintiff above.
- 19. The investigation performed on behalf of Plaintiff further confirmed that, in addition to the Hampton Inn, Defendant manages and/or operates a substantial number of other hotels in the United States that offer transportation services to their guests, but do not offer equivalent transportation services to guests who use wheelchairs or scooters.
 - 20. These hotels include, but are not limited to, the following locations:
- A. Hampton Inn Pittsburgh/Greentree, located at 555 Trumbull Drive, Pittsburgh, PA 15205;

- B. Fairfield Inn & Suites White Marsh, located at 8477 Cordon Way, Baltimore, MD 21236;
- C. Fairfield Inn & Suites Amarillo Airport, located at 1740 Airport Boulevard,
 Amarillo, TX 79118;
- D. Residence Inn Arundel Mills BWI Airport, located at 7035 Arundel Mills Circle, Hanover, MD 21076;
- E. SpringHill Suites Long Island Brookhaven, located at 2 Sawgrass Drive, Bellport, NY;
- F. TownePlace Suites Arundel Mills BWI Airport, located at Hanover, MD 21076;
- G. Embassy Suites by Hilton Cincinnati RiverCenter, located at 10 East Rivercenter Boulevard, Covington, KY 41011;
- H. Embassy Suites by Hilton Cleveland Rockside, located at 5800 Rockside
 Woods Boulevard, Independence, OH 44131;
- I. Embassy Suites by Hilton Dallas DFW Airport South, located at Irving,
 TX 75062;
- J. Hampton Inn & Suites Arundel Mills/Baltimore, 7207 Arundel Mills
 Circle, Hanover, MD 21076; and
- K. Hampton Inn Baltimore/White Marsh, located at 8225 Town Center Drive,Baltimore, MD 21236.
- 21. Plaintiff has been, and in the absence of an injunction will continue to be, injured by Defendant's policy and practice of failing to provide equivalent transportation services to persons with disabilities.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 22. Plaintiff brings this action under Rule 23(a) and (b)(2) of the federal rules of civil procedure and on behalf of herself and the following class: "All individuals who use wheelchairs or scooters for mobility and who have been, or in the future will be, denied the full and equal enjoyment of transportation services offered to guests at hotels owned and/or operated by Defendant because of the lack of equivalent accessible transportation services at those hotels."
- Numerosity: The class described above is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and the Court, and will facilitate judicial economy.
- 24. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class. The claims of Plaintiff and members of the class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct.
- 25. <u>Common Questions of Fact and Law:</u> There is a well-defined community of interest and common questions of fact and law affecting members of the class in that they all have been and/or are being denied their civil rights to full and equal access to, and use and enjoyment of, Defendant's goods, services and facilities due to the policies and practices described above.
- 26. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the class and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation, generally, and who possess specific expertise in the context of class litigation under the ADA.

27. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the class as a whole.

<u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181, et seq.

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation herein.
- 29. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the class.
- 30. Plaintiff is an individual with a disability under the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)(A).
- 31. Defendant, a hospitality business, is public accommodation under the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7).
- 32. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- 33. Defendant operates fixed route systems and demand responsive systems within the meaning of the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(3) and (4).
- 34. For fixed route systems, Defendant must meet the following requirements: a) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity over 16 passengers must be wheelchair-accessible; and b) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity of under 16 passengers must either be either wheelchair-accessible or equivalent service must be provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(B).
- 35. For demand responsive systems, Defendant must provide wheelchair-accessible vehicles or ensure that equivalent service is provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(C)

- 36. Defendant has engaged in illegal disability discrimination by, without limitation, failing to ensure that transportation vehicles in use at the hotels it manages and/or operates are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, by failing to ensure that its hotels provide equivalent accessible transportation services to such individuals, and/or by failing to ensure that personnel are trained to proficiency with respect to the provision of accessible transportation services.
- 37. Moreover, by failing to provide accessible transportation, Defendant has engaged, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, in illegal disability discrimination, as defined by Title III, including without limitation:
- a) denying individuals with mobility disabilities opportunities to participate in and benefit from the goods, services and facilities available at Defendant's hotels;
- b) affording individuals with mobility disabilities unequal access to goods, services or facilities;
- c) utilizing methods of administration that (i) have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability; or (ii) perpetuating the discrimination of others who are subject to common administrative control; and
- d) failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures where necessary to afford services, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with mobility disabilities.
- 38. Defendant's ongoing and continuing violations of Title III have caused, and in the absence to an injunction will continue to cause harm to the Plaintiff and the class.
- 39. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff requests relief as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgement as follows:

- a. A Declaratory Judgment that at the commencement of this action Defendant was in violation of the specific requirements of Title III of the ADA described above, and the relevant implementing regulations of the ADA, in that Defendant failed to take action reasonably calculated to ensure that Defendant's transportation services were fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with mobility disabilities;
- b. A permanent injunction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and 28 CFR § 36.501 which direct Defendant to take all steps necessary to bring its transportation services into full compliance with the requirements set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that those transportation services are fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with disabilities, and which further directs that the Court shall retain jurisdiction for a period to be determined to ensure that Defendant has adopted and is following an institutional policy that will in fact cause Defendant to remain fully in compliance with the law.
- c. An Order Certifying the proposed class, naming Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and designating counsel for Plaintiff as class counsel;
 - d. Payment of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided for by law; and
 - e. Such other additional or alternative relief as the Court finds just and proper.

Dated: January 5, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ R Bruce Carlson

(412) 322-9243

R. Bruce Carlson
bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com
Gary F. Lynch
glynch@carlsonlynch.com
Kevin Abramowicz
kabramowicz@carlsonlynch.com
CARLSON LYNCH SWEET KILPELA &
CARPENTER, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh PA, 15222

Case 2:18-cv-00019-NRF Decument 1-1 Filed 01/05/18 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TI	HIS FORM.)	•	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SAMANTHA J. ADKINS			DEFENDANTS ONE LODGING MANAGEMENT, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		FF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In □	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
W. MATHRE OF CHIE	Γ		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 70 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other LABOR	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reinstated or S Transfe Reopened Anothe (specify,	er District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	I Title III of the Am	ericans with Disabilitie	ing (<i>Do not cite jurisalictional stat</i> is Act, 42 U.S.C. 12181, e	et seq.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: :
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 01/05/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of attor /s/ R. Bruce Carls			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

Case 2:18-cv-00019-NBF Document 1-1 Filed 01/05/18 Page 2 of 3

JS 44A REVISED June, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A	
This c	ase belongs on the (O Erie O Johnstown O Pittsburgh) calendar.
1. ERIE C	ALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, t, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said
Cambr	OWN CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, ia, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of counties.
	te if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in y and that theCounty.
4. Comple	te if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty and that theresides inCounty.
PART B (N	You are to check ONE of the following)
_	
	is case is related to Number Short Caption is case is not related to a pending or terminated case.
2. 6	is case is not related to a pending of terminated case.
DEFINITIO	ONS OF RELATED CASES:
as anothe suit EMIN groups wh HABEAS CO	suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions or suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another NENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership with will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. ORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be elated.
PARTC	CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).
1. 0	Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2. O	Labor-Management Relations
3. Q	Habeas corpus
4. 💿	
5. 0	Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6. O 7. O	Eminent Domain All other federal question cases
8. 0	All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
9. 🔾	Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
	Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)
	ify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation are true and correct
	/s/ R. Bruce Carlson
Date:	January 5, 2018
	ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is IV. sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes. V.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania				
SAMANTHA J. ADKINS)				
Plaintiff(s)	Civil Action No.			
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) One Lodging Management, Inc.				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: R. Bruce Carlson Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		e of individual and title, if any)					
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	·					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)				
	on (date)			; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
			on of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,			
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or, use the summons on (name of individual), who designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization),						
			on (date)	, 01			
	☐ I returned the summ		; or				
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:							
	Server's signature						
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: One Lodging Management's Shuttle Service Not Wheelchair-Accessible</u>