IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

STEWART ABRAMSON, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated,

Plaintiff

VS.

ALL AMERICAN POWER AND GAS PA, LLC

Defendant.

Case No. 2:20-cv-1173

COMPLAINT-CLASS ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Preliminary Statement

- 1. As the Supreme Court explained at the end of its term this year, "Americans passionately disagree about many things. But they are largely united in their disdain for robocalls. The Federal Government receives a staggering number of complaints about robocalls—3.7 million complaints in 2019 alone. The States likewise field a constant barrage of complaints. For nearly 30 years, the people's representatives in Congress have been fighting back. As relevant here, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, known as the TCPA, generally prohibits robocalls to cell phones and home phones." *Barr v. Am. Ass'n of Political Consultants*, No. 19-631, 2020 U.S. LEXIS 3544, at *5 (July 6, 2020).
- 2. Plaintiff Stewart Abramson ("Plaintiff") brings this action under the TCPA alleging that Defendant All American Power and Gas PA, LLC., or a vendor on their behalf, sent him pre-recorded telemarketing calls for purposes of promoting their goods and services without his prior express written consent.

- 3. Because the calls to Plaintiff were transmitted using technology capable of generating thousands of similar calls per day, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a proposed nationwide class of other persons who were sent the same illegal telemarketing call.
- 4. A class action is the best means of obtaining redress for the Defendant's illegal telemarketing and is consistent both with the private right of action afforded by the TCPA and the fairness and efficiency goals of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Parties

- 5. Plaintiff Stewart Abramson is a Pennsylvania resident, and a resident of this District.
- 6. Defendant All American Power and Gas PA, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that is registered in this District with Incorp Services Inc., 7208 Red Top Road, Hummelstown, PA 17036.

Jurisdiction & Venue

- 7. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the Plaintiff's claims arise under federal law.
- 8. The Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. First, All American Power and Gas conducts business in this District, as it sent Mr. Abramson the calls and authorized calling conduct into this District.
- 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, as the automated calls to the Plaintiff were targeted into this District.

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act

10. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA to regulate the explosive growth of the telemarketing industry. In so doing, Congress recognized that "[u]nrestricted telemarketing . . . can be an intrusive invasion of privacy [.]" Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, § 2(5) (1991) (codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227).

The TCPA Prohibits Automated Telemarketing Calls

- 11. The TCPA makes it unlawful "to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice ... to any telephone number assigned to a ... cellular telephone service." *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 12. The TCPA provides a private cause of action to persons who receive calls in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A) or 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B). *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
- 13. According to findings by the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC"), the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, such calls are prohibited because, as Congress found, automated or prerecorded telephone calls are a greater nuisance and invasion of privacy than live solicitation calls, and such calls can be costly and inconvenient.
- 14. The FCC also recognized that "wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used." *In re Rules and Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991*, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 F.C.C. Red. 14014, 14115 ¶ 165 (2003).

- 15. In 2013, the FCC required prior express written consent for all autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls ("robocalls") to wireless numbers and residential lines.

 Specifically, it ordered that:
 - [A] consumer's written consent to receive telemarketing robocalls must be signed and be sufficient to show that the consumer: (1) received "clear and conspicuous disclosure" of the consequences of providing the requested consent, i.e., that the consumer will receive future calls that deliver prerecorded messages by or on behalf of a specific seller; and (2) having received this information, agrees unambiguously to receive such calls at a telephone number the consumer designates.[] In addition, the written agreement must be obtained "without requiring, directly or indirectly, that the agreement be executed as a condition of purchasing any good or service.[]"

In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, 27 F.C.C. Rcd. 1830, 1844 (2012) (footnotes omitted).

Factual Allegations

- 16. All American Power and Gas provides energy services to consumers.
- 17. All American Power and Gas uses telemarketing to promote its products and solicit new clients.
- 18. These telemarketing efforts include the use of automated calls to send prerecorded messages.
- 19. The company engages in use of this equipment because it allows for thousands of automated calls to be placed at one time, but its sales representatives, who are paid based on sales they complete, or on an hourly basis, only talk to individuals who respond. Therefore, the Defendant shifts the burden of wasted time onto consumers.
- 20. On June 30, 2020, Mr. Abramson received a prerecorded telemarketing call on his cellular telephone number (412) 418-XXXX.
 - 21. The call began with the pre-recorded message:

Hello. This is an apology call from your electric utility. You got overcharged by your third-party supplier. You will be receiving a rebate check along with a thirty percent discount on your electric and gas bill. Please press one to get your rebate check.

- 22. Mr. Abramson pressed one on his telephone in response to the pre-recorded message so that he could properly identify the calling party.
- 23. The agent informed Plaintiff that his company was All American Power and Gas, and that his company could provide Plaintiff with a better price for his electricity than Plaintiff was currently paying.
- 24. To verify the identity of the calling party, Plaintiff agreed to participate in a recorded verification process. The agent requested that Plaintiff answer the verification questions, but the agent informed Plaintiff that he would press buttons when requested by the recorded verification process (in other words, both Plaintiff and the agent participated in the recorded verification process).
- 25. The recorded verification process informed Plaintiff that it was being conducted by "Verbatim Verfication System" on behalf of All American Power and Gas, and it provided Plaintiff with the customer service number of 866-360-1461 for All American Power and Gas.
- 26. At the end of the recorded verification process Plaintiff received a "verification number" related to the call. After the recorded verification process completed, the original agent that Plaintiff spoke with confirmed with Plaintiff that he had received the verification number for the recorded verification.
- 27. The recorded verification process was performed by a company named "Verbatim TPV" (www.verbatimtpv.com).
- 28. Verbatim TPV provides third party recorded verification services for All American Power and Gas.

- 29. Plaintiff and the other call recipients were harmed by these calls. They were temporarily deprived of legitimate use of their phones because the phone line was tied up, they were charged for the calls and their privacy was improperly invaded.
- 30. Moreover, these calls injured Plaintiff because they were frustrating, obnoxious, annoying, were a nuisance and disturbed the solitude of Plaintiff and the class.

Class Action Statement Pursuant to LCvR 23

- 31. As authorized by Rule 23(b)(2) and/or (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff sues on behalf of other persons or entities throughout the United States.
 - 32. The proposed class is tentatively defined as:

Plaintiff and all persons within the United States: (1) to whose cellular telephone number Defendant (or an agent acting on behalf of Defendant) placed a telemarketing call (2) within the four years prior to the filing of the Complaint (3) using an identical or substantially similar pre-recorded message used to place telephone calls to Plaintiff.

- 33. The Plaintiff is a member of the class.
- 34. Excluded from the class are the Defendant, any entities in which the Defendant have a controlling interest, the Defendant's agents and employees, any Judge to whom this action is assigned, and any member of the Judge's staff and immediate family.
- 35. Class members are identifiable through phone records and phone number databases that will be obtained through discovery.
- 36. Based on the automated nature of telemarketing campaigns, there are likely thousands of class members. Individual joinder of these persons is impracticable.
- 37. There are questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and the proposed class, including:

- a. Whether the Defendant used pre-recorded message to send telemarketing calls;
- b. Whether the Defendant placed telemarketing calls without obtaining the recipients' valid prior express written consent;
- c. Whether the Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to statutory damages because of the Defendant's actions; and
- d. Whether the Defendant's TCPA violations were negligent, willful, or knowing,
- 38. Plaintiff's claims are based on the same facts and legal theories as class members, and therefore are typical of the class members' claims.
- 39. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because his interests do not conflict with the class's interests, he will fairly and adequately protect the class's interests, and he is represented by counsel skilled and experienced in litigating TCPA class actions.
 - 40. The Defendant's actions are applicable to the class and to Plaintiff.
- 41. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members, and a class action is the superior method for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The only individual question concerns identification of class members, which will be ascertainable from records and databases maintained by Defendant and others.
- 42. The likelihood that individual class members will prosecute separate actions is remote due to the time and expense necessary to prosecute an individual case and given the small recoveries available through individual actions.

Legal Claims

Count One:

Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations from all previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 44. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant and/or their affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf constitute numerous and multiple violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for emergency purposes, to the cellular telephone numbers of Plaintiff and members of the Class delivering pre-recorded messages.
- 45. As a result of Defendant's and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf's violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiff and members of the Class presumptively are entitled to an award of \$500 in damages for each and every call made to their residential or cellular telephone numbers using an artificial or prerecorded voice in violation of the statute, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 46. If the Defendant's conduct is found to be knowing or willful, the Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to an award of up to treble damages.
- 47. Plaintiff and members of the Class are also entitled to and do seek injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf from violating the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for emergency purposes, to any cellular telephone numbers using an artificial or prerecorded voice in the future.

Relief Sought

Plaintiff requests the following relief:

A. That the Court certify the proposed class;

- B. That the Court appoint Plaintiff as class representative;
- E. That the Court appoint the undersigned counsel as counsel for the class;
- F. That the Court enter a judgment permanently enjoining the Defendant from using a pre-recorded message, absent an emergency circumstance.
- G. That the Court enter a judgment awarding Plaintiff and all class members statutory damages of \$500 for each violation of the TCPA and \$1,500 for each knowing or willful violation; and
- H. That the Plaintiff and all class members be granted other relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances.

Plaintiff requests a jury trial as to all claims of the complaint so triable.

Plaintiff, By Counsel,

By: /s/ Clayton S. Morrow

Clayton S. Morrow Email: csm@consumerlaw365.com Morrow & Artim, PC 304 Ross Street, 7th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Telephone: (412) 281-1250

Anthony I. Paronich
Paronich Law, P.C.
350 Lincoln Street, Suite 2400
Hingham, MA 02043
(508) 221-1510
anthony@paronichlaw.com
Subject to Pro Hac Vice

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
STEWART ABRAMSON				ALL AMERICAN POWER AND GAS PA, LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Allegheny (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence	e of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		Out of State			
,				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Morrow & Arlim, PC				Attorneys (If Known)						
304 Ross Street, 7th Floo Pittsburgh, PA 15219, (4										
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PA	RTIES				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	l	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State	1 🗇 l Incorpe	orated <i>or</i> Pri	and One Box for notipal Place his State	PTF 4	DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2	orated <i>and</i> P		o 5	o 5	
				en or Subject of a Oreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign	n Nation		0 6	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						2012	l'e ownen	O'D A TOTAL	TO TO	
CONTRACT		RTS PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPT 422 Appeal 28 US		OTHER S			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	O 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/	of Property 21 USC 881		28 USC 157		☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment			
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS		☐ 410 Antitrust			
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			820 Copyrights 830 Patent		 430 Banks at 450 Comment 		ng	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Persona	1		☐ 840 Trademark		☐ 460 Deporta	tion		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product		- vanon	SOCIAL SECUR	ATT NO.	470 Rackete	er Influen Organiza		
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPE	RTY 0 7	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)		480 Consum			
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/S		**** /	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	10 7	20 Labor/Management Relations	863 DIWC/DIWV		Ø 850 Securitien		odities/	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	lo 24	40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	*1	₩ 890 Other St		Actions	
O 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		51 Family and Medical			☐ 891 Agricult			
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	G 70	Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation			893 Environ			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		91 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX	SUITS	Act			
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act	870 Taxes (U.S. P		☐ 896 Arbitrat			
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	1 463 Alien Detaince	- 1		or Defendant		☐ 899 Adminis	strative Pi riew or Ap		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacat Sentence	e		26 USC 7609			Decision		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General					☐ 950 Constitu	itionality		
290 All Other Real Property	O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	4		State Sta	itutes		
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	Other:		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration						
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions						
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	Confinement			<u></u>					
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reo	(specify	er District	Multidistr Litigation				
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Telephone Cons	atute under which you a umer Protection A	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta S.C. § 227 ("TCPA")	tutes unless diversity):					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	A putative class	ause: action brought for t		ling of automated cal						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTIO 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N I	DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00		YES only DEMAND:	if demanded in Yes	Complai		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUM	MBER				
DATE 08/06/2020		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		V								
RECEIPT # A	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP			JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE					

JS 44AREVISED June, 2009
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART	A
Th:	is case belongs on the (O Erie O Johnstown O Pittsburgh) calendar.
Fo	IE CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, prest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said punties.
Cá	HNSTOWN CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, ambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of aid counties.
	mplete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose incounty and that theresides inCounty.
4. Cor	mplete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty and that theresides inCounty.
PART	B (You are to check ONE of the following)
ı. O	This case is related to Number Short Caption
2. 🧿	This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.
DEFIN	ITIONS OF RELATED CASES:
anoth as an suit group HABEA shall	civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in er suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions other suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership s which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. S CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be d related.
PARTC	
	VIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).
	Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
	Labor-Management Relations Habeas corpus
	Habeas corpus Civil Rights
	Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6.	C Eminent Domain
	All other federal question cases All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious
	prosecution, and false arrest Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases. Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)
Sh	certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation eet are true and correct
Da	te: August 7, 2020
	ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>All American Power and Gas Facing Class Action Over Alleged Robocalls</u>